

Original Research Article

Effect of organic manures and fish amino acid on growth and yield of foxtail millet (*Setariaitalica* L.)

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during *zaid* season of 2022 at an experimental field of the Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology And Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India to determine the “Effect of organic manures and fish amino acid on growth and yield of foxtail millet (*Setariaitalica*L.)”. The treatments consist of FYM 10t/ha, poultry manure 1.6t/ha, *vermicompost* 1.6t/ha and foliar application of fish amino acid with 1%, 2%, 3% and control. The variety taken was SIA 3156. The experiment was carried out through a statistical design of Randomized Block Design with 10 treatments, replicated thrice. Report of the study indicate that, among different treatments application of poultry manure 1.6 t/ha and fish amino acid 3% produced significantly higher plant height (94.03 cm), plant dry weight (20.98 g), number of tillers/hill (5.27), crop growth rate (0.458g/m²/day), leaf area index (0.066), number of spikes/plant (4.27), length of spike (16.14 cm), number of grains/spike (3081.20), test weight (3.71 g), grain yield (2.35 t/ha), straw yield (5.53 t/ha) and harvest index (30.64).

Key words: FYM, poultry manure, vermicompost, fish amino acid, growth, yield.

Introduction

Foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* L.) is known as Italian millet and in local language it is called korralu, Kangu, Kangani, Koni and Kaon in different parts of India. Foxtail millet is thought to be indigenous to Southern Asia and is considered one of the oldest cultivated millets (Oelke, 1990). And it is cultivated for food, grain, hay and pasture. It has an important place in world agriculture providing food for millions of people in arid and semiarid regions. In worldwide area under cultivation is 1.057 m.ha and production is 2.29 m.tons. In India area under the cultivation of small millets is 24.02 m.ha, production is 47.48 m.tons and its productivity is 1976 kg/ha (Directorate of Economics & statistics, DAC&FW 2020). It is nutritionally superior to conventional food grains in terms of higher protein, dietary fiber which play an important role in the energy requirement and nutrient intake of human and exhibits hypoglycemic effect due to presence of higher proportion of unavailable complex carbohydrate.

FYM application has been reported to improve crop growth by supplying plant nutrients including micronutrients as well as improving soil physical, chemical, and biological properties (Dejene and Lemlem, 2012). Faster water infiltration rate due to enhanced soil aggregation is the other benefit of FYM amendments to soil (Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2008). Poultry manure had the greatest content of organic C, N, P, K, Ca and lowest C:N ratio reported by Bakayoko *et al.* (2009). Poultry manure have been found to be richer in nitrogen than other livestock wastes (Hirzelet *et al.*, 2007). It reduces the loss of nitrogen due to slow-release pattern of manures (Govindappa *et al.*, 2009).

Organic manure like *vermicompost* is a rich mixture of macro and micro plant nutrients. It increases the availability of nitrogen and phosphorus and improves microbial action in soil (Choudhary *et al.*, 2014). The importance of *vermicompost* as a source of humus and in improving the soil fertility and soil health has been well established (Madegowda, 1997; Vasanthi and Kumaraswamy, 1996; Romaniuk *et al.*, 2011 and Murugan and Swarnam 2013). Fish amino acid is liquid organic manure made from fish waste. Fish amino acid contains a rich quantity of amino acids which induces the protein synthesis of plant. It is of a great value to both plants and microorganisms because it contains various nutrients and types of amino acids. (Ramesh *et al.*, 2020)

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out during the *zaid* season of 2022 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P) which is located at 25° 24'42" N latitude, 81° 50' 56" E longitude, and 98 m altitude above the mean sea level. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design which consisting of ten treatments with T₁: FYM (10 t/ha) + FAA (2%), T₂: FYM (10 t/ha) + FAA (3%), T₃: FYM (10 t/ha) + FAA (4%), T₄: Poultry manure (1.6 t/ha) + FAA (2%), T₅: Poultry manure (1.6 t/ha) + FAA (3%), T₆: Poultry manure (1.6 t/ha) + FAA (4%), T₇: Vermicompost (1.6 t/ha) + FAA (2%), T₈: Vermicompost (1.6 t/ha) + FAA (3%), T₉: Vermicompost (1.6 t/ha) + FAA (4%), T₁₀: Control plot (N: P: K = 50:30:20 kg/ha) are used. The experimental site was uniform in topography and sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (P^H 7.1), low in Organic carbon (0.69%), medium available N (271.81kg/ha), higher available P (30.19kg/ha) and medium available K (331kg/ha). In the period from germination to harvest several plant growth parameters were recorded at frequent intervals along with it after harvest several yield parameters were recorded. Growth parameters are plant height, number of tillers/hill and plant dry weight are recorded. The yield parameters like number of grains/spike, grain yield, stover yield and harvest index were recorded and statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) as applicable to Randomized Block Design (Gomez K.A. and Gomez A.A. 1984).

Comment [H1]: FYM and Poultry manure dosage based on what? much difference between 10 tons and 1.6 tons?

Results and Discussion

Growth attributes

Plant height

Significantly higher plant height (94.03cm) was observed in treatment 5 (Poultry manure 1.6 t/ha + FAA3%). However, treatment 9 (Vermicompost 1.6t/ha + FAA 4%) was statistically at par with treatment 5 (Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA 3%).

Comment [H2]: No discussion

Significant and higher plant height was recorded with application of poultry manure (1.6 t/ha) could be due to poultry manure being an important supplier of high nitrogen accumulation, which might have helped in growth of the plant, resulted, increased in higher plant height. Similar findings was reported by **Ledhan et al. (2021)**.

Number of tillers/hill

Significantly higher number of tillers/hill (3.27) was observed in treatment 5 (Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA3%). However, treatment 9 (Vermicompost 1.6t/ha + FAA 4%) is statistically at par with treatment 5 (Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA 3%).

Highest number of tillers/hill was observed with application of poultry manure (1.6t/ha) might be due to released nutrients in the poultry manure and its import into the plant, resulted, increased growth through increased cell number and tiller. Similar findings was reported by **Damaret et al. (2016)**.

Comment [H3]: the discussion is too simple and not sharp

Plant dry weight (g)

Significantly higher plant dry weight (20.98g) was observed in treatment 5 (Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA3%). However, treatment 9 (Vermicompost 1.6t/ha + FAA4%) is statistically at par with treatment 5 (Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA3%).

Significant and higher plant dry weight was observed with application of poultry manure (1.6t/ha) could be due to the availability and adequate supply of organic matter by the poultry manure. Which may contributed to the increase of dry weight. Similar results were obtained by **Uhwaet et al. (2014)**.

Comment [H4]: the discussion is too simple and not sharp
there is no data on FMY, PM etc.

Yield attributes and Yield

Number of grains/spike

The significant and higher number of grains/spike (3081.20) was observed in treatment 5 (Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA3%), which was significantly superior over rest of

the treatments. However, treatment9(*Vermicompost* 1.6t/ha + FAA 4%), was found to be statistically at par with treatment5(Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA3%).

Higher number of grains/spike was recorded with application of poultry manure (1.6 t/ha) could be due to the residual effect of organic manure which have favorably affected the balance of macro and micronutrients which increased plant growth and increased assimilates for producing more grains/spike. Similar findings was reported by **Shah et al. (2017)**.

Comment [H5]: there is no analysis of soil and plants after treatment that supports the argument

Test weight (g)

The significant and higher test weight (3.71g) was observed in treatment5(Poultry manure1.6t/ha + FAA3%), which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. However, treatment9(*Vermicompost* 1.6t/ha + FAA 4%), was found to be statistically at par with treatment5(Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA3%).

Higher test weight was recorded with the application of poultry manure (1.6 t/ha) could be due to higher concentration of macro and micronutrients added to soil in the form of poultry manure, resulted, in increased availability of nutrients in root zone, thus more uptake by crop, resulted, in higher values of test weight. Similar findings was reported by **Jagadeeshaet al. (2010)**.

Comment [H6]: there is no analysis of soil and plants after treatment that supports the argument

Grain yield (t/ha)

The significant and higher grain yield (2.35t/ha) was observed in treatment5(Poultry manure1.6t/ha + FAA3%), which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments. However, treatment9(*Vermicompost* 1.6t/ha + FAA 4%), was found to be statistically at par with treatment5(Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA3%).

The significant and highest grain yield was obtained with application of poultry manure (1.6t/ha) could be the release of essential nutrient elements by the poultry manure and increase of nutrient availability, resulted in more nutrient uptake and higher dry matter accumulation per plant and its subsequent translocation to the developing spike. Similar findings was reported by **Goud et al. (2021)**. Other reason, for increase in grain yield was foliar spray of FAA3% which was a positive and synergetic effect, resulted, increased in grain yield **Debbarmaet al. (2015)** in rice crop.

Comment [H7]: there is no analysis of soil and plants after treatment that supports the argument

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that application of poultry manure 1.6t/ha along with fish amino acid 3% has performed better in growth parameters and yield attributes of foxtail millet and also recorded higher yield, when compared to other treatments.

REFERENCES

- Bakayoko, S., Soro, D., Nindjin, C., Dao, D., Tschannen, A., Girardin, O. and Assa, A. (2009).** Effects of cattle and poultry manures on organic matter content and adsorption complex of a sandy soil under cassava cultivation (*Manihot esculenta*, Crantz). *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*.**3** (8):190-197.
- Bhattacharyya, R., Kundu, S., Prakash, V. and Gupta, H.S. (2008).** Sustainability under combined application of mineral and organic fertilizers in a rainfed soybean-wheat system of the Indian Himalayas. *European Journal of Agronomy*. **28**(1):33-46.
- Choudhary, R., Yadav, L.R., Shivran, A.C. and Parihar, S. (2014).** Effect of vermicompost and fertility levels on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and net returns in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*.**84** (11): 1428-30.
- Damar, W.K., Garba, A., Russom, Z., Ibrahim, S. A., Haggai, P.T. and Dikwahal, H.D. (2016).** Effect of poultry manure on growth and yield of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* l. gaertn) in the northern guinea savannah, Nigeria. *Pattern Analysis and Applications*.**12** (1): 173-180.
- Debbarma, V., Abraham, T., Debbarma, S. and Debbarma, H. (2015).** Influence of different planting methods and organic nutrients on growth and yield of rice [*oryza sativa* (l.) sub sp. Japonica]. *The Ecoscan*.**9**(3&4): 1039-1044.
- Dejene, M. and Lemlem, M. (2012).** Integrated agronomic crop managements to improve tef productivity under terminal drought. *InTech Open Science*. 235-254.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984).** Statistical procedures for agricultural research. *An International Rice Research Institute Book*. John wiley and sons, NewYork, 680p.
- Goud, B.S.S., Singh, R. and Khan, W. (2021).** Effect of seed inoculants and organic manures on growth and yield of organic pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* l.). *Asian Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology & Environmental Sciences*.**23**(2): 2021: 253-257.

- Govindappa, M., Vishwanath, A.P., Harsha, K.N., Thimmegowda, P. and Jnanesh, A.C. (2009).** Response of finger millet (*Eluesinecoracana*.L.) to organic and inorganic sources of nutrients under rainfed condition. *Journal of Crop and Weed*. **5**(1): 291-293.
- Hirzel, J., Matus, I., Novoa, F. and Walter, I. (2007).** Effect of poultry litter on silage maize (*Zea mays* L.) production and nutrient uptake. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research*. **5**(1):102-109.
- Jagadeesha, N., Reddy, V.C., Krishnamurthy, N. and Sheshadri, T. (2010).** Effect of organic manures on productivity of finger millet and redgram inter cropping system under protective irrigation. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. **6**(2): 453-455.
- Ledhan, S., Singh, V. and Tiwari, D. (2021).** Effect of row spacing and poultry manure on the growth and yield of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal***10**(8): 1709-1712.
- Murugan, A. V. and Swarnam, T. P. (2013).** Nitrogen release pattern from organic manures applied to an acid soil. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*. **5**(6):174-184.
- Ramesh, T., Rathika, S., Murugan, A., Soniya, R.R., Mohanta, K.K. and Prabharani, B. (2020).** Foliar spray of fish amino acid as liquid organic manure on the growth and yield of amaranthus. *Chemical Science Review and Letters*. **9** (34): 511-515.
- Romaniuk, R., Giuffre, L., Romero, R. (2011).** A soil quality index to evaluate the vermicompost amendments effects on soil properites. *Journal of Environmental Protection*. **2**:502-510. [doi:10.4236/jep.2011.25058](https://doi.org/10.4236/jep.2011.25058)
- Shah, S.A., Mohammad, W., Haroon. and Khan, A.A. (2017).** Residual effect of poultry manure and mineral N application on maize production under wheat-maize cropping system. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture - Food Science and Technology*. **5**(9): 1061-1065.
- Uwah, D.F., Cynthia ChinenyeOgar, C.C. and Akpan, R.J. (2014).** Effects of poultry manure and plant population on soil properties and agronomic performance of sweet maize (*Zea mays* l. saccharata strut). *International Journal of Applied Science and Technology*.**4**(4): 190- 201.
- Vasanthi, D.S. and Kumaraswamy, K.G. (1999).** Efficacy of vermicompost to improve soil fertility and rice yield. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. **47**:268-272.

Table 1: Effect of organic manures and fish amino acid on growth parameters of foxtail millet

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | number of tillers/hill | Plant dry weight(g) |
|--|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 1.FYM10t/ha + FAA 2% | 85.01 | 1.93 | 16.83 |
| 2. FYM10t/ha + FAA 3% | 86.97 | 2.27 | 17.17 |
| 3. FYM10t/ha + FAA 4% | 82.59 | 2.47 | 18.47 |
| 4. Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA 2% | 86.78 | 2.60 | 18.90 |
| 5. Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA 3% | 94.03 | 3.27 | 20.98 |
| 6. Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA 4% | 86.63 | 2.27 | 17.72 |
| 7. Vermicompost 1.6t/ha + FAA 2% | 76.09 | 1.53 | 15.62 |
| 8. Vermicompost 1.6t/ha + FAA 3% | 88.46 | 2.73 | 19.68 |
| 9. Vermicompost 1.6t/ha + FAA 4% | 91.69 | 3.07 | 20.31 |
| 10. Control plot (N:P: K = 50:30:20 Kg/ha) | 88.74 | 2.67 | 19.38 |
| F- test | S | S | S |
| S. EM (±) | 1.97 | 0.07 | 0.37 |
| C. D. (P = 0.05) | 8.01 | 0.20 | 1.09 |

Table 2. Effect of organic manures and fish amino acid on Yield and Yield attributes of foxtail millet

| Treatments | Number of grains/spike | Test weight(g) | Grain yield(t/ha) |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. FYM10t/ha + FAA 2% | 1838.07 | 3.04 | 1.62 |
| 2. FYM10t/ha + FAA 3% | 2232.40 | 3.17 | 1.65 |
| 3. FYM10t/ha + FAA 4% | 2584.13 | 3.35 | 1.70 |
| 4. Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA 2% | 2672.80 | 3.40 | 1.74 |
| 5. Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA 3% | 3081.20 | 3.71 | 2.35 |
| 6. Poultry manure 1.6t/ha + FAA 4% | 2498.13 | 3.28 | 1.68 |
| 7. Vermicompost 1.6t/ha + FAA 2% | 1724.60 | 2.95 | 1.58 |
| 8. Vermicompost 1.6t/ha + FAA 3% | 2788.40 | 3.55 | 2.13 |
| 9. Vermicompost 1.6t/ha + FAA 4% | 3056.13 | 3.63 | 2.27 |
| 10. Control plot (N:P:K = 50:30:20 Kg/ha) | 2716.47 | 3.46 | 1.77 |
| F test | S | S | S |
| S. EM (±) | 70.17 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| CD (P = 0.05) | 208.48 | 0.12 | 0.07 |