

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_91024
Title of the Manuscript:	To investigate the incidence of fetal macrosomia at GPHC during the period January- June 2021
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Macrosomia represents a medical condition that can have more implications on both the pregnant woman and the fetus. The subject addressed is essential since its possible causes have been studied. The topic of the article is with significant implications for medical practice. The topic is relevant and exciting to the field of the journal. The article makes a significant contribution to the field. The text is clear and easy to read.</p> <p>There are some recommendations to do.</p> <p>The first is to check the General Guideline for the Authors of the journal and try to follow the instructions. I point out only a few of them.</p> <p>The title should be without any abbreviations. The paper must have a structure described in the General Guideline for Authors. The abstract contains reference. It must have less than 300 words. There are no keywords. In more places in the paper, there is no punctuation at the end of the phrase.</p> <p>Please check all the abbreviations. It must be explained when it is written for the first time in the text, just once. First, you use cesarean section (LSCS), and after that, you define Lower Segment Caesarean Section (LSCS), and after that, cesarean section (CS).</p> <p>The Ethical Committee must approve the study. In addition, it should provide a statement confirming that the authors have obtained all necessary ethical approval from suitable Institutional, State, National, or International Committees.</p> <p>In the Results section, I identify no results related to the gravidity and parity, but some aspects are related to this. Tables and figures should be presented as per their appearance in the text. It is suggested that the discussion about the tables and figures should appear in the text before the respective tables and figures appear. No tables or figures should be given without discussion or reference inside the text.</p> <p>Discussions Section presents other research findings. The literature reviews are insightful and informative. The presented aspects sufficiently support the conclusions.</p> <p>English language and style are acceptable/minor spell check is required.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bernad Elena
Department, University & Country	"Victor Babes" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania