

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJPSS_91941
Title of the Manuscript:	Biometrical approach for the selection of elite crosses combination in wheat (Triticum aestivum.L.)
Type of the Item	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVIEW comments	<p>Theme: It is experimental, since there are studies on the subject, it cannot be considered as original. Unless it's the only studio in the area. In this case, it should be mentioned in the discussion section.</p> <p>Summary: This section must contain: research objective, method used, hypothesis and brief conclusions. In this case, only the methodology used can be noted.</p> <p>Keywords: Keywords allow the article to be easily located when it is published. It is suggested to work with the UNESCO THESAURS, which is a universal glossary used for this type of work in all areas of academic knowledge. Biotechnology – Agronomy – Protein – Yield...</p> <p>Introduction: The problem raised is clear, the antecedents are significant; adequate justification with a gap: the objective of the investigation is not found.</p> <p>Materials and methods: The population and its environment is conducive to research; experientially controlled coupling design is relevant; mathematical formulas are used to facilitate the analysis of statistical data. In general terms, well done.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: The results are evidenced reliably; in addition, these are compared with that of other authors; this indicates that this study cannot be original; The justification of its originality by the author(s) is not described either. It is necessary to indicate the possible lines of research that can start from this study, as well as the difficulties encountered throughout the process, if any.</p> <p>Conclusions: The conclusion is apparently significant; the objective of the investigation is necessary to see if this goal was met or not. It can be understood as an important contribution in this field of cultivation; which is good not only for farmers but for their production itself. This should be mentioned in the conclusions considering the best judgment of the author(s).</p> <p>Bibliographic references: References are conducive to the topic raised.</p>	
Minor REVIEW comments	THE STUDY IS INTERESTING, THE RESULTS CAN CONTRIBUTE TO THE AREA OF AGRICULTURAL WORK.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> -	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor
Department, University & Country	Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador