

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_92443
Title of the Manuscript:	The role of information in management of modern hospital: Experience of Medical reform in Shenmu, China
Type of the Article	Short communication

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljamr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Method</b> (In the methodology add a flow chart for the method. Strengthen the technique by using systematic stages, starting from the initial stages of research, the implementation stage, and the final stage or evaluation (in the form of data collection techniques, data interpretation techniques, and data analysis based on clear indicators and instruments)</p> <p><b>Result and Discussion</b> (The writing of the discussion is still not effective and efficient. The discussion is still not supported by relevant data. Pay attention to the symptoms and phenomena that you find from research, then present supporting and contradictory arguments as an interesting discussion and provide clarity on the events and findings that you get. Present an argument with the most recent references (from journals published in the last 5 years). Improve the discussion with the conclusions that you make yourself at the end, as a comment from you on the research results that you get)</p> <p><b>References</b> add reference, minimum 25 international journal Pay attention your references: <i>Reference must be 85% in (2017-2022) from journal indexed by Scopus. Citation and Reference in Paper must using Mendeley with IEEE Style.</i></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstrak</b> Please, additional research methods used and describe the research results found. Remember, improve your abstract 200-250 words</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> Writing conclusions has not been effective and efficient. Include key data or indicators of your research results. Use effective and efficient sentences in writing the conclusions that you get from this research. Present the core data that you produce, and present your interpretation with an effective and efficient</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Rahadian Zainul</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Universitas Negeri Padang, Indonesia</b>