

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91802
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Beauveria bassiana, Neem oil and selected insecticides on population of fall armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda (J.E.Smith) on Maize (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article does not justify sufficiently why the study was conducted and what contribution seeks to make to the existing literature</p> <p>The study is lacking a clear experimental design. The research methodology is poorly described.</p> <p>The methodology must be clearly expressed.</p> <p>Does the harvest take place after the 14th day? How can you explain that the highest yields are related to low abundance of caterpillars when you have stopped at day 14? In the cycle of the corn, other infestations of Spodoptera can occur and cause damage?</p> <p>The article does not set a clear research hypothesis that could be assessed on the basis of data collected</p> <p>A schematic drawing of the experimental is needed. The experimental system should be schematically described as follows</p> <p>When observing the T0 treatment (Control) we notice that the infestation is low, less than 25 larvae. In this case, even if we do not use insecticides, the damage will not be so serious. With such a low number of larvae, can we conclude that the other treatments have an effect?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Etienne Tendeng
Department, University & Country	Université Cheikh Anta Diop de Dakar, Senegal