

Original Research Article

Physico-Chemical properties of Juice in different wine varieties of grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.)

ABSTRACT

Physico-Chemical properties of juice in thirteen wine grape varieties (eight coloured and five white) were evaluated to assess the feasibility of growing wine grape varieties under Southern Telangana conditions. Observations recorded on physico-chemical properties of juice revealed that juice recovery was maximum in Chenin Blanc (78.38%) among the white varieties and in Pusa Navrang (77.75%) from the coloured ones. TSS of juice in different varieties ranged from 15.43 °B to 21.95 °B. Maximum TSS was recorded in Cv. Shiraz followed by Cabernet Sauvignon while minimum content of was observed in Italia. The titrable acidity of juice ranged from minimum of 0.51% in Ruby Red to maximum of 0.92% in Chenin Blanc and all the varieties are found to be within the standard range *i.e.* (dry table wines require high acidity of 0.6 to 0.9%, while sweet dessert wines require 0.5 to 0.6% acidity). pH of the juice ranged from 2.96 (Italia) to 3.53 (Athens) which indicated that as per the pH norms, all the varieties screened are suitable for making wines except Italia. Highest total and reducing sugar content of juice was observed in Shiraz (19.28 % and 15.38 %) followed by Chenin Blanc (19.07% and 15.21%) and on the other hand, minimum total sugar content (13.14%) was recorded by Cv. Italia and reducing sugar content in Pusa Navrang (7.84 %). The results signifies the potential for cultivation of wine grape varieties under Southern Zone of Telangana .

Keywords: *Wine grape varieties, quality, attributes, TSS, titrable acidity, pH, sugars*

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Vitis* comprises of three natural groups based on geographical locations *viz.*, North American, Eurasian and Asiatic. American and Asiatic group have 25-30 species whereas Eurasian has only one species *i.e.* *vinifera* which has contributed for advancement of grape cultivation throughout the world. The domesticated grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) is one of the oldest cultivated plants reported to be originated in middle east. Grape cultivation in India has been

Commercially taken up under a wide range of soil and climatic conditions. Major grape-growing states are Maharashtra, Karnataka, Telangana, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, and the north-western region covering Punjab, Haryana, western Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan and Madhya Pradesh.

Lack of cold storage facilities and single type of market *i.e.* fresh fruit trade create a market glut, resulting in the fall of prices and financial loss to the growers and traders. Hence, there is an urgent need to diversify grape usage as juice and wine which can ease out the marketing problems. The wine sector is currently demonstrating positive and dynamic growth mainly due to a change in lifestyle, health consciousness and awareness about wine as a healthy drink rather than an alcoholic beverage. Although India is not traditionally a wine drinking country, but the Indian wine industry has been steadily growing over the last decade. Wine is gradually becoming a part of urban Indian life

style. This shows the need for development of wine industry in Telangana, for domestic as well as for export market. As a preliminary step there is a need to find the suitability of growing grape wine varieties for wine making, Hence it is necessary to know the physico-chemical characters of the juice. Further, for wine making, specific chemical parameters requirements are essential. Different types of wines have different values of TSS, acidity and pH. Hence it is a pre requisite to analyse the bio-chemical properties of juice. Keeping this in view, an experiment was proposed to evaluate physico-chemical properties of juice in wine varieties of grape with an objective to find the suitability of growing wine varieties under Southern Telangana Zone.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted to study the quality performance of wine varieties of grape at Grape Research Station, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad . Thirteen wine grape varieties of which eight coloured and five white were evaluated during the year 2006-07 & 2007-08 to determine their suitability for wine preparation. The varieties are Zinfandel, Cabernet Sauvignon, Shiraz, Ruby Red, Pusa Navrang, Bangalore Blue, Athens and Gulabi are the red varieties whereas, Symphony, Chenin Blanc, Sauvignon Blanc, Thompson Seedless and Italia are the white varieties. Wine is prepared from grape juice. Observations on physico-chemical properties of juice viz., Juice recovery ,Total Soluble Solids , Titrable Acidity, pH of the juice and Sugars were recorded during the two cropping seasons, First Year (2006-07) and second year (2007-08) and data were analyzed statistically.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

1. JUICE RECOVERY (%)

The quantity of the wine is directly related to the juice recovery from the berries, hence it becomes necessary to have the information about juice recovery percent from different cultivars of grape to assess their suitability in wine making. With this objective in view, data was collected on juice recovery percentage and are presented in Table- 1.

Statistical analysis of the data indicated significant variation among the varieties while the influence of years and interaction was non significant.

The perusal of pooled data indicates that maximum mean juice recovery was observed in the Cv. Chenin Blanc (78.38 %), closely followed by Cv. Pusa Navrang (77.75 %), and both were in the same order. The latter was on par with Shiraz (74.80 %) which in turn was comparable with Sauvignon Blanc (72.49%) and Cabernet Sauvignon (72.36%). Minimum mean juice recovery was recorded in cultivar Gulabi (54.26%) preceded by variety Italia (56.33%) and both were in the same order. But the juice recovery percent was moderate in some cultivars viz., Sauvignon Blanc, Cabernet Sauvignon, Thompson Seedless, Symphony and Zinfandel.

With respect to the years, there was no significant difference between the two years of study. However, during the first year of trial, Chenin Blanc (80.43%) showed maximum juice recovery followed by Pusa Navrang (79.90%) whereas minimum recovery was observed with Gulabi (55.53 %). Similar

trend was noticed with respect to juice recovery during second year also. The interaction between varieties and years was found to be non significant.

The ultimate quantity of wine depends largely on the amount of juice recovered from the berries. The quantity of juice recovery from the berries in turn depends on pulpiness (Jindal, 1990), size and seediness of berries (Selvaraj *et al.*, 1975).

Patil *et al.*, (2007) observed higher juice recovery in white grapes than in red varieties, which was in line with the observations of the present study wherein the white varieties yielded higher juice recovery except Italia. Among the coloured varieties, Pusa Navrang yielded maximum juice recovery followed by Shiraz and Gulabi yielded minimum juice recovery while in case of white varieties Chenin Blanc recorded highest juice recovery percent while Italia registered least.

These results are in agreement with the findings of several researchers in different grape cultivars viz., 75.56 % in Cabernet Sauvignon and 60.55 % in Thompson Seedless (Pawar, 2002); 76.00 % in Pusa Navrang and 62.67 % in Ruby Red (Ratnacharyulu, 2010).

2. TOTAL SOLUBLE SOLIDS (°Brix)

The important constituent of wine is ethyl alcohol, a product of fermentation of sugars of fruit juice. Therefore, sugars are essential in adequate quantities in fruit juice for fermentation and also for production of quality wine. TSS forms a guide for producing different types of wines besides, the need for amelioration of fruit juice in order to have optimum content for wine making. In this context it becomes pre-requisite to measure the content of sugars, which are generally expressed as Total Soluble Solids (TSS) in Brix. TSS of the juice measured in different grape cultivars included in the study were furnished in the Table-2.

Perusal of the data indicates that mean maximum TSS was recorded in Cv. Shiraz (21.95 °B) which was highly significant and superior over the rest of the cultivars. This was followed by Cabernet Sauvignon (18.91°B), Chenin Blanc (18.81°B), which were in the same order. Minimum mean TSS content was observed in Italia (15.43 °B) closely preceded by Gulabi (15.63 °B) and Symphony (16.06 °B) and all were on par with each other. However, the cultivars Ruby Red (16.91°B), Thompson Seedless (16.95°B), Bangalore Blue (17.01°B), Pusa Navrang (17.16°B), Athens (17.21°B) and Sauvignon Blanc (17.50°B) did not differ statistically.

With regard to the years, the mean TSS content was significantly less in 2007-08 (17.05 °B) than that in 2006-07 (17.97°B). In 2006-07, Cv. Shiraz showed highest TSS content (22.30 °B) and was superior over others. This was followed by Chenin Blanc (19.50 °B), Cabernet Sauvignon (19.06 °B) and Zinfandel (18.70 °B) which were at par with each other. Minimum TSS content was recorded by Italia (15.66 °B) preceded by Gulabi (16.06 °B) and Symphony (16.46 °B) and all were statistically in same order. The rest of the cultivars showed intermediate values and were non significant.

Similar to 2006-07, in the year 2007-08, Shiraz showed highest TSS (21.60 °B) and was superior over others. This was followed by Cabernet Sauvignon (18.76 °B), Chenin Blanc (18.16°B) which were superior over the rest of the cultivars. Whereas, Italia showed minimum TSS of 15.20 °B, closely preceded by Gulabi (15.20°B) and Symphony (15.66°B) and were at par. The rest of the cultivars showed intermediate TSS values ranging from 16.20 (Athens) to 17.63°B (Zinfandel).

The interaction effect of cultivars and years was also significant. In both the years, Cv. Shiraz recorded maximum value of TSS (22.30 °B and 21.60 °B) while Italia (15.66 °B) in 2006-07 and Gulabi (15.20 °B) in the second year showed minimum TSS content and were in the same order.

TSS is an important substrate for getting quality wine. According to Ethiraj and Suresh (1987), TSS range of 19.5 -23.0 °B and 20.5-23.5 °B is optimum for making white and red table wines respectively. According to the above specification none of the white or coloured varieties screened are suitable for making wine, except Shiraz which recorded 21.95 °B TSS in coloured varieties. The low TSS in the varieties tested may be due to the cooler temperatures, during berry development. The cool temperatures were reported to reduce the sugar levels in berries (Karibasappa *et al.*, 2006). On the contrary, hot conditions during ripening period increases the sugar levels in grape.

Variation in TSS among the varieties was reported earlier (Selvaraj *et al.*, 1975; Singh, 1995; Gaurav *et al.*, 2000; Patil *et al.*, 2007; Ghosh *et al.*, 2008; Havinal *et al.*, 2008; Karibasappa and Adsule, 2008). In the present study Shiraz has recorded highest TSS (21.95°B) among the coloured varieties and Chenin Blanc in white varieties (18.81°B). Karibasappa and Adsule (2008) reported 18.5°B TSS in Chenin Blanc from Pune, which supports the results of present study. TSS recorded in case of Cabernet Sauvignon (18.91°B) which was less than that (22.6°B) reported by Havinal *et al.*, (2008) from Maharashtra may be due to the difference in prevailing climatic conditions between the two places. The differences in TSS in different grape cultivars may also be due to difference in maturity period and heat unit requirement (Masoodi *et al.*, 1991; Singh, 1995; Havinal *et al.*, 2008).

TSS content of grapes grown in different parts of the country varies considerably. For example 'Thompson Seedless' grapes grown in states of Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka and Maharashtra were higher brix (> 20 °B) whereas the same variety grown in Haryana, Punjab and Tamil Nadu have less brix (12.15°B). Grapes with low TSS are not preferred for wine making.

3. TITRABLE ACIDITY (%)

Organic acids (tartaric, malic and citric acids etc) determine the total titrable acidity and play an important role in evaluating the sensory properties of wine, particularly the tartness, colour and keeping quality. The mean values of titrable acidity in different cultivars during both season (2006-07 and 2007-08) were presented in Table-3 and the results are described below.

A perusal of the data presented in the Table indicates that among the different cultivars screened, Chenin Blanc showed mean maximum titrable acidity (0.92%) in juice and was significantly superior over the rest of the cultivars. It was followed by Bangalore Blue (0.84%) and Italia (0.80%) and both were statistically in same order. Mean minimum titrable acidity (0.51%) was observed by Ruby Red, which statistically differed from other cultivars. This was preceded by Thompson Seedless (0.59%), Cabernet Sauvignon (0.60 %), Symphony (0.62 %) and Gulabi (0.66%) which did not differ from each other statistically. The rest of the cultivars recorded titrable acidity in the range of 0.67 % in Zinfandel to 0.76% in Shiraz.

Years have also exerted significant influence on titrable acidity in grape juice, but the difference between the yearly means was not impressive, though there was statistical difference between the two. Titrable acidity was slightly more (0.72%) in the second year than in the first year (0.68 %).

During 2006-07, maximum titrable acidity was observed in Chenin Blanc (0.91%) closely followed by Bangalore Blue (0.82%) and Italia (0.80 %) and all the three were in same order. Minimum titrable acidity was noticed in Ruby Red (0.48%) closely preceded by Thompson Seedless (0.55%), Cabernet Sauvignon (0.58 %) and Symphony (0.60%) and were in same order. The rest of the cultivars recorded values of titrable acidity between these two extremes.

Similar trend was noticed during 2007-08. Maximum titrable acidity was recorded in Chenin Blanc (0.93%), a slight increase over the previous year. It was closely followed by Bangalore Blue (0.86%) and Italia (0.81%). Minimum titrable acidity was recorded in Ruby Red (0.54%) closely followed by Cabernet Sauvignon (0.62%), Thompson Seedless (0.64%) and Symphony (0.65%) and all these cultivars were statistically at par. Intermediate values for titrable acidity were observed in rest of the cultivars.

The influence of interaction of varieties and years was also significant. In both years Chenin Blanc showed maximum titrable acidity (0.91% and 0.93%), while Ruby Red showed minimum titrable acidity (0.48% and 0.54%).

Acidity of grape berries is one of the criteria that determines the suitability of a grape variety for wine making, as the flavour of the wine depends upon the optimum acidity of the grapes (Ethiraj and Suresh, 1987). Further, requirement of acidity in juice varies for different types of wines. Bammi (1968) stated that dry table wines require high acidity of 0.6 to 0.9%, while sweet dessert wines require 0.5 to 0.6 % acidity. High or low acid grapes are not suitable for wine making (Ethiraj and Suresh, 1987). As per the above norms, almost all the varieties of the present study are suitable for making dry table wines except Ruby Red, Thompson Seedless and Cabernet sauvignon which are suitable for sweet dessert wines.

The acidity ranged from 0.51% in Ruby Red to 0.84% in Bangalore Blue among the coloured varieties and 0.59% in Thompson Seedless to 0.92 % in Chenin Blanc among the white varieties. Thakur *et al.* (2008) reported highest total acidity in Ruby Red (1.45%) which was much higher than in the present investigation. The content of acidity seems to be affected by the prevailing temperature during the

development and ripening of berries. Cool and mild temperature increases the production of acids particularly malic and tartaric acids, while hot conditions lowers the acid level in grapes (Karibasappa *et al.*, 2006). Higher levels of acidity was recorded in all the varieties during the year 2007-08 as compared to 2006-07. This might have been due to the lower temperatures prevailing during that period at Hyderabad.

4. pH

The pH of the grape juice depends on the variety, season etc. It is required for the growth and development of yeast and affects colour, taste and appearance of wine. The data pertaining to pH of juice were presented in the Table- 4. It is obvious from the data that varieties showed significant influence while years and interactions did not exhibit any significant influence on the pH of the grape juice.

Among the different cultivars tested, for their growth performance and suitability for wine making, cultivar Athens registered highest pH of 3.53 and was closely followed by Thompson Seedless (3.51), Sauvignon Blanc (3.48), Symphony (3.48), Zinfandel (3.46), Cabernet Sauvignon (3.46) and Gulabi (3.45) which were statistically in the same order. Minimum pH was registered in Italia (2.96) which was statistically different from other cultivars and was preceded by Bangalore Blue (3.03) and Pusa Navrang (3.03) with which it was at par.

The yearly effect was not significant. In the first year, however highest pH (3.60) in the juice was recorded by Athens and minimum pH was shown by Bangalore Blue (2.96). In the second year, Chenin Blanc had maximum pH of 3.56 and Italia had recorded minimum pH of 2.93. The pH of juice in the rest of the cultivars ranged from 3.00 to 3.56 in 2006-07 and 2.96 to 3.56 in 2007-08. With regard to interaction between the varieties and years, the effect was found to be non significant.

pH of the grape juice plays an important role in the occurrence of malolactic fermentation, prevention of microbial spoilage, stability of soluble proteins, solubility of potassium bi-tartrate and calcium tartarate and colour stability in (red) wine (Morries *et al.*, 1984). pH is very important factor in the biological process of fermentation and is responsible for retention of flavouring substances in wine.

For good wine stability, Morris *et al.* (1984) prescribed an upper limit of pH as 3.4 and 3.5 for white and red wines respectively. A pH of 3.3 and 3.4 in grapes was suggested as optimum for making white and red table wines respectively (Ram Srinivas *et al.*, 1998). A pH greater than 3.6 makes wine unstable. Wines made from low pH grapes will be inferior in quality (flabby) and those from high pH will be tart and metallic (Amerine and Ough, 1980).

Vyas and Gandhi (1971) and Sharma (1997) reported range of pH from 3.2 to 3.5 respectively in various grape varieties under sub tropical conditions of North India. Selvaraj *et al.*, (1975) reported pH range of 3.2 to 3.7 in different cultivars from Tropical Bangalore. pH ranged from 3.07 to 4.95 in different wine grape cultivars from Pune (Karibasappa and Adsule, 2008). In the present study, pH ranged from

3.03 (Bangalore Blue and Pusa Navrang) to 3.53 (Athens) among coloured varieties while from 2.96 (Italia) to 3.51 (Thompson Seedless) among the white varieties. According to the pH norms prescribed by Ram Srinivas *et al.*(1998), almost all the varieties screened are suitable for making wines except Italia.

5. TOTAL SUGAR CONTENT (%)

The data recorded on total sugar content of juice as furnished in Table- 5 indicated that the experiment was significant in respect of varieties, years and their interaction.

Irrespective of the years, the varieties exhibited significant differences in total sugars in juice. Highest total sugar content was observed in Shiraz (19.28 %) closely followed by Chenin Blanc (19.07%) which were at par. Next in line was Pusa Navrang (18.21%) and was at par with Cabernet Sauvignon (17.89 %). On the other hand, Cv. Italia had minimum total sugar content (13.14%) closely preceded by Gulabi (15.37%) and Bangalore Blue (15.45%), the latter two were at par.

With respect to the years, the mean total sugar content was significantly less (16.31%) in 2007-08, than that of 2006-07 (17.08%). In 2006-07, Cv. Shiraz showed highest total sugar content (19.79%) and was superior to other cultivars. This was followed by Chenin Blanc (19.49%) and Pusa Navrang (19.21 %). Minimum total sugar content was observed in Italia (13.72%) preceded by Bangalore Blue (15.52%) and Gulabi (15.57 %) and all were statistically in same order. The rest of the cultivars recorded intermediate values.

Similar trend was observed in the year 2007-08. Maximum sugar content (18.78%) was recorded in Shiraz followed by Chenin Blanc (18.66%) and minimum was observed in Italia (12.57%) and Gulabi (15.18%) respectively. The Cv. Cabernet Sauvignon (17.43%), Pusa Navrang (17.22%), and Zinfandel (17.09%) were the next, showing maximum total sugar content and were in same order but superior to other cultivars.

The interaction between varieties and years showed significant influence. Shiraz recorded highest total sugar content in juice (19.79% and 18.78%) while Italia (13.72 % and 12.57%) recorded least content in both the years.

6. REDUCING SUGAR CONTENT (%)

Significant influence of varieties, years and their interaction was observed as per statistical analysis of the data on reducing sugars of juice in different grape cultivars (Table- 6).

The mean data of varieties, irrespective of the years has indicated that the reducing sugars content varied significantly among the cultivars. Maximum reducing sugar content of 15.38 % was recorded in Shiraz which was closely followed by Chenin Blanc (15.21%) and both were in the same order but superior over other cultivars. Next was Cabernet Sauvignon (14.27%) which was at par with Zinfandel (13.79%). The reducing sugar content was least in Pusa Navrang (7.84 %) preceded by Italia

(10.48%), Gulabi (12.26%) and Ruby Red (12.64 %) and all these differed significantly with each other. The remaining cultivars recorded intermediate values ranging from 12.69 % to 13.43 %.

The reducing sugar content was significantly differed between the years. However, reducing sugar content of the juice without reference to the cultivars showed slight decrease from the first year (13.14%) to second year (12.58%).

When the individual years were taken into account, it was observed that in the year 2006-07, maximum reducing sugar content was recorded in cultivar Shiraz (15.78%) which was at par with Chenin Blanc (15.54%) and both were superior to other cultivars. Minimum reducing sugar content (8.27%) was observed in Pusa Navrang preceded by Italia (10.94%). The rest of the cultivars recorded intermediate values ranging from 12.42% in Gulabi to 14.64% in Cabernet Sauvignon.

In the second year of the trial, Shiraz (14.98%) recorded higher reducing sugar content and was statistically in same order with Chenin Blanc (14.88%) but significantly superior to the rest. Cabernet Sauvignon (13.90 %), Zinfandel (13.63%) and Bangalore Blue (13.00%) were in same order but differed statistically with the above cultivars. Pusa Navrang showed consistency in producing lowest content (7.41%) this year also and was in same order with Italia (10.02 %).

Interaction between the varieties and years showed significant influence. Cv. Shiraz had higher reducing sugar content while Pusa Navrang recorded minimum content in both the years.

The predominant reducing sugars present in grapes are glucose and fructose, accounting for about 99% of the total carbohydrates in grape juice. Other sugars present are sucrose, raffinose, stachyose, maltose and galactose (Jindal, 1990). In the present study, reducing sugars in juice in different cultivars ranged from 7.84% to 15.38% with minimum in the variety Pusa Navrang and maximum in Shiraz. Interestingly, Pusa Navrang though having higher total sugar content (18.21%) recorded least content of reducing sugars (7.84%), attributable to the inherent varietal character.

A large variation in the content of total and reducing sugars of grape was reported earlier, 10.94% to 27.00% and 9.10 % to 22.20 % (Jindal, 1990; Masoodi *et al.*, 1991), 15.80% to 24.15% and 14.15% to 23.80% (Sharma *et al.*, 1993).

CONCLUSION

There were significant differences in wine varieties with respect to physico chemical properties of juice, juice recovery was maximum in Chenin Blanc among the white varieties and in Pusa Navrang from the coloured ones. However, the results clearly indicates the possibility of growing these varieties in Southern Telangana Zone diversifying the grape uses from table grapes to wine grapes .

REFERENCES

- Amerine, MA and Ough, CS. Wine and Must analysis, 2nd Ed. 1-34. 1980 .A Wiley-Inter Science Publ, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Bammi, RK. Need for growing wine grapes in India. *Indian Hort.* 1968; 12 (2) : 21-22.
- Ethiraj, S and Suresh, ER. Deacidification of high acid grape musts and wine making with *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *J.Food Science & Techn.* 15: 111-113. Jindal, P.C. 1990. Grape, In :Fruits: Tropical and Subtropical (1ST Edn.), Bose, T.K.(Eds.), Naya prakash, Calcutta. 1987; pp,186-251.
- Gaurav, SB, Garnade, VK and Tambe, TB. Collection and evaluation of Germplasm, Res. Rept. All India Co-ordinated project on Subtropical Fruits held at Navsari, India. 2000 ; pp.201.
- Ghosh, SN, Ranjan, T and Pal, PP. Performance of eight grape cultivars in laterite soil of West Bengal. *Acta Horticulturae.* 2008; 85: 73-77.
- Havinal, MN, Tambe, TN and Patil, SP. Comparative studies on vine vigour and fruitfulness of grape wine varieties. *The Asian Jr.Hort.* 2008;3 (1):180-182.
- Karibasappa, GS and Adsule PG. Evaluation of wine grape genotypes. National Research centre for Grapes at their farm at Pune, Maharashtra, India. *Acta Horticulturae.* 2008; 785: 497-504.
- Karibasappa, GS, Adsule, PG, Sawant, SD and Banerjee, K. Present scenario of wine industry in India. International Symposium on Grape Production and Processing held at Baramati in February, 2006; pp:52-74.
- Masoodi, FA, Kaur, B and Kaur, H. Effect of harvesting dates on physico chemical composition and quality of grape juice cv. Perlette. *Indian Jr.Hort.* 1991; 48: 328-333.
- Morris, JR, Sims, CA, Bourque, JE and Oakes, JL. Relationship of must pH and acidity to the level of soluble solids in six French American hybrid grapes. *Vitis.* 1984; 33(3):4.
- Patil, NS, Kotecha, PM and Chavan, JK. Wine making quality of different grape cultivars *J.Food Science & Techn.* 2007; 44(2):125 -126.
- Pawar, RA.. Studies on preparation of wine from blended juices of commercially grown grape cultivars. 2002. *M.Sc.(Agri.) Thesis.* Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra.
- Ram Srinivas, T, Singh, R and Suresh, ER. Must composition of new red and white grape hybrids for making wine. *Indian Jr.Hort.* 1998; 55 (3): 208-212.
- Ratnacharyulu, SV. Evaluation of coloured grape varieties for yield, juice recovery and quality. 2010. *Msc Thesis.* Andhra Pradesh Horticultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, A.P .
- Selvaraj, Y, Suresh, ER, Divakar, NG, Randhawa, GS and Negi, SS. Sugars, organic acids, amino acid and invertase activity of juices from 22 Grape varieties. *J.Food Science & Techn.* 1975; Vol.12: 75-78.

Singh, A. Fruit physiology and production (4th edition), 1995. Kalyani publishers. New Delhi pp 352-353.

Sharma, SD. Performance of newly introduced grape for fruit quality under dry temperature climate. *Maharashtra Jr.Hort.* 1993; 7(1):99-100.

Thakur Anirudh, Arora NK and Singh, SP. Evaluation of some grape varieties in the Arid Irrigated region of North West India. *Acta Horticulturae.* 2008; 785:79-83.

Vyas, SR and Gandhi, RC. Ecological qualities of some grape varieties grown in India. 3rd International Symposium on Tropical and Subtropical Horticulture. 1971.

Table 1. Percent Juice recovery in different wine varieties of grape during two cropping seasons

Treatments	Varieties	Juice recovery (%)		
		2006-07	2007-08	Mean
Coloured				
T1	Zinfandel	65.66	68.60	67.13
T2	Cabernet Sauvignon	71.63	73.10	72.36
T3	Gulabi	53.00	55.53	54.26
T4	Shiraz	74.86	74.73	74.80
T5	Bangalore Blue	58.33	59.80	59.06
T6	Pusa Navrang	75.60	79.90	77.75
T7	Athens	65.60	65.56	65.58
T8	Ruby Red	60.56	61.36	60.96
White				
T9	Thompson Seedless	69.80	70.10	69.95
T10	Chenin Blanc	80.43	76.33	78.38
T11	Sauvignon Blanc	67.40	67.80	67.60
T12	Italia	57.10	55.56	56.33
T13	Symphony	72.53	72.46	72.50
	Mean	67.11	67.75	
		F-test	SEM	CD at 5%

Varieties	*	1.16	3.30
Years	NS	0.45	NS
Varieties x Years	NS	1.65	NS

Table - 2. Total soluble solids of juice in different wine varieties of grape during two cropping seasons

Treatments	Varieties	Total soluble solids (°Brix)		
		2006-07	2007-08	Mean
Coloured				
T1	Zinfandel	17.63	18.70	18.16
T2	Cabernet Sauvignon	18.76	19.06	18.91
T3	Gulabi	15.20	16.06	15.63
T4	Shiraz	21.60	22.30	21.95
T5	Bangalore Blue	16.63	17.40	17.01
T6	Pusa Navrang	17.00	17.33	17.16
T7	Athens	16.20	18.23	17.21
T8	Ruby Red	16.66	17.16	16.91
White				
T9	Thompson Seedless	16.73	17.17	16.95
T10	Chenin Blanc	18.13	19.50	18.81
T11	Sauvignon Blanc	16.30	18.70	17.50
T12	Italia	15.20	15.66	15.43
T13	Symphony	15.66	16.46	16.06
	Mean	17.05	17.98	
		F-test	SEM	CD at 5%
Varieties		*	0.24	0.70

Years	*	0.09	0.27
Varieties x Years	*	0.34	0.99

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table - 3. Titrable acidity of juice in different wine varieties of grape during two cropping seasons

Treatments	Varieties	Titrable acidity (%)		
		2006-07	2007-08	Mean
Coloured				
T1	Zinfandel	0.54	0.50	0.52
T2	Cabernet Sauvignon	0.51	0.41	0.45
T3	Gulabi	0.61	0.59	0.60
T4	Shiraz	0.65	0.66	0.65
T5	Bangalore Blue	0.79	0.78	0.78
T6	Pusa Navrang	0.69	0.72	0.70
T7	Athens	0.65	0.61	0.63
T8	Ruby Red	0.46	0.30	0.38
White				
T9	Thompson Seedless	0.54	0.48	0.49
T10	Chenin Blanc	0.90	0.81	0.85
T11	Sauvignon Blanc	0.50	0.48	0.49
T12	Italia	0.71	0.67	0.69
T13	Symphony	0.51	0.50	0.51
	Mean	0.62	0.58	
		F-test	SEM	CD at 5%
Varieties		*	0.02	0.07
Years		*	0.01	0.03
Varieties x Years		NS	0.03	NS

Table - 4. pH of juice in different wine varieties of grape during two cropping seasons

Treatments	Varieties	pH		
		2006-07	2007-08	Mean
Coloured				
T1	Zinfandel	3.43	3.50	3.46
T2	Cabernet Sauvignon	3.56	3.36	3.46
T3	Gulabi	3.50	3.40	3.45
T4	Shiraz	3.56	3.30	3.43
T5	Bangalore Blue	3.10	2.96	3.03
T6	Pusa Navrang	3.20	3.40	3.31
T7	Athens	3.60	3.46	3.53
T8	Ruby Red	2.96	3.10	3.03
White				
T9	Thompson Seedless	3.50	3.53	3.51
T10	Chenin Blanc	3.26	3.56	3.41
T11	Sauvignon Blanc	3.56	3.40	3.48
T12	Italia	3.00	2.93	2.96
T13	Symphony	3.56	3.40	3.48
	Mean	3.37	3.36	
		F-test	SEM	CD at 5%
Varieties		*	0.05	0.14
Years		NS	0.02	NS
Varieties x Years		NS	0.07	NS

Table -5 Total sugar content of juice (%) in different wine varieties of Grape during two cropping years

T.no	Variety	Total sugar content of juice (%)		
		2006-07	2007-08	Mean
Coloured				
T ₁	Zinfandel	17.50	17.09	17.29
T ₂	Cabernet Sauvignon	18.36	17.43	17.89
T ₃	Gulabi	15.57	15.18	15.37
T ₄	Shiraz	19.79	18.78	19.28
T ₅	Bangalore Blue	15.52	15.39	15.45
T ₆	Pusa Navrang	19.21	17.22	18.21
T ₇	Athens	16.37	15.96	16.16
T ₈	Ruby Red	16.21	15.50	15.85
White				
T ₉	Thompson Seedless	17.21	16.47	16.84
T ₁₀	Chenin Blanc	19.49	18.66	19.07
T ₁₁	Sauvignon Blanc	16.87	16.25	16.56
T ₁₂	Italia	13.72	12.57	13.14
T ₁₃	Symphony	16.27	15.66	15.91
	Mean	17.08	16.31	

	F-test	SEM	CD at 5%
Varieties	*	0.30	0.85
Years	*	0.12	0.37
Varieties x Years	*	0.34	0.99

Table 6. Reducing sugar content of juice (%) in different wine varieties of Grape during two cropping years

T.no	Variety	Reducing sugar content of juice (%)		
		2006-07	2007-08	Mean
Coloured				
T ₁	Zinfandel	13.96	13.63	13.79
T ₂	Cabernet Sauvignon	14.64	13.90	14.27
T ₃	Gulabi	12.42	12.11	12.26
T ₄	Shiraz	15.78	14.98	15.38
T ₅	Bangalore Blue	13.11	13.00	13.05
T ₆	Pusa Navrang	8.27	7.41	7.84
T ₇	Athens	13.06	12.73	12.89
T ₈	Ruby Red	12.93	12.36	12.64
White				
T ₉	Thompson Seedless	13.73	13.14	13.43
T ₁₀	Chenin Blanc	15.54	14.88	15.21
T ₁₁	Sauvignon Blanc	13.45	12.96	13.20
T ₁₂	Italia	10.94	10.02	10.48
T ₁₃	Symphony	12.96	12.41	12.69
	Mean	13.14	12.58	

	F-test	SEM	CD at 5%
Varieties	*	0.20	0.61
Years	*	0.12	0.35
Varieties x Years	*	0.24	0.71