

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_92344
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of moisture conservation practices, seed inoculation and zinc level on growth and yield of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: please start the abstract by clearly stating the research problem which conduct to implement this research. I can not clearly perceive the objective of this research study. It is important to clearly stated the research problem to be solved and give a clear objective of the study. The results presented in the abstract are too long and it becomes difficult to follow the authors. Please give the main results which allow to give conclusion or recommendations based on research results. I did not see a conclusion based on the research results. It is important to include a conclusion. Please find more inputs and comments in the manuscript.</p> <p>Introduction: in general, the introduction section well written, but it is missing the objective of the research which should be at the end of the introduction section. Please end the introduction by an objective of the study and for what. Find more comments in the manuscript.</p> <p>Material and method: good. Well written and clear. But I suggest to put subtitle as following (site description to describe clearly the study site, data collection to present the different parameters measured under the different treatments and statiscal analysis to present how the data collected are analysed and with which statiscal software. Find more comments about this section in the manuscript.</p> <p>Results and discussion: it seems like the data are not been statistically analysed. If yes when presenting the results please include the results of the test of significance. It is not clear in the text presenting the results. The discussion is very poor. The authors should put efforts to explain why the results obtained, what implication by using recent references. Please find more comments in the manuscript.</p> <p>Conclusion: please see my comments on this section in the manuscript.</p> <p>References: please see my comments on this section in the manuscript.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The research topic is very relevant because it can contribute to improve the studied crop productivity and production.</p> <p>Authors should strictly follow the authors guidelines of the journal.</p> <p>The discussion section of the manuscript should really be improved.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Coulibaly Yacouba Noël
Department, University & Country	Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et Technologique, Burkina Faso