

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_93036
Title of the Manuscript:	Rare Case of Gastric Arteriovenous Malformation with Persistent Anemia in a Patient with Recurrent Arteriovenous Malformation Widespread in Gastrointestinal Tract
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalirjgh.com/index.php/IRJGH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Since AVMs are mostly found in the rectum and the sigmoid other locations are rare Because</b> pulsatile submucosal uplift accompanied by a small red patch on the top might be an important finding that indicates AVMs the findings of the case presented mostly look like angiodysplasias. Moreover there are no radiological findings suggesting that the case represents an AVM and not an angiodysplasia.</p> <p>The discussion should differentiate between AVMs and angiodysplasias as many of the data reported refer to angiodysplasias like treatment and differential diagnosis Dieulafoy lesions and AVMs are not well controlled with thermocoagulation and clips or radiological embolisation is needed. The significance of early endoscopy including capsul within the first 48 even 24 hours after bleeding should be stressed</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	All case file is not needed. The essential data are adequate	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Panagiotis Tsibouris
Department, University & Country	NIMTS General Hospital, Greece