

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_93209
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth parameters, yield components and yield of wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) under central plain zone of Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: Adequate and relevant to the study.</p> <p>Abstract: The abstract is adequate. However, an aspect of the abstract needs to be recast for clarity. It is indicated in the text.</p> <p>Introduction: The last three paragraphs of this section should be recast in such that there is a link between them and the previous section before them.</p> <p>Materials and methods: The aspects needing rework are indicated in the text. The specific statistical analysis done is not mentioned. The method of mean separation was also not mentioned.</p> <p>Results and discussion: There is no Table showing the ANOVA. The ANOVA should consist of the treatment effect (df = 9), replication (df = 2), season (df = 1), and treatment × season (df = 9), and error (df = 47). The summary of results should reflect which of the treatment, season, replication, and treatment × season effect were significant for growth and yield parameters. It is not shown by any of the Tables if treatments were significantly different from one another at a 5% level of significance.</p> <p>Conclusion: Adequate. But the first paragraph should be recast.</p> <p>References: A varied format was used. Some are not listed. These are indicated in the comment in the text. Those that are not cited are also indicated in the text.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	As above.	
Optional/General comments	An interesting research, but needs to be modified.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abiola Toyin Ajayi
Department, University & Country	Adekunle Ajasin University, Nigeria