

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91633
Title of the Manuscript:	“Field efficacy of selected insecticides and neem products against tomato fruit borer [<i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hubner)] in tomato”.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Kindly observe the use of a and the in the sentences. Shorten long sentences. Or make long sentence into two sentences. Kindly discuss why there were only two sprayings done in this study. How many days after transplanting was the first spraying conducted. Indicate the statistical analysis used for the test of means before and after spraying. I suggest you use increasing order > of infestation or decreasing order < in discussing the effect of treatments on the different days of application. Likewise, do statistical analysis of the treatment's overall mean yield and compare the different treatments. There's no need to put numbers after each citation and in the bibliography. Just follow the alphabetical order of the author's surnames.</p> <p>Kindly follow the corrections marked in red. The non targets like beneficials are also counted before and after sprays. Kindly explain why they were not included in this study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bonifacio F. Cayabyab
Department, University & Country	National Crop Protection Center, Philippines