

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_92313</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of microbes in enhancing the Composting Process- A review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>My comments as Reviewer.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The article is well written and will be useful to readers and researchers on composting.</li> <li>2. Revision is not required except the abstract portion to make it more compressive, attractive and objective to readers. There is enough scope for authors to do it.</li> <li>3. In case of few abbreviation, the full forms are to be included during first use.</li> <li>4. In some references sited together, can be put inside one first bracket.</li> <li>5. References are sufficient, no further addition is required.</li> </ol> <p>The article is well written and review on microbial inoculants carried out by various parts of world including India. Revision is not necessary except inclusion of following three points.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1). The abbreviation terms like ARG, KVK and others should be mentioned in full form during first use.</li> <li>2). In case of silting references more than once should be included in one first bracket separating by comma. Ex -(Chaudhary <i>et al.</i>, 2012) (Dukare <i>et al.</i>, 2011) – (Dukare <i>et al.</i>, 2011; Chaudhary <i>et al.</i>, 2012).</li> <li>3). All species names should be italic. In some cases this has been not seen.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Nil	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The abstract could have been little more specific about the research findings included inside the article. There is a scope to make it more objective for the interest of readers.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Asoke Kumar Sannigrahi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Advanced Management Institute for Training &amp; Achievement, India</b>