

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_92980
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of nitrogen schedule and weed management practices on yield attributing characters and yield of transplanted hybrid rice (Oryza sativa L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The introduction was not well written</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The research problem was not well described and synthesized Lacks coherence of ideas in sentence construction, paragraphing and coherences Lacks relevant literatures Lacks objectives <p>Material and method:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Treatment combination and design was incorrect, the main plot has two nitrogen levels and 3-4 time of application -the subplots have 6 different weed management practices with various time of application <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No clear method of data analysis See further comments in the MS word track changes doc attached with this file. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The authors have not followed the appropriate scientific procedures in assigning the different factors and their rates in a split plot design. Therefore, the finding cannot be ensured	

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1"

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zerihun Jalata
Department, University & Country	Wollega University, Ethiopia