

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJPSS_93379
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF NANOSCALE ZINC OXIDE PARTICLE ON THE GROWTH, YIELD AND SOIL PROPERTIES UNDER AGENCY AREA OF ANDHRAPRADESH
Type of the Item	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVIEW comments	<p><b>Theme:</b> Of experiential cut and contribution to the peanut agricultural system.</p> <p><b>Summary:</b> The objective of the research is clear, the methodology is extensive, relevant aspects should be considered as well as the conclusions. In this section, it is important to take into account the length requested by the journal, which is generally between 150 and 250 words.</p> <p><b>Keywords:</b> The UNESCO Thesaurus allows you to work with a universal glossary that facilitates research and the location of the text when it has been published. Agriculture - Legumes - Horticulture...</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> The background, the problem and the justification are pertinent and are supported by specialized literature.</p> <p><b>Materials and methods:</b> The population selected for the study, as well as the technique applied for the controlled experimental design, is interesting. It is necessary to determine the method for the analysis of the results.</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion:</b> The results are carefully identified. They are compared with the results of other studies. However, it would be important to determine possible lines of investigation and state if there were any inconveniences throughout this process.</p> <p><b>Conclusions:</b> It does not exist and this is fundamental in the investigation.</p> <p><b>Bibliographic references:</b> Bibliographical references are acceptable.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVIEW comments	THE RESULTS AS THEIR JUSTIFICATION IS IMPORTANT AND SHOULD NOT BE LEFT ASIDE. I SUGGEST TO CORRECT FOR A NEW REVISION. IT IS NECESSARY TO RECORD THE CONCLUSIONS.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Jverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor
Department, University & Country	Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador