

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91799
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATION AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS OF GRAIN YIELD AND YIELD RELATED TRAITS IN MAIZE (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT The abstract should state briefly the purpose of the research, the principal results and major message. This section should introduce the crop and the justification for the study after which the objective (s), materials and methods and the result are mentioned briefly</p> <p>INTRODUCTION The author did not provide an adequate background for the study. The knowledge gap was not identified and the justification of the study was also missing.</p> <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS Lots of details were omitted. This section needs to be modified because there were lots of mix ups. The data analysis section was too clumsy</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION The results were not clear and concise. The discussion did not highlight the significance of the results of the research. The discussion was not in the context of the existing literature. The author was just listing authors with similar results.</p> <p>CONCLUSION The author should summarize the results in words rather than numbers and elaborate on the extent to which the objectives of the study were met.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I have used track changes option to make some corrections and comments. The author should go through them and do the needful.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A.O. Kolawole
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria