

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_92745
Title of the Manuscript:	Microclimate under the Cup of Papaya planted in The North-South and East-West directions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An interesting and beneficial study to the "growing of papaya" where Brazil is one of the largest producers in the world Add on the writing on the need and purpose of conducting the study. A relatively brief conclusion, need to explain how the results can be used from this study by various stakeholders and also how it can mitigate the current research gap 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No clear and detail indication on the current research gap in the country except for the sentence "In Brazil, climatic conditions are favorable for its economic exploitation and high productivity, making the country stand out as the third largest producer of papaya in the world" Explain in more detail on the process of the study is conducted Do interpret the results obtained based on the figures/charts/ graphs provided instead of reporting. Interpret it to make it easier for mass audience to read and understand the charts/ results. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An interesting and beneficial study, but there is a need to explain in more detail the process of conducting the study as well as the purpose for this study to be conducted in the chosen country/countries. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chiam Chooi Chea
Department, University & Country	Malaysia