

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_93247
Title of the Manuscript:	“ASSESSMENT OF ELITE GENOTYPES OF LINSEED (Linum usitatissimum L.) FOR GENETIC VARIABILITY, CORRELATION STUDIES AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The underlying premise of this work is sound; however, the presentation is bad, and the language is a hodgepodge of weak sentences. Several verbs and sentences have been used more than once. This manuscript was written in thesis format. The authors were unable to address the study objectives issue because there are no longer any kinds of action that are well-supported by graphics. The authors were unable to appropriately respond to the original study's core question by covering critical subtopics. Furthermore, the Research methodology is devoid of facts and specifics. In the results and discussion section, the writer listed all of the titles and their findings, but did not discuss each issue separately. This study relied on the most ancient references to back up their methods, observations, and conclusions. Aside from that, the comment area was a complete disaster (meaningless).	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahira H. EL-Moslamy
Department, University & Country	Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute, Egypt