

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91633
Title of the Manuscript:	“Field efficacy of selected insecticides and neem products against tomato fruit borer [ <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hubner)] in tomato”.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I noticed that some units weren't in the international units system but some others there was. It's recommendable to use only a system of units.</li> <li>The first, second and third paragraph of the introduction can be resume in one only paragraph. The same paragraphs only had one reference.</li> <li>The fourth paragraph had the same information that the fifth. But better explained in the fifth (no references in text).</li> <li>In the introduction you didn't explain the consideration for the chemicals used for the experiments. Any mention about the traditional ways of pest control and any justification for the use of the doses.</li> <li>Your results were taken for the infestation of the tomato after the spray, but what was your point of reference? How many worms were at the tomato plant before the experiment? How you knew that the worms died and not move in the plant if the experiments were carried outside?</li> <li>The information of the percent of infestation can be graphed for a better visual of that information.</li> <li>The results weren't enough explained and discussed, you had the information but it's necessary to use it to explain the main observation (control of the pest) and the best way of get it.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Jonatan Carmen Rangel Nuñez
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Guanajuato, México