

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91776
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of foliar Spray of NAA And GA 3 On Fruit Quality Attributory Traits in Phalsa ( <i>Grewia subinaequalis</i> D.C.) c.v. Sharbati
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title:</b> Too long, preferred to be modified into "<b>Foliar Spray of NAA And GA<sub>3</sub> Influences on Fruit Quality Attributor Traits in Phalsa Sharbati</b>".</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> Clear and informative. Some modifications were recommended to enhance the readability and understanding.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> Improperly displayed. The introduction has to be rearranged into three paragraphs only i.e. 1. Introduction 2. Significance of the study, and 3. Aim of the study. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding.</p> <p><b>The aim:</b> Not listed.</p> <p><b>Materials and methods:</b> Please notice the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Add the model and manufacturer of the hand Refractometer.</li> <li>2. No statistical analysis section was illustrated.</li> <li>3. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. Please provide the statistical model used in the analysis.</li> </ol> <p><b>Results:</b> Novel and clear with some modifications that were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text.</p> <p><b>Discussion:</b> Weak discussion was listed with almost mild comparison and low levels of speculations. The discussion has to be enhanced a little bit with higher comparisons. Some modifications that were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> Clear with some modifications that were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text.</p> <p><b>References:</b> MUST BE UPDATED as only zero% (0 out of 18) of the listed references were published in the past five years. This percentage has to be improved to at least 35-40%.</p> <p><b>Tables:</b> Well-presented and organized.</p> <p><b>Decision: Accepted with major revision.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>General view:</b> The manuscript was written in moderate English and grammar.	

Comment [es1]: Add the model and manufacturer.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Essam Samir Soliman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Suez Canal University, Egypt</b>