

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_90438
Title of the Manuscript:	The Scope of Aplastic anaemia: Etiology, Pathophysiology, pharmacotherapy and Pharmaco-economic impact in clinical patient management.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The topic is too broad and not reflective of an article. • Abstract needs to be revised comprehensively taking into consideration the realistic objective as indicated in the revised topic as suggested above. • Key words are to be revised. • The objective of the work has not been realized. • The methodology used for the review is not stated and methods avoiding bias not indicated. • The diagnostic criteria for aa is wrong. • Treatment options do not report on the principle behind such treatment, results on its use in different parts of the world if any and its effectiveness in comparison with other treatment options. To this effect, the conclusion in this article that stem cell transplantation and immunosuppressive therapy are better has been largely speculative rather than evidence-based. • References need review 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GRAMMATICAL ERRORS NEED TO BE REVIEWED 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • THE ARTICLE NEED A REVIEW FROM A LECTURE NOTE TO A REVIEW ARTICLE AS DESIRED. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph Aondowase Orkuma
Department, University & Country	Benue State University, Nigeria