

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_93082
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of Water Productivity of Cucumber and Potato Crops for Water Management in Palestine
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	(1) P2 Domestic and foreign research status needs to be supplemented in INTRODUCTION. And the author should compare it with previous studies and cite all papers you use properly. (2) There are many grammatical errors in this article. In P1 abstract "Huge amount of water were", P2"Potato grow well"; P3"The questionnaire consisted of"; P5"The observed duration of the selected crops are given"; P11"the water Passenger was". The whole article needs professional revision. (3) P3 What is "AQWA CROP program"? The author does not explain in detail. (4) P13 The conclusion of the paper is not in-depth and clear enough. The significance of this paper is not expounded sufficiently. The author needs to highlight this paper's innovative contributions. (5) P11 suggests an in-depth analysis of why 2 L/hr drip irrigation flow rate can improve the water productivity of cucumber compared with 4 L/hr and 8 L/hr drip irrigation flow rate.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	(1) P1 The first letter of the keyword "yield production" is not capitalized, and the format should be consistent. (2) There are some formatting problems in this paper, including missing page numbers and redundant space, such as P13"(270 kg tubers/du) (Fig. 17)". And the paper also misses space, such as P2 "productivity [3]. DRIP ". It needs to be checked carefully.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Huo Aidi
Department, University & Country	Chang 'an University, China