

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_92871</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of different inoculum level of Meloidogyne incognita on chlorophyll content of Cajanus cajan</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>ARTICLE WITH MULTIPLE ERRORS:</b></p> <p><b>1- MAIN MISTAKE NOT TO COMPLY WITH THE JOURNAL GUIDELINES.</b></p> <p>A) ABSTRACT. WITHOUT INTRODUCTION, WORK OBJECTIVES. WITHOUT KEYWORDS. VERY WEAK. INCREASE INFORMATION.</p> <p><b>2- INTRODUCTION: VERY SHORT. WITH BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES NOT IN NUMERAL ORDER. IT STARTS WITH REFERENCE [13], LOGO TO [12] AND SO ON A HALF PAGE PUT [13], [12], [9] [10], [11] [15]. [14] DOES NOT APPEAR IN THE TEXT. ONLY HALF PAGE INTRODUCTION AND WITHOUT DEFINED OBJECTIVES. MISSING INFORMATION ABOUT SIMILAR WORKS WITH THIS NEMATODE.</b></p> <p>A)- PUT KEY WORDS IN THE INTRO ???????</p> <p><b>3- MATERIAL AND METHODS.</b></p> <p>A)- PUT A SINGLE PARAGRAPH AND DID NOT SEPARATE THESE TWO ITEMS: MATERIALS DEVICES USED IN THE RESEARCH. - stereoscopic microscope????????? - colorimeter?????????? SOLUTION USED IN THE RESEARCH (ACETONE 80% ????)</p> <p><b>METHODS:</b></p> <p>THE METHOD USED FOR THE EXTRACTION OF CHLOROPHYLLS IS WIDELY KNOWN. DID NOT EXPLAIN IF HE MADE ANY MODIFICATIONS. DO NOT PUT AUTHOR OF THE METHOD. THE FORMULAS TO CALCULATE THE CONCENTRATION OF CHLOROPHYLL a AND b IN ADDITION TO TOTAL ARE THE AUTHOR Arnon, 1949, ERROR THE AUTHOR'S NAME, WHICH AFTER, DOES NOT APPEAR IN THE BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES.</p> <p><b>4- RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:</b></p> <p>A) DOES NOT COMPLY WITH THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES FOR PLACING TABLES AND FIGURES. (ALL THE FINAL DESCRIPTION OF THE 4 TABLES IS YES AN APPROPRIATE DISCUSSION.)</p> <p>B) YOU MUST DISPLACE THE TABLES ACCORDING TO THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES.</p> <p>C) INFORMATION IN THE TABLES AND REPETITION OF WHAT WAS WRITTEN FOR EACH TREATMENT OF EXPERIMENTS. THERE WAS NO DISCUSSION WITH DATA FROM OTHER WORKS IN THE LITERATURE</p> <p>D) . THERE IS ONLY REFERENCES IN THE LAST PARAGRAPH OF SIX LINES, OF REFERENCES [1], [2], [3], [4] [5], [6], [7] AND [8] WITHOUT A DISCUSSION OF THE RESEARCH WORK.</p> <p><b>5- CONCLUSIONS:</b></p> <p>A) THE CONCLUSIONS ARE VERY WEAK AND IT DOES NOT SEEM TO BE NECESSARY TO DO THE RESEARCH TO LEAD TO THAT CONCLUSION. I THINK YOU SHOULD DEFINE THE WORK OBJECTIVE, ANALYZE THE METHODOLOGY USED AND SEE THE WORK RESULTS TO DEFINE THE CONCLUSIONS ACCORDING TO THE RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.</p> <p><b>6- BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES:</b></p> <p>A) DOES NOT MEET THE JOURNAL GUIDELINES FOR LISTING BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES.</p> <p>B) MISSING THE REFERENCE [14].</p> <p>C) LISTED THE REFERENCES NOT IN ORDER OF APPEARANCE IN THE ARTICLE AND THEN PUT THE REFERENCES IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER AND NOT IN ORDER OF APPEARANCE IN THE ARTICLE.</p> <p>SE ADJUNTA O ARTIGO ORIGINAL COM SUBLINHADOS EM ROXO PARA ASSINALAR OS ERROS DETETADOS. EM VERDE OS ACERTOS E EM AMARELO AO CRITERIO DOS AUTORES.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Anselmo Enrique Ferrer Hernandez</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Havana University, Cuba</b>