

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91600
Title of the Manuscript:	“Effects of different growing media and biocapsules on seed germination, seedling growth and survival percentage of papaya (<i>Carica papaya</i>) cv. Pusa Nanha”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only based on '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The title is too long and it is recommended for a title of a maximum of 12 words, so it needs to be simplified without changing the research topic2. The results of statistical analysis have not been explicitly included in the discussion3. There is no discussion for tables 2 and 3 yet	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The information included in the introduction is too long but has not focused on the research topic2. Statistical analysis should be included in the research methodology sub-chapter3. References used as a reference for comparison of research results must be included to make it easier to compare them with the results obtained by researchers4. The keywords listed are no more than five words1. Cited references should be used in the latest journal at least 5 years (minimum 80%) and not recommended to use old references such as 1979, 1992, 1996, 19992. Writing of list reference should be according to scientific rules and what kind of specific methods that used such (as the Harvard method, Vancouver method, or American Psychology Association method (APA))	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamdi Mayulu
Department, University & Country	Mulawarman University, Indonesia