

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_92089
Title of the Manuscript:	THE CNS PARANEOPLASTIC SYNDROME: A REVIEW OF THE PATHOPHYSIOLOGY.
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The article is a review article discussing the CNS paraneoplastic syndrome. First of all, authors should define the type of review. Is it a narrative review? Then write it in the title. This review has been written as a book chapter which speak about all aspects of this syndrome while the authors claimed that will talk about the pathophysiology. This issue should be addressed. I personally think they wrote this article with general information, not specifically about the pathophysiology.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmad Pour-Rashidi
Department, University & Country	Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran