

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_91686
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation, Physicochemical and BET analysis of Cellulose from Pentaclethra Macrophylla Benth (Oil bean) Pod biomass wastes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No apply.	
Minor REVISION comments	No apply.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript is very interesting and show results about isolation and characterization of cellulose from Pentaclethra Macrophylla Benth Pod (PMBP) biomass waste. It merits to be published.</p> <p>Please consider the following comments and suggestions before publication:</p> <p>i) In the Abstract add an extra phrase introducing the theme towards the aims of this Research. The objective should be mentioned.</p> <p>ii) In the Results and Discussion section revise the redaction adding introduction of the work developed; consider to add text describing and discussing. It is a general observation; but it looks like that the section begins with a table or graph and then a short text. It is suggested to develop more the writing.</p> <p>lii) In order to highlight the Research work perspectives please consider to add more discussion about the versatility and application of the material characterized such it was mentioned as "Renewable biomass sources are a viable option for addressing global energy issues and environmental remediation". Develop more this idea in order to justify this work and highlight the potential use. In similar manner it could be compared with other sources.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Bracamonte
Department, University & Country	National University of Cordoba, Argentine