

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91685
Title of the Manuscript:	VARIETAL EVALUATION OF ASIATIC LILY (<i>Lilium asiaticum</i>) UNDER PRAYAGRAJ SHADE NET CONDITION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The introduction provide sufficient background ; Introduction & conclusion focus clearly on the main point Adequate references and resource material	
Minor REVISION comments	MINOR revisions of punctuation and spelling should be made by the authors before paper publication, in order to achieve an improved work The conclusions can be improved	
Optional/General comments	This paper presents an evaluation of Asiatic Lily (<i>Lilium asiaticum</i>) under Prayagraj shade Net condition. The experiment was layout in Randomized block design (RBD) with 7 varieties, replicated thrice. The varieties Beau Soleil was found the statistically significant compared to other varieties combination. The procedure of Cochran & Cox was developed for the first time in the medicine and biosciences, but it seems that it began to be applied in a fairly large scale in engineering domain. The F test is based on the theoretical distribution F (Fisher-Snedecor). Some diagrams of the evolution of parameters of the 7 analyzed Asiatic Lily varieties could also be shown and then interpret the results obtained! (why not even some representative photos!) Without wanting to be a critic, I think the article has its own values and needs only a few other minor edits. Definitely, I think that after not very important revision it can be publish in this journal	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Toderas Mihaela
Department, University & Country	University of Petrosani, Romania