

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_91544
Title of the Manuscript:	Postoperative cerebrospinal fluid rhinorrhea with septic meningitis in a child-- A Case Report And a Review of the Literature
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear author(s)</p> <p>First, let me to thank you so much for your kind research activity effort. Your case presentation is very interesting and I think it will be very attractable and carries beneficial concepts for those who are interesting at the field of neuro-rhinology and base of skull surgery.</p> <p>But unfortunately, the way of its writing was very poor and confusable with clear cut of mixing of the thoughts. You need to be more organizer of the items of your presentation following the general guidelines for the authors in the writing of the case report. Your writing manner is confusing to readers and by this pattern; your rare case report will lose its interest.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1- The language is very poor and need to revised regarding the spelling as well as grammar.</p> <p>2- The references need to be revised (there are some mistakes regarding the writing of the references) and need to be increased in their number for at least 10 references (this is the number which is recommended internationally for the case reports)</p>	
Optional/General comments	As this case is interesting case report from the readership point of view, therefore, it can be considered for publication after this recommended serious major revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Yes. The author did not mention clearly regarding any approval of the ethical committee at his/her institute/ university.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khaled Mohamed Bofares
Department, University & Country	Omar AL-Moukhtar University, Libya