

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_92691
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of different varieties of mustard (Brassica juncea L.) to sulphur application on growth and yield in Gird region of Madhya Pradesh.
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Add all the comments given in the manuscript.• Need to improve the results and discussion section and results can be explained in a better way.• Tables need to be refined.• Add conclusion• Follow all the comments mention in the manuscript.• Cross check the references in the text and add all the references cited in the text.• Cross check the references with the above text as some references are missing.• Follow the same reference format throught the text.• Kindly follow all the comments given in the manuscript.• Add all the comments in your manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Improve your language.• Check spellings.• It is suggested that use comma's, space etc. properly in the whole document.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Title is written very well, impressive and informative.• Abstract is also qualitative and directly shows the research importance.• Introduction is comprehensively written.• Whole manuscript is very knowledgeable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kartikey Sahil
Department, University & Country	India