

## Response of FYM and split application of nitrogen on growth and green yield of fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.)

### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at main forage research station, Anand Agriculture University, Anand (Gujarat) to study the effect of FYM and split application of nitrogen on growth and yield of fodder maize in loamy sand soil during *rabi* season of 2021-22. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design (factorial) with three replications. There were ten treatment combinations comprising two levels of FYM *i.e.*, F<sub>1</sub> (No FYM) and F<sub>2</sub> (10 t FYM/ha) and five levels of nitrogen split *i.e.*, N<sub>1</sub> (Control: Common Practices, 50% Basal + 50% 30 DAS), N<sub>2</sub> (20% N at Basal + 80% N in three equal splits), N<sub>3</sub> (30% N at Basal + 70% N in three equal splits), N<sub>4</sub> (40% N at Basal + 60% N in three equal splits) and N<sub>5</sub> (50% N at Basal + 50% N in three equal splits). Application of 10 t FYM/ha ~~were~~ improved the growth, quality and yield attributes like periodical plant height, leaves/plant, leaf-stem ration, green and dry fodder yield, crude protein and dry matter content, NPK content and uptake, ADF and NDF as compared to control treatment of fodder maize. Response of FYM on soil EC, pH was found to be non-significant and soil available phosphorus and potassium were found significant. Split application of nitrogen (50% N at Basal + 50% N in three equal splits) reported significant response on growth parameters like periodical plant height, number of leaves per plant, green fodder yield and quality parameters like dry matter content, crude protein content, dry fodder yield, crude protein yield, neutral detergent fiber and acid detergent fiber of fodder maize. Response of split application of nitrogen on post-harvest soil parameters like pH, EC, organic carbon, available phosphorus and potassium.

**Key word;** Green fodder yield, dry fodder yield, Split nitrogen, Acid detergent fiber, Neutral detergent fiber

### Introduction

Comment [SC1]: Need to introduce the work/ mention the plot first

Comment [SC2]: small letters

Comment [SC3]: add justification of the work before going to objective(s)

Comment [SC4]: elaborate for the first time

Comment [SC5]: elaborate for the first time

Comment [SC6]: As these are same attributes as above you can merge with above sentence to accommodate this in one sentence.

Comment [SC7]: Not clear what is the message....

Comment [SC8]: There is no such info/description mentioned in Introduction.

Maize is one of the important cereal crops in the world agricultural economy and important crop next to rice and wheat. Maize is a multifaceted crop used as a food, feed and industrial crop globally. It has a very predominant role to carryout in Indian economy. About 85 per cent in consumed as human food. It has got a very high yield potential due to its genetic constituent in contrast to other crops hence it is called “queen of cereals” (Suraj M~~et.al.~~, 2020). Green fodder is an important component of animal feed. Maize is one of the most prominent forage crops not only in India but throughout the world owing to its higher growth rate and yield, wider adaptability, higher digestibility, more palatability and lack of any potential anti-nutritional factor (Hedayetullah and Zaman, 2018). It is originated in Mexico (Mangeisdorfet al., 1964) and second most important cereal fodder crop, after sorghum, because it is a dual-purpose crop. In world production, maize ranked as the third cereal crop after wheat and rice (Purseglove, J.W., 1972).The fodder production in our country is insufficient to meet the requirement of livestock in India (Ananthi&Vennila., 2021). Precise management of feed and fodder crops is vital for improving the productivity of dairy and other livestock (Sharma et al., 2016).

Comment [SC9]: check citation style

Comment [SC10]: Not matching in continuation of the previous lines.

Comment [SC11]: you can include FAOSTAT data

Comment [SC12]: Redundant

Water and nutrients are the most important elements influencing the growth of the plants. Therefore, management of the factors in farming system is one of the most important components of ~~Agriculture~~ agriculture management (Liebman and Davis, 2000). Organic matter is known to improve soil health and availability of plant nutrients (Atagana, 2004, Montemurroet.al., 2005, Guillaumeset.al.,2006 and Ahmad et.al., 2008). Farmyard manure is one of the oldest manures used by the farmers to growth plants because of its easy availability (Singh and Sukul 2019). It is the most essential organic source since it provides all of the nutrients required for crop growth, including trace elements in modest quantities (Singh et.al., 2021), it is not only supplies macro and micronutrients to plants but also improves soil health from physical, chemical and biological point (Reddy and Reddy 2003)ef for increasing

Comment [SC13]: missing in Reference

Comment [SC14]: missing in Reference

the production of quality fodder. Nutrient management through organic and inorganic plant nutrient source is very critical. Application of organic manure act as good source for fodder production as well as ~~it~~ accumulates higher carbon dioxide from atmosphere (Thennarasuet *al.*, 2016).

Nitrogen is a fundamental key component in crop growth and development and furthermore most restricting supplements in the soil (Suraj M. *et.al.*, 2020). Nitrogen plays a key role in vegetative growth and grain productions of maize (Khan *et al.*, 2014). Among the nutrients, nitrogen is vital for plant growth and is the most limiting nutrient in Indian soils (Karthika and Kalpana, 2017). Split application of nitrogen is one of the methods to improve nitrogen use by the crop while reducing the nutrients loss through leaching and volatilization (Lalita *et.al.*, 2017). Nitrogen has numerous functions in the plants. It is an essential elements of amino acids DNA and RNA (Bouldet *al.*, 1984). Nitrogen is a constituent of plant compounds including nucleotides, amines, and amines. Many enzymes are proteinaceous; hence N plays a key role in many metabolic reactions. Nitrogen is a structural constituent of cell walls (Schrader, 1984). Because N is contained in the chlorophyll molecules, a deficiency of N will result in a chlorotic condition of the plant. Supply of nutrients at an appropriate time and amount is always imperative for better growth and development of a crop. However, yield and quality parameters are very much affected by inadequate availability of plant nutrients. Low yield of fodder maize is due to many constraints but NPK fertilizer application is one of the major factors (Witt *et al.*, 2008). Different fertilizer application and splitting of nitrogen can reduce the nutrient losses, therefore more amount of nutrients may become available which may be used efficiently by crops plants and thus, may result in nutrient economy (Mandal and Thakur 2010).

Keeping in view the importance of farm yard manure as ~~aan~~ organic manure and nitrogen as a key nutrient for plant growth and development, a field trial was undertaken to

Comment [SC15]: Missing in Reference

Comment [SC16]: Need to improve the sequence of info

study the effect of FYM and split application of nitrogen on yield and quality of fodder maize.

## Materials and Methods

A present field experiment study was conducted at Main Forage Research Station, Anand Agriculture University, Anand (Gujarat) to study the effect of FYM and split application of nitrogen on growth and yield of fodder maize during *rabi* season of 2021-22.

Comment [SC17]: Rewrite

The soil of experimental site was loamy sand in texture commonly referred to as "Goradu" soil. The soil of experimental plot was low in organic carbon (0.34%), medium in available phosphorus (35.86 kg/ha) and medium in available potash (267.80 kg/ha), soil pH (7.92) and EC (0.24 dSm<sup>-1</sup>). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design (factorial) with three replications. There were ten treatment combinations comprising two levels of FYM

*i.e.*, F<sub>1</sub> (No FYM) and F<sub>2</sub> (10 t FYM/ha) and five levels of nitrogen split *i.e.*, N<sub>1</sub> (Control: Common Practices, 50% Basal + 50% 30 DAS), N<sub>2</sub> (20% N at Basal + 80% N in three equal splits), N<sub>3</sub> (30% N at Basal + 70% N in three equal splits), N<sub>4</sub> (40% N at Basal + 60% N in three equal splits) and N<sub>5</sub> (50% N at Basal + 50% N in three equal splits). Calculated dose of

Comment [SC18]: Better to present in column/tabular form

FYM (contained 1.22 % N, 0.42 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 0.45 % K<sub>2</sub>O) was applied in the respective plot 15 days before sowing. Recommended dose of fertilizer (80-40-00 kg NPK/ha) was supplied through Urea and SSP. Basal dose of nitrogen was applied according to the treatment (Split application of nitrogen) and remaining nitrogen (according to treatments) was applied in three equal splits at 15, 30 and 45 DAS in treatment N<sub>2</sub> to N<sub>5</sub>. Maize variety African tall was sown by drilling method using 80 kg seed per hectare at row spacing of 30 cm. Crop production management practices were adopted as per recommendation of the. In maize, 5 plants were selected randomly from the net plot area for the measurements of growth and yield of maize.

Comment [SC19]: ???

Comment [SC20]: after harvest?

The field kept free from weeds by manually hoeing and chemically. Plant protection measure and irrigations whenever required were provided. A fresh sample of 500 g fodder maize was

collected from each net plot and chopped into small pieces and it was air dried for three to four days. The forage quality was assessed in terms of crude protein, dry matter, dry matter yield, crude protein yield analysis protocol was followed by described by association of official Analytical Chemistry (1984). The dry matter content multiply with total green fodder yield for calculation of dry matter yield and CP content was multiplied with total dry matter to calculated the crude protein yield (CPY) These air-dried samples were oven dried in the oven at 70 °C temperature till constant weight obtained and the dried samples were powdered to pass through 6 mm sieve. Take replicated observations for statistical analysis. Replicated data statistical analysis was carried out by Department of Agricultural Statistics, B.A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, as per the procedure described by Cochran and Cox (1967). The variances of different sources of variation in ANOVA were tested by “F - test” and compared with the value of Table F at 5% level of significance.

Comment [SC21]: not clear at which time/interval data were recorded.

Comment [SC22]: citation?

## Results and Discussion

### Effect of FYM

Response of FYM on plant population at 15 DAS was found to be non-significant, it means uniform germination of seed and no response of FYM on fodder maize.

Application of FYM 10 t/ha (F<sub>2</sub>) recorded significantly higher plant height (86.63 and 192.30 cm at 40 DAS and at harvest, respectively), leaves/plant (9.43 and 14.24 at 40 DAS and at harvest, respectively) and leaf-stem ratio (0.62 %) as compared to no application of FYM (F<sub>1</sub>) (Table 1). Enhancement in growth parameters with application of FYM might be attributed to the fact that FYM supplied macro and micronutrient particularly nitrogen which favours rapid cell division and cell elongation (Bhat *et al.*, 2021). Response of FYM was found non-significant on number of leaves per plant and plant height at 20 DAS.

Data presented in Table-1, application of 10 t FYM/ha (F<sub>2</sub>) reported high leaf stem ratio (0.62) compared to no application of FYM (F<sub>1</sub>). Increased in the leaf stem ratio might be

due to the supply of all essential plant nutrients, growth hormones and enzymes by FYM to plant which resulted into higher plant height and numerically a greater number of leaves (Sharma *et.al.*2016).

**Comment [SC23]:** No need add separate para. Include in upper para. As you already discussed to some extent there.

Application of FYM 10 t/ha (F<sub>2</sub>) reported higher green fodder yield (434 q/ha) compared to no application of FYM (F<sub>1</sub>). The magnitude of mean increase in green fodder yield with F<sub>2</sub>(10 t FYM /ha) was 13.02% over F<sub>1</sub> (No FYM: control). This might be due to the availability of available form of nutrients through of growth period as well as increased availability of soil nitrogen and other macro and micronutrients might have enhanced meristematic growth and resulted in higher fodder yield. Other reason might be due to increase in green fodder yield with the application of FYM could be attributed to conductive effect of FYM on root and shoot growth of plant which in turn accrued from increased morphological parameters viz., plant height and number of leaves per plant (Sharma *et al.*,2016 and Bhat *et al.*,2021).

The data revealed (Table-2) farmyard manure produced more dry matter yield (67.44q/ha) and crude protein yield (4.32 q/ha) than no application of FYM. The higher dry matter content in the FYM-treated plot might be attributed to a higher assimilation rate and better assimilate partitioning. Plant's metabolic processes were affected by nutrients such as N, K, and Zn owing to hormonal influences. Nutrient elements are important in plants because they provide a high plant height, number of leaf and leaf area index, which increases light interception on the crop canopy and, as a result, increases the amount of dry matter content of plant (Baljeet *et al.*,2020) while crude protein content might be due to more availability of nitrogen, which ultimately led to more nitrogen uptake and nitrogen content accumulated in plants and extended benefit with congenial biochemical reactions at higher FYM levels as reported by Kamalakumari and Singaram (1996).

**Comment [SC24]:** DMY or DFY?

**Comment [SC25]:** missing in Reference

Application of FYM significantly affected the dry matter content and crude protein content of fodder maize. Dry matter (15.52 %) and crude protein content (6.38 %) were observed by application of 10 t FYM/ha (F<sub>2</sub>) than no application of FYM (F<sub>1</sub>). Higher crude protein content might be due to more availability of nitrogen, which ultimately led to more nitrogen uptake and nitrogen content accumulated in plant and extended benefit with congenial biochemical reactions by FYM (Kamalkumari and Singaram, 1996). More uptake of nitrogen which is constituent of protein, amino acids, and amides (Keshwa and Yadav, 1989, Bhillar, 2007). It is application of nitrogen through organic manures continuously supply of essential macro and micronutrients. Increasing crude protein content with application of nitrogen is associated with cell division and cell elongation. Nitrogen supply also increased the formation of nucleotide and coenzyme of which nitrogen constituent which, therefore, facilitate cell elongation (Verma and Singh, 1987).

Application of 10 t FYM/ha reported higher dry fodder yield (67.44 q/ha) and crude protein yield (4.32 q/ha) compared to no application of FYM (F<sub>1</sub>). Higher dry fodder yield might be due to nitrogen enhance the meristematic and photosynthetic activity by regulating up the cell elongation and division and chlorophyll contents of leaves and it reflects the higher DMY. In general, organic manures like FYM and other known to have synergistic effect. Sometimes the organic manures have supplementary and complimentary effect with inorganic fertilizers. FYM in combination with inorganic fertilizer increase the availability of macro and micronutrients, hence increased the quality of plants (Manohar et al., 1992 and Patel and Vihol, 1992)

Studies on FYM application indicated that, lower Neutral detergent fiber content (65.66%) and Acid detergent fiber content (42.68 %) by application of 10 t FYM/ha over no application of FYM (F<sub>1</sub>).

Comment [SC26]: check in Reference part

Comment [SC27]: check this; reference missing

The statistically analysed data presented in Table 3 indicates that application of 10-ton FYM/ha (F<sub>2</sub>) registered significantly higher nitrogen content (1.02%) compared to control treatment (0.86%) in fodder maize. Increase in nitrogen content might be due to continuous and steadily supply of nitrogen through FYM. This might have led to better assimilation of nitrogen (Kumar *et al.*,2016). Application of FYM @10t/ha (F<sub>2</sub>) reported higher phosphorus content (0.19 %) and Potassium content (1.15 %) than no application of FYM (F<sub>1</sub>). Significantly higher phosphorus content might be due to Increase in phosphorus content might be due to the increased absorption of nutrients and efficient translocation toward the plant system during vegetative growth, higher potassium content might be due to Application of organic manure to the crop potassium content increases might be due to the increased absorption of nutrients and efficient translocation toward the plant system during vegetative growth (Kumar *et al.*,2016).

It was reported that application of 10 t FYM/ha (Table-3) recorded higher nutrient uptake by fodder maize. Higher nitrogen (69.12 kg/ha), phosphorus (12.70 kg/ha) and potassium (77.36 kg/ha) uptake noted by application of 10 t FYM/ha (F<sub>2</sub>) compared to no application of FYM (F<sub>1</sub>). On the mean basis, FYM application significantly enhanced nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake by dry fodder to the tune of 59.45, 71.62 and 45.00 per cent over no manure application of FYM. This could also be explained on the basis of better availability of desired and required nutrients in crop root zone and from the solubilization caused by the organic acid produced from the decaying of organic matter and also increased uptake by plant and enhanced photosynthetic and metabolic activities result in in better partitioning of photosynthates to sinks (Jinjala*et al.*, 2016).

Perusal of data presented in Table-4 revealed that application of 10 t FYM/ha (F<sub>2</sub>) reported higher organic carbon (0.37 %), available P (41.20 kg/ha) and available K (261.44 kg/ha) than no application of FYM (F<sub>1</sub>). Response of FYM on pH and EC were found to be

non-significant. Increase in soil organic carbon content may be attributed to the addition of more organic material through FYM (Babu *et al.*, 2020) and increase in phosphorus content in soil might be due to high concentration of nutrient in FYM Kumare *et al.* (2016).

### **Split application of nitrogen**

Time of nitrogen application significantly affected plant height, number of leaves per plant and leaf stem ratio (Table 1). Higher plant height (87.17 and 192.12 cm at 40 DAS and at harvest) was obtained with the N<sub>5</sub> (50% N at Basal + 50% N in three equal splits) treatment. The plant height increased with more nitrogen splits application. It might be due to supply of proper amount of N at different growth stage of maize. The nitrogen promotes plant growth, increased the number and length of internodes which resulted taller plants of maize (Adhikari *et al.*, 2016). Increased cell division, cell elongation, nucleus formation as well as green foliage. Increase in plant height may also be due to prolonged vegetative growth which increased the plant height (Castro *et al.*, 2008). It also may be due to fact that split application makes better utilization of nitrogen by reducing its loss and matching the nitrogen supply with crop demand (Muthukumare *et al.*, 2005)

It can be inferred from the data showed that split applications of nitrogen had significant effect on number of leaves per plant at 40 DAS (9.83) and at harvest (15.31). However, split application of nitrogen found non-significant with number of leaves per plant at 20 DAS. Higher number of leaves per plant (9.83 and 15.31, at 40 DAS and at harvest) was recorded from treatment N<sub>5</sub> (50% N at Basal + 50% N in three equal splits) which was statistically at par with N<sub>3</sub> (30% N at Basal + 70% N in three equal splits) and N<sub>4</sub> (40% N at Basal + 60% N in three equal splits). The increase in the number of leaves per plant could possibly be ascribed to fact that nitrogen often increase plant growth and plant height and this results in more nodes and internodes and subsequently more production of leaves (Gharge *et al.*, 2020).

It was ascertained from data (Table 4) that treatment N<sub>5</sub> (50% N at Basal + 50% N in three equal splits) reported significantly higher leaf stem ratio (0.61) at harvest of fodder maize. Optimum supply of plant nutrients is always imperative for better growth and development of a crop. By split application of nitrogen leaf stem ratio increases, it might be due to the fact that split application of nitrogen tends to increase nitrogen use efficiency leading to better growth like plant height and number of leaves per plant as well as development phase (Alipatraet *et al.*, 2013).

Plant population per meter row length recorded at 15 DAS in fodder maize did not show significant influence of FYM and split application of nitrogen.

Split application of nitrogen is one of the methods to improve nitrogen use by the crop while reducing the nutrient loss through leaching and volatilization (Lalita *et al.*, 2018). The attained results showed that, split applications of nitrogen N<sub>5</sub> (50% N at Basal + 50% N in three equal splits) recorded higher green fodder yield (447 q/ha) than rest of treatment except treatment N<sub>3</sub> (30% N at Basal + 70% N in three equal splits) and N<sub>4</sub> (40% N at Basal + 60% N in three equal splits). Treatment N<sub>5</sub> reported 20 and 13 per cent higher green fodder yield than treatment N<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>, respectively.

Split applications of nitrogen N<sub>5</sub> (50% N at Basal + 50% N in three equal splits) reported higher dry matter content (15.20 %), dry matter production is basically a measure of photosynthetic efficiency of assimilatory system in plants and crude protein content (6.31 %) Crude protein contents have a major role in increasing the quality of fodder crops. Dry matter content, treatment N<sub>5</sub> statically at par with treatment N<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>4</sub> and crude protein content was at par with N<sub>4</sub>. Increase in dry matter content may be due to better utilization of nitrogen at proper time and growth stage through split application, which in turn produced more assimilates by maize crop (Muthukumaret *et al.*, 2005).

Treatment N<sub>5</sub> (50% N at Basal + 50% N in three equal splits) reported significantly lower Neutral detergent fiber (65.29 %) and Acid detergent fiber (44.10 %).

The use of nitrogen by plants involves several steps, including uptake, assimilation, translocation and, when the plant is ageing, recycling and remobilization. Data presented in Table-3 reported that split application 50% N at Basal + 50% N in three equal splits treatment (N<sub>5</sub>) reported higher nitrogen content (1.01 %) in fodder maize. Response of nitrogen on phosphorus and potassium content was found to be non-significant. Nutrient uptake by fodder maize as influenced by split application of nitrogen. Significantly higher nitrogen uptake (69.60 kg/ha), phosphorus uptake (12.42 kg/ha) and potassium uptake (78.17 kg/ha) were reported in treatment N<sub>5</sub> (N<sub>5</sub> (50% N at Basal + 50% N in three equal splits). As compared to treatment N<sub>1</sub> (50 % Basal + 50 % at 30 DAS) treatment N<sub>5</sub>(50% N at Basal + 50% N in three equal splits) reported 55, 57.01 and 50.03 % higher N, P and K uptake by fodder maize, respectively.

Response of split application of nitrogen on soil parameters like pH, EC, OC, available Phosphorus and potassium were found to be non-significant.

**Table 1.** Effect of FYM and split application of nitrogen on growth attributes of fodder maize

Treatment	Plant stand (15 DAS)	No. of leaves			Plant height (cm)			Leaf-stem ratio
		20 DAS	40 DAS	Harvest	20 DAS	40 DAS	Harvest	
<b>Level of FYM</b>								
F <sub>1</sub>	7.27	6.12	8.73	13.02	31.44	76.62	173.39	0.52
F <sub>2</sub>	7.57	6.28	9.43	14.24	32.51	86.63	192.30	0.62
SEm±	0.21	0.16	0.22	0.35	0.84	1.64	2.66	0.02
CD at 5%	NS	NS	0.65	1.04	NS	4.86	7.92	0.05
<b>Split of Nitrogen (kg/ha)</b>								
N <sub>1</sub>	6.77	6.27	8.47	11.85	32.15	80.05	175.00	0.49
N <sub>2</sub>	7.77	6.07	8.48	12.96	31.02	76.10	176.25	0.56
N <sub>3</sub>	7.57	6.27	9.32	13.68	31.13	79.15	183.67	0.57
N <sub>4</sub>	7.70	6.17	9.30	14.35	32.22	85.67	187.21	0.60
N <sub>5</sub>	7.30	6.23	9.83	15.31	33.37	87.17	192.12	0.61
SEm±	0.32	0.26	0.34	0.55	1.32	2.59	4.21	0.03
CD at 5%	NS	NS	1.02	1.66	NS	7.69	12.52	0.07
<b>Interaction effect (F×N)</b>								
SEm±	0.46	0.37	0.49	0.78	1.87	3.66	5.96	0.04
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

**Table 2.** Effect of FYM and split application of nitrogen on yield and quality traits of fodder

Treatment	GFY (q/ha)	DM%	DFY (q/ha)	CP%	CPY (q/ha)	NDF (%)	ADF (%)
<b>Levels of FYM</b>							
F <sub>1</sub>	384	13.02	50.06	5.39	2.71	72.86	49.81
F <sub>2</sub>	434	15.52	67.44	6.38	4.32	65.66	42.68
<b>SEm±</b>	10.50	0.23	1.61	0.09	0.11	1.07	0.66
<b>CD at 5%</b>	31.21	0.70	4.79	0.28	0.32	3.19	1.95
<b>Levels of N split</b>							
N <sub>1</sub>	374	13.13	48.97	5.66	2.80	73.54	48.14
N <sub>2</sub>	396	13.75	54.60	5.69	3.15	70.49	47.73
N <sub>3</sub>	399	14.47	58.17	5.81	3.42	69.04	46.62
N <sub>4</sub>	428	14.80	63.76	5.94	3.85	67.94	44.63
N <sub>5</sub>	447	15.20	68.25	6.31	4.35	65.29	44.10
<b>SEm±</b>	16.61	0.37	2.55	0.15	0.17	1.70	1.04
<b>CD at 5%</b>	49.35	1.10	7.57	0.44	0.50	5.04	3.08
<b>Interaction effect (F × N)</b>							
<b>SEm±</b>	23.49	0.52	3.60	0.21	0.24	2.40	1.47
<b>CD at 5%</b>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

maize

Where GFY= green fodder yield, DM%= Dry matter content, DFY= Dry fodder yield, CP%= Crude protein content, CPY= Crude protein yield, NDF= Neutral detergent fiber, ADF= Acid detergent fiber

Comment [SC28]: Dry matter yield? DMY?

**Table 3.** Effect of FYM and split application of nitrogen on NPK content and uptake by fodder

Treatment	N Content (%)	N Uptake (kg/ha)	P Content (%)	P Uptake (kg/ha)	K Content (%)	K Uptake (kg/ha)
<b>Levels of FYM</b>						
F <sub>1</sub>	0.86	43.35	0.15	7.40	1.07	53.50
F <sub>2</sub>	1.02	69.12	0.19	12.70	1.15	77.36
SEm±	0.02	1.72	0.00	0.37	0.01	1.77
CD at 5%	0.04	5.11	0.01	1.11	0.04	5.24
<b>Levels of N split</b>						
N <sub>1</sub>	0.91	44.87	0.16	7.91	1.06	52.10
N <sub>2</sub>	0.91	50.32	0.16	9.12	1.10	60.30
N <sub>3</sub>	0.93	54.76	0.17	9.76	1.10	64.59
N <sub>4</sub>	0.95	61.60	0.17	11.03	1.13	71.99
N <sub>5</sub>	1.01	69.60	0.18	12.42	1.14	78.17
SEm±	0.02	2.72	0.01	0.59	0.02	2.79
CD at 5%	0.07	8.08	NS	1.75	NS	8.29
<b>Interaction effect (F×N)</b>						
SEm±	0.03	3.84	0.01	0.79	0.03	3.95
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

maize

**Table 4.** Effect of FYM and split application of nitrogen on soil parameters after harvest of crop

Treatment	pH (1:2.5)	EC (dS/m)	OC (%)	Available P (kg/ha)	Available K (kg/ha)
<b>Initial</b>	7.92	0.24	0.342	35.86	267.8
<b>Levels of FYM</b>					
<b>F<sub>1</sub></b>	7.93	0.24	0.32	37.50	250.55
<b>F<sub>2</sub></b>	7.83	0.23	0.37	41.20	261.44
<b>SEm±</b>	0.05	0.005	0.007	0.83	3.31
<b>CD at 5%</b>	NS	NS	0.019	2.45	9.85
<b>Levels of N split</b>					
<b>N<sub>1</sub></b>	7.88	0.25	0.35	39.35	259.49
<b>N<sub>2</sub></b>	7.89	0.23	0.35	38.62	257.45
<b>N<sub>3</sub></b>	7.87	0.24	0.34	39.71	255.51
<b>N<sub>4</sub></b>	7.87	0.24	0.34	39.46	254.31
<b>N<sub>5</sub></b>	7.92	0.24	0.34	39.63	253.23
<b>SEm±</b>	0.07	0.008	0.010	1.30	5.24
<b>CD at 5%</b>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>Interaction effect (F×N)</b>					
<b>SEm±</b>	0.10	0.012	0.015	1.85	7.41
<b>CD at 5%</b>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

### Conclusion

In view of the results obtained from the present investigation it can be concluded that application of 10 t/ha FYM obtained higher maize green fodder yield and fodder quality and split application of nitrogen as 50% N at basal + 50% N in three equal splits or 40% N at basal + 60% N in three equal splits or 30% N at basal + 70% N in three equal splits obtained higher maize green fodder yield and quality.

### References

Adhikari, P., Baral, B.R. and Shrestha, J. (2016). Maize response to time of nitrogen application and planting season. *J.Maize Res. Develop.* 2(1):83-93.

- Ahmad, R., Khalid, A., Arshad, M., Zahir, A. and Mahmood, T. (2008). Effect of compost enriched with N and L-tryptophan on soil and maize. *Agron Sustain Dev.* 28: 299-305
- Alipatra, A., Kundu, C.K., Bandopadhyay, P., Bera, P.S. and Banerjee, H. (2012). Growth, yield and quality of fodder oat (*Avena sativa* L.) as affected by split application of fertilizer and cutting management. *Crop Res.* 43(1,2 & 3): 234-237.
- Amanullah, H. Rahman and Z. Shah. (2008). Effects of plant density and N on growth dynamics and light interception in maize. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, 54: 401-411.
- Ananthi, T. and Vennila, C. (2021). Influence of organic manure and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.) grown in North Eastern zone of Tamil Nadu. *Current journal of applied science and technology*, 40(8),70-80.
- Atagama, H.I. (2004) Co-composting of PAH-contaminated soil with poultry manure Letter. *Appl. Microbiol.* 39:163-168
- Association of official analytical chemists (1984). Official methods of analysis, Fourteenth ed. Arlington virginia, USA.
- Baljeet, Kumar, S., Singh, M., Meena, B. L., Meena, V. K., Onte, S., & Bhattacharjee, S. (2020). Yield and qualitative evaluation of fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.) under potassium and zinc based integrated nutrient management. *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition*, 37(3), 235-241.
- Babu, S., Singh, R., Avasthe, R.K., Yadav G., Das A., Singh, V.K., Mohapatra, K.P., Rathore, S.S., Chandra, P. and Kumar, A., (2020). Impact of land configuration and organic nutrient management on productivity, quality and soil properties under baby corn in Eastern Himalayas. [www.nature.com/scientific-reports](http://www.nature.com/scientific-reports)10:16129
- Bhat, R. A., Ahmad, F., Ahngar, T. A., Shiekh, T. A., Rashid, Z., Raja, W. & Dar, Z. A. (2021). Evaluation of fodder maize (*zea mays* L.) cv. African tall and its response to different rates of FYM and biofertilizers under cold arid conditions of Kargil. *International journal of plant & soil science*, 33(24), 458-465.
- Bhillar, R.L. (2007). Effect of cutting management and nitrogen levels on growth, yield and quality of oat (*Avena sativa* L.) Ph.D. thesis, G.B.Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar.

- Bould, C., Hewitt, E.J., and Needham, P. (1984). *Diagnosis of Mineral Disorders in plants*. Vol.1: Principles. Chemical publishing. New York.
- Cochran, W.G. and Cox G.M. (1967). *Experimental designs*. Jhon willy and sons Inc., New York.
- Castro, P.R.C., Kluge, R.A. and Sestari, I. (2008). *Manual of plant physiology: crop physiology* (in portuguesAgronomica ceres, Sao Paulo Brazil. Pp. 864.
- Gharge, P.V., Karpe, A.H and Patil, P.R. (2020): Effect of split nitrogen application on growth parameters of maize. *International journal of chemical studies*. 8(3):1030-1033.
- Guillaumer, E., Villar, J.M.(2006). Response of wheat to additional nitrogen fertilizer application after pig slurry on over fertilized soils. *Agron Sustain Dev*. 127-133.
- Hedayetullah, M., & Zaman, P. (Eds.). (2018). *Forage Crops of the World, Volume I: Major Forage Crops*. CRC Press.
- Jinjala, V.R., Viradia, H.M., Sarvaiya, N.N. and Raj, A.D.(2016). Effect of integrated nutrient management on baby corn (*Zeamays L.*). *Agric. Sci. Digest*. D-4379 (1-4).
- Kalra, V. P., and Sharma, P. (2015). Influence of Farmyard Manure and Nitrogen on Growth and Fodder Yield of Summer Maize. *Journal of Agricultural Physics*, 15(2), 175-180.
- Kamalkumari, K. and P Singaram (1996). Quality parameters of maize as influenced by fertilizers and manures. *Madras. Agric. J.* 83:(32-33).
- Karthika1, N. and Kalpana, R. (2017). HCN Content and Forage Yield of Multi-Cut Forage Sorghum under Different Organic Manures and Nitrogen Levels. *Chem Sci Rev Lett* 2017, 6(23), 1659-1663.
- Keshwa, G.L., and Yadav, M.L. (1989). Effect of nitrogen and cutting management on quality components of forage pearl millet. *Indian J.Agric. Sci.* 59: 734-736.
- Khan, F., Khan, S., Fahad, S., Faisal, S., Hussain, S., Ali, S., and Ali, A. (2014). Effect of different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on the phenology and yield of maize varieties. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 2014.

- Kumar, S., Kumar, A., Singh J. and Kumar, P. (2016). Growth indices and nutrient uptake of fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Forage Res.* 42(2): 119-123.
- Liebman, M, and Davis, A.S. (2000). Integrated of soil, crop and weed management in low external input farming system. *Weed Research.* 40:27-47.
- Mandal, S. and Thakor, T.C. (2010). Effect of subsoiling, deep and different rate of placement of fertilizer on sugarcane crop response. *J.Agric. Engg.* 47(1): 9-13.
- Mangeisdorf, P.C., Mac Neish, R.S., Galinat, W.E., (1964). Domestication of corn science. 143, 538–545.
- Manohar, S.S., Singh, G.D. and Rathore, P.S. (1992). Influence of sulphur, phosphorus and farm yard manure on yield attributes and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in southern Rajasthan conditions. *Indian J. Agron* 36:448-450.
- Montemurro, F., Maiorana M., Convertini G., Farmaro F. (2005). Improvement of soil properties and nitrogen utilization of sunflower by amending municipal solid waste compost. *Agron. Sustain Dev.*25:369-378
- Muthukumar, V. B., Velayudham, K., &Thavaprakash, N. (2005). Growth and yield of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by plant growth regulators and different time of nitrogen application. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 1(4), 303-307.
- Patel, R.H. and Vihol, P.P. (1992). Residual effect of P and FYM on growth, yield and quality of rabi maize. *Farming system*
- Purseglove, J.W., 1972. Tropical crop. Monocotyledons. Longmans, London, pp. 300–333.
- Reddy, Y.R. and Reddy, G.H. (2003). Principle of Agronomy, 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. Kalyani Pulishers. Ludhiana (India). Pp.203-253.
- Schrader, L.E. (1984). Functions and transformation of nitrogen in higher plants. *Int Nitrogen in crop production*. R.D.Hauck (ed.). PP 55-6. ASA, CSSA and SSA, Madison, WI.
- Sharma, P. K., Kalra, V. K., andTiwana, U. S. (2016). Effect of farmyard manure and nitrogen levels on growth, quality and fodder yield of summer maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Agricultural Research Journal*, 53(3), 355-59.

- Singh, L. and Sukul, P. (2019). Impact of vermicompost and farm yard manure, flyash and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield attributing characters of maize (*Zea mays* L.) Plant archives 19(2):2193-2200.
- Singh, S., Dayal G. and Shukla, A. (2021). Response of farm yard manure and zinc application of growth parameters and yield of winter maize (*Zea mays* L.). Flora and Fauna. 27(2): 284-288.
- Suraj, M. Somangouda G. and Salakinkop, S.R.(2020). Yield of yield attributes of (*Zea mays* L. *Saccharate*) as influenced by split application of nitrogen and potassium during kharif under protective irrigation. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology studies*. 8(4):361-364
- Thenarasu, A., Sivakumar, T., Sundaram, S. M., Sankaran, V. M., and Vanan, T. T. (2016). Influence of Organic Manure on Fodder Yield and Carbon Sequestration Potential of Fodder Maize (*Zea Mays* L.). *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*, 37, 1265-1260.
- Verma, L., Kumar V., Shindhe, S.S. And Asewar, B.V. (2017). Effect of split application of nitrogen on growth and yield of *kharif* maize (*Zea mays* L.) Journal of pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 7(2): 1129-1131.
- Verma, S.S. and Singh, V. (1987). Quality of forage oat in relation to nitrogen fertilization. *Forage Res.* 13: 77-83.
- Witt, C., J.M.C.A. Pasuquin and A. Dbermann. (2008). Site-specific nutrients management for maize in favorable tropical environments of Saia. Proc. 5th Inter. Crop Sci. Cong., April 13-18, Jeju, Korea, pp: 1-4.