

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_92038
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on the crop growth and yield of foxtail millet (<i>Setaria italica</i> L. Beauv)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This study is on the influence of isolated PGPR on promoting crop growth under greenhouse condition and the effects of PGPR on crop yield of foxtail millet under field condition, and the author finds that under greenhouse conditions foxtail millet produced the highest average root and shoot lengths when seeds were inoculated with <i>Bacillus cereus</i> (19.33 cm) and <i>Pseudomonas putida</i> (28.66 cm), respectively. On the other hand, among the four bacterial cultures, <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> exhibited the best performance in terms of grain weight (40.42%), ear-head weight (30.19%), fodder weight (49.72%) and ear-head length (42.56%) compared to the control group under field conditions. Finally, Conclusion of analysis is the bacterial isolates significantly improved the growth of foxtail millet seedlings in terms of both shoot length over mock control. The paper quality is nice and can be accepted for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yashwant Singh
Department, University & Country	Government College, India