

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_91616
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and pattern of aphrodisiac use among adult population in Sokoto metropolis, northwest Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Overall manuscript was written well. Some grammatical and spelling mistakes should be corrected. Introduction was good. Methodology was good but clearly mention the selection criteria. In results, Sample size not match with methodology section. Need to correct it. Consent form must be attached with this manuscript for proof and observation.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Overall Manuscript was written very well. Some topographical mistakes should be corrected. Introduction was good and précised. In methodology, selection criteria was not clear. Need to mention clearly. Mention the weight of Rats. Results was very good and describe very well But in table 1 (age group and sex section) the sample size is not matched with the mentioned sample size. P-Value (Level of significance) must be added below the tables.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hafiz Muhammad Arsalan
Department, University & Country	Altamimi Bachelor Clinical University, Kyrgyzstan