

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_92034
Title of the Manuscript:	Strengthening prevention and management of omicron infection in children
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The use of unnecessary capital letters was observed in the manuscript: for example, in the sentence "On the recommendation of the WHO Technical Advisory Group on Virus Evolution, THE B.1.1.529 variant was designated as a variant of concern (VOC) by WHO on 26 November 2021". THE should be The.</li><li>2. Further several sentences were repeatedly mentioned in the manuscript.</li><li>3. Iniduals should be changed to individuals</li><li>4. therefore should be changed to therefore</li><li>5. In several places topographical errors were noted.</li></ol> <p>I am very unhappy to tell you that the present article is not in the correct frame work of the Review Manuscript. Here, authors should focus on the implications and use of this review article. At present, this manuscript looks like a complication of some information related to COVID-19. So, in my opinion, a thorough modification is absolutely necessary to improve the manuscript. Due to this, I cannot recommend the article for publication in its current form. Here the authors should focus on the types of analysis used for review, for example, metanalysis, systematic review, etc. In addition to this, the title should be modified, as at present, the title doesn't show any significance. Moreover, the present title looks like a research article, not a review article.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shan Sasidharan
Department, University & Country	India