

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91934
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil Profile Studies under Different Orchard Management System in Chhindwara District of Madhya Pradesh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> You have to specify the range of bulk and particle density of the study area. You have to write the values in bracket. The key words should be in alphabetical order. <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> You should thoroughly cover the most recent and most relevant literature pertaining to your study. Your review of the literature should be complete, but not overly long. Think in terms of these questions: What research gap is your work intended to fill? What limitations in previous work does it address? What contribution to knowledge does it make? <p>Result & Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> You have to interpret the results of the parameters and discuss accordingly. For instance for K and P (low, medium or high status). You have to also compare and contrast with previous studies. In your correlation result and discussion, you have to write the r value and its level of significant in the form of asterisk. In your correlation Tables, you have to indicate the level of significant using asterisk. The conclusion has no strong concluding remarks based on the research finding. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Teshome Yitbarek
Department, University & Country	Wolkite University, Ethiopia