

“Pre-Sowing Seed Treatments With Selected Organics on Growth, Yield and Yield Attributing Traits in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) var Desi Himmat”

ABSTRACT

The present study entitled, “Pre-Sowing Seed Treatments With Suitable Organics on Growth, Yield, Yield attributing Traits in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) var Desi Himmat” was carried out with Panchagavya, Neem Leaf Extract, Vermiwash, Tulsi Leaf Extracts of varying concentrates at the duration of 12hrs. ~~with an objective to assess the effect of these treatments on Chickpea and these treatments' effects on Chickpea and find the optimal pre-sowing treatment.~~ The results depicted that seed treatment showed significant variation for all the ~~characters that were recorded and performed well on comparison recorded characters and performed well compared~~ to the untreated control. Seed treatment with T₂-Panchagavya at 10% for 12hrs. recorded field emergence of 92.33% and plant height at maturity 71.33 cms, days to 50% flowering is 63.67 days, and days to 50% pod setting 71.67 days, days to maturity is 106.33 days, 32.99 pods per plant, 1.91 seeds per pod, 14.33gm seed yield per plant, 23.66gm of 100 seed weight, 35.80gm of biological yield per plant and 39.11% of harvest index. At par treatment, T₄-Vermiwash at 8% for 12 hrs. performed well with 13.66gm seed yield per plant, and ~~36.52% harvest index was found to be the~~ 36.52% harvest index was promising. Thus ~~treating with,~~ treating T₂.Panchagavya at 10% for 12 hrs. was so productive and can be suggested for cultivation ~~for~~ on commercial scale.

Keywords: Panchagavya, Vermiwash, Neem Leaf Extract, Tulsi Leaf Extract

1. INTRODUCTION

Chickpea with the chromosome number ($2n=2x=16$) and being the third most frequently cultivated legume crop after dry bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and Field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Chickpea is the most significant self-pollinated pulse crop for the Rabi season (Akansha et al., 2018). It is divided into Desi and Kabuli chickpeas and has Eastern Turkey as its main region of origin. However, the desi form is more prevalent and makes up roughly 80-

85 percent of global production, while ~~kabuli~~ Kabuli type makes up about 15-20 percent (Dhima et al., 2018). Chickpea supplied 49.3% of all pulses produced in 2020-21, with the remainder pulses receiving contributions from pigeon pea (16.2%), mung bean (10.3%),

urdbean (9.3%),

lentil (4.9%) and other pulses 9.9%. ~~The richest source of protein for both humans and livestock in underdeveloped areas is legume~~ Legumes are the richest source of protein for humans and livestock in underdeveloped areas. In addition, they are employed

as green manures and ~~green~~ feed for livestock.

They are mostly used to fix atmospheric nitrogen to enhance ~~the chemical and physical characteristics of soil~~ soil's chemical and physical

characteristics (Mannivan et al., 2019). In addition to increasing soil fertility, certain legumes

~~have the capacity to~~ can solubilize ~~solubilize~~ phosphates that would otherwise be inaccessible by excreting organic acids from their roots ~~in addition to~~ and improving soil fertility (Ray et

al.,2017).Whengrowninarotationwithnon-legumionouscrops,legumes alsoaidinrecoveryofsoilorganicmatterandthereductionofpestanddisease issues(Devi $etal.$,2019).Temperaturesbetween24°Cto30°C,alongwithappropriatemoisturelevels,areidealforchickpeacropgrowth.Itiswintercropthatdoeswellinbothrainfedandirrigatedenvironments.Itisfrequentlygrowninheavysoiltypesbecauseitstotoleratecoldclimates,andtheappropriatepHrangeof5.5to7.0forgreatergrowthandyield(Farijan $etal.$,2018).Chickpeasare rich in dietary fibre, particularlyraffinose,asolublefibre. Accordingto(Munirathnam $etal.$,2015),theplantsseedisprimarilyediblecomponentandrichestsourcesofprotein (23.3-28.9%), carbs(61.5%), lipids (4.5%) andminerals(phosphorous,calcium,magnesium,iron,zinc).Legumesarebeneficialasfoodnotonlyforhumansbutalsoforcattle becauseoftheirhighproteincontent.Despitethehigh yieldingpotentialandseveralbenefitsofchickpeas' high yielding potential and several benefits,Indiahasalowyieldperunitareaofthe crop yield per unit area.From2000-01to2018-19,India'schickpeaoutputclimbedfrom38.55 to122.29 lakh tonnes, while the acreageincreasedfrom53.85to122.29lakhha, andtheyieldincreasedconsistentlyfrom744kg/ha to1077kg/ha (Pooniya et

al.,2019).Overthepastthreedecades,theareaand production of chickpeas have steadilydecreasedinUttarPradesh.Theproduction

has reduced from 12.50 lakh tonnes eventhough the area has decreased from 17.26lakhain1975-

76to7.40lakhain2005-06.~~The productivity,however,However, productivity~~ has increasedfrom724to 893kg/ha.

2. MATERIALS ANDMETHODS

The experiment was conducted at the SeedTesting Laboratory of the Department ofGeneticsandPlantBreeding,NainiAgricul turalInstitute,SamHigginbottomUniversity of Agricultural Technology andSciences.Prayagraj(U.P.)2022.TheChic kpeavarDesiHimmatseedsweretreatedwith organicstoevaluategrowth,yield and yield attributing

traits.Theseedsaresubjectedtodifferentsoaki ngconcentrations ~~over a period of~~for

12hours.The seeds are treated organically with T1-Panchagavya8%,T2-Panchagavya10%,

T3-Panchagavya15%,T4-Vermiwash8%, T5-Vermiwsh 10%, T6-Vermiwash 15%,T7-NeemLeafExtract5%,T-

8NeemLeafExtract 10%, T9-Neem Leaf Extract 15%,T10-

TulsiLeafExtract5%,T11-TulsiLeafExtract 10%, T12-Tulsi Leaf Extract

15%for12hoursrespectively.The

Experimentwas laid out in RBD,with 13 treatments withthreereplications.~~In~~In theperiodfromgermination to harvest,

growth

parameterswererecordedatfrequentintervals andafterharvestyieldparameterswererecorde

d,~~includesincluding~~FieldEmergence,Plant

height, Daysto50% Flowering, Daysto50% pod setting, Days to Maturity, No. of pods per plant, No. of seeds per pod, Seedyield per plant, Seed Index, Biological yieldper plant, and Harvest Index were recorded and statistically analysed using ANOVA as applicable to RBD.

METHODOLOGY

For the preparation of solution of Panchagavya and Vermiwash to prepare 8% solution take 80ml of each solutions in saperate separate beakers each and they were added in 1000ml. of distilled water with constant stirring. Then the volume of solution will finally constitute solution volume will finally constitute one litre, and it became becoming an 8% stock solution of Panchagavya and Vermiwash.

For the preparation of To prepare plant leaf extract, the fresh leaves of concerned plants were collected saperately separately and dried under shade. The dried leaves were powdered using a motor and pestil. To prepare a 5% solution, 5g of leaf extract powder was added onto 100ml distilled water, and concerne d leaf extract solutions were prepared. The leaf extracts were filtered by using muslin cloth to remove unwanted material and plant debris.

After the preparation of

solutions, chickpea seeds were soaked in respective

solutions for 12 hrs at 25°C temperature. Untreated seeds are called Control. After 12 hrs of

soaking the solutions were drained ~~out~~
~~from the beaker and pre-~~
~~soaked air dried to~~ from the beaker and pre-
soaked air dried to the original weight. After
seed treatment, seeds were sown in the
field for field observations.

Control. All treatments

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. GROWTH ATTRIBUTES

(i). FIELD EMERGENCE

The mean performance of Field Emergence
(%) ranged from 83.33 to 92.33, with a
grand mean of 88.18. Table 1 indicates that
maximum field emergence (92.33%) was
recorded in T2-Panchagavya at 10% for
12hrs. and least in T0-
Control (83.33%). All treatments were found
significantly in comparison to the
control. Among the significant treatments, Tr
eatment T12-
Panchagavya at 10% for 12hrs. was higher in
comparison to control as well as other treatmen
ts.

(ii). PLANT HEIGHT

The mean performance of Plant height
(cms) was ranged from 62.34 to
71.33 with a
grand mean of 67.21. Maximum plant height
was recorded (71.33 cms) in T2-
Panchagavya at 10% for 12hrs. and
least (62.34 cms) in T0-

were found significantly in comprison
comparison to the
control. Among these treatments, T2-
Panchagavya at 10% for 12hrs. was
found significantly higher in comparison to
control and other treatments.

(iii). DAYSTO50%FLOWERING

The mean performance of Daysto50% f
lowering was ranged from 63.67 to
70.33 with a grand mean of 66.13. Among
the treatments, T2-
Panchagavya at 10% for 12hrs.
was lowest (66.13
days) and highest was found in T0-
Control (70.33 days). Among the significant
treatments, Treatment T2-
Panchagavya at 10% for 12hrs. was signifi-
cantly lower as compared to control and other tre-
atments.

(iv). DAYSTO50%PODSETTING

The mean performance of Daysto50% p
odsetting was ranged from 71.67 to
78.33 with grand mean ranged from
73.79. Among these treatments T2-
Panchagavya at 10% for 12hrs. was lowest
(71.67 days) and highest found in T0-
Control (78.33 days). Among the significant
treatments, Treatments T2-
Panchagavya at 10% for 12hrs. was signifi-
cantly lower as compared to control and other tre-
atments.

Table1.

Mean performance effect of seed treatments on growth, yield and yield attributing traits in chickpea

Treatments	Field Emergence	Plant Height	Daysto50% Flowering	Daysto50% PodSetting	Days to Maturity
T₀	83.33	62.34	70.33	78.33	111.33
T₁	86.33	67.33	66.67	75.00	108.00
T₂	92.33	71.33	63.67	71.67	106.33
T₃	89.33	63.67	69.33	74.67	108.33
T₄	91.33	70.33	64.67	72.00	107.33
T₅	86.67	68.00	69.00	75.33	111.00
T₆	88.33	64.66	67.33	73.33	110.33
T₇	89.67	65.67	64.33	76.67	109.67
T₈	86.67	68.67	64.67	74.00	107.67
T₉	86.33	69.67	64.33	74.67	107.67
T₁₀	87.67	66.67	66.00	72.67	109.33
T₁₁	89.67	67.67	65.33	73.33	108.33
T₁₂	90.33	68.33	64.67	73.67	108.67
Grand Mean	88.30	67.25	66.17	74.25	108.76
F-Test	S	S	S	S	S
S.Em	0.53	0.97	0.88	0.89	0.92
S.Ed	0.76	1.27	1.24	1.25	1.25
C.V	1.08	2.50	2.64	2.09	1.47
T.value	2.06	2.06	2.06	2.06	2.06
C.D.at5%	1.56	2.83	3.17	2.59	2.69

Table 2 : Mean performance effect of seed yield and biological yield in chickpea

Treatments	No.of Pods per Plant	No.of Seeds per Pod	Seed Yield per Plant(g)	SeedIn dex(g)	BiologicalYi eld(g/plant)	HarvestI ndex(%)
T₀	25.67	1.55	7.33	20.33	22.67	31.82
T₁	28.67	1.22	9.67	21.33	29.67	30.33
T₂	32.99	1.91	14.33	23.66	35.80	39.11
T₃	27..66	1.40	7.33	20.34	22.07	33.23
T₄	32.00	1.78	13.67	23.34	35.60	36.52
T₅	30.33	1.29	11.67	22.00	30.93	36.64
T₆	28.67	1.53	7.67	20.67	22.27	34.44
T₇	28.33	1.67	8.33	22.67	22.60	35.41
T₈	30.67	1.28	12.33	22.67	33.47	35.86
T₉	28.33	1.32	12.67	21.33	33.60	37.71
T₁₀	29.34	1.35	9.33	21.67	24.80	36.29
T₁₁	29.67	1.62	10.33	22.33	30.40	32.91
T₁₂	29.67	1.77	11.67	21.33	28.74	36.29
Grand Mean	29.52	1.51	10.48	21.82	28.66	35.12
F-Test	S	S	S	S	S	S
S.Em	0.59	0.03	0.18	0.29	0.47	0.55
S.Ed	0.59	0.05	0.38	0.33	0.90	1.01
C.V	3.51	3.09	3.01	2.30	2.83	2.72
T-value	2.06	3.06	2.06	2.06	2.06	2.06
C.D.at5%	1.74	0.07	0.52	0.84	1.37	1.61

(v). DAYSTOMATURITY

The mean performance of days to maturity ranged from 106.33 to 111.33, with a grand mean of 108.51. For Days to Maturity, all the treatments were significantly lower in comparison than to the control. Among the treatments, T2-Panchagavya at 10% for 12hrs. was found lowest (106.33 days) in comparison compared to control and other treatments.

12hrs.

(1.91) was found significantly higher in comparison compared to control and other treatments.

3.2. YIELD ATTRIBUTES

(vi). PODS PER PLANT

The mean performance of number of pods per plant ranged from 25.67 to 32.99, with a grand mean of 29.67. For number of pods per plant, all the treatments were significantly higher. All the treatments were significantly higher for the number of pods per plant in comparison compared to control. Among the treatments, T2-Panchagavya at 10% for 12hrs. (32.99) was found significantly higher in comparison to control and other treatments.

(vii). SEEDS PER POD

The mean performance of the Number of Seeds per pod ranged from 1.15 to 1.91, with a grand mean of 1.64. Among the treatments, for no. of seeds per pod treatment T2-Panchagavya at 10% for

(ix). SEED YIELD PER PLANT (g)

The mean performance of Seed yield per plant (g) was ranged from 7.33 (g) to 14.67(g), with a grand mean of 10.15(g). For seed yield per plant (g), all the treatments were significantly higher. All the treatments were significantly higher for seed yield per plant (g) in comparison compared to the control. Among the treatments, seed yield per plant (g) treatment T2-Panchagavya at 10% for 12hrs. (14.67 g) was found to be higher in comparison to control and other treatments.

(x). SEED INDEX (g)

The mean performance of the Seed Index (g) was ranged from 20.33(g) to 23.66(g), with a grand mean of 21.72(g). Among the treatments, seed index treatment T2-Panchagavya at 10% for 12hrs. (23.66g) was found to be highest in comparison to control and other treatments.

(xi). BIOLOGICAL YIELD (g)

The mean performance of Biological yield (g) was ranged from 22.67(g) to 35.87(g) with a grand mean of 28.74(g). For biological yield (g), all the treatments were significantly higher in comparison to control. Among the treatments, for biological yield treatment T2-Panchagavya at 10% for 12hrs. (35.87g) was found significantly higher in comparison to control and other treatments.

(xii). HARVEST INDEX (%)

The mean performance of the Harvest Index (%) ~~was~~ ranged from 31.82 to 39.11, with a grand mean of 35.21. For Harvest Index, all the treatments were significantly higher ~~in comparison than to the~~ control. Among the treatments, for harvest index T2-Panchagavya at 10% for 12 hrs. (39.11%) was found significantly higher ~~in comparison compared~~ to control and other treatments.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded from the present experimentation on seed treatments with different kinds of seed treatments were found ~~affecting to~~ ~~affect~~ significantly different characteristics of growth, ~~and~~ yield in chickpeas. Treating with T2-Panchagavya at 10% for 12 hrs. was found superior ~~which~~ ~~affected all the growth and yield parameters in chickpea in compare,~~ ~~affecting all the growth and yield parameters in chickpea compared~~ with control and other treatments. T hus seed treating with T2-Panchagavya with 10% for 12 hrs. is ~~useful helpful~~ in improving the yield in chickpeas.

REFERENCES

Akanksha T, Anita B, Namita P (2018

). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in yield and yield components in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars under late sown conditions. *International Journal of Agriculture Science*. 54(8):2884-2886.

B. Pooniya, R.K. Rai, and Jat. (2019).

“Yield and yield attributes of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*

L.) as influenced by various row spacing and weed control,” *Indian Journal of weed science*. vol. 41, no. 3 & 4, pp. 222-223, 2009.

Devi, P. B., Darvhankar, M.S., Prakash, A., & Banik, D. (2019). Effect of different doses of nitrogen on growth and yield of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). *Plant Archives*,

Dhima, K., Vasilakoglou, I., Stefanou, S., & Eleftherohorinos, I. (2018). Effect of cultivar, irrigation and nitrogen fertilization on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) productivity. *Agricultural Sciences*. 6(10):1187-1194.

Manivannan, S., Balamurugan, M., Parthasarathi, K., Gunasekaran, G. and Ranganathan, L.S. (2019). Effect of vermicompost on soil fertility and crop productivity of beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). *Journal of Environmental Biology*. 30(2):275-281.

Merga B, Haji J. Economic importance of chickpea: Production, value, and world trade. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*. 2019;5(1)

Munirathnam P., Ashok K.

Padmalatha Y.(2015). Suitability of Chickpea 'NBeG47' for mechanical harvesting under rainfed

B. Pooniya, R.K. Rai, and Jat.(2019).

“Yield and yield attributes of Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) as influenced by

Jamkhogin Lhungdim, Th .Tejmani Singh, K .Nandini Devi, N. Anando Singh and Yumnam Sanatombi Devi. Influence of seed priming method on growth, yield attributes and seed yield of chickpea under acidic soils. Agricultural Science

Digest.



2018;(38):205-208.

Jitendra Kumar Yadav, Mahendra Sharma, Yadav RN, Yadav SK, Saroj Yadav. Effect of different organic manures on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(5):1857-1860.

Padmavathi S, Gunasekar J, Kamaraj A. Effect of presowing seed treatment using botanical extract on growth and yield characters in black gram (*Vigna mungo* L.). Plant Archives. 2017;17(2):1013-1016.

Patil SV, Halikatti SI, and Hiremath, S.M. and Babalad, H.B. and Sreenivasa, M.N. and Hebsur, N.S. and Somnagouda, G. Effect of organic on

growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under rainfed conditions of Karnataka.

Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 2012;25(3):326-331.

Rathod Pandit S., S.B. Bellad, D.H. Patil. Effect of seed priming on growth and productivity

of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under rainfed conditions of Karnataka.

The Bioscan. 2016;11(4):2695-2698.

S. Farjam, M.J. Kenarsari, A. Rokhzadi, and B. Yousefi. (2018)

“Effect of Inter-row spacing and superabsorbent polymer

application on yield and productivity

yofrainfedChi
ckpea,"*Journ
alofBiodiversi
ty
andE
nvironmental
Sciences*,vol.5
,no.3,
pp.316–320,2014.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Suchit Tiwari, AK
Chaurasia, Nithyanand
a
N,
Bineeta M Bara. Effecto
for organic priming on see
d germination
behaviour and vigour of
chickpea (*Cicer
arietinum* (L.)). Journal
of
Pharmacognosy and Ph
ytochemistry. JPP. 201
8; 7(4): 1064-1067.

Thirumeninathan

S, T
amilnayagan T, A Rajes
hkumar and S Ramadas
s. Response of
panchagavya
foliar spray on growth,
yield and economics of
Fodder cowpea
(*Vigna unguiculata*
L.).
International Journal of
Chemical Studies. 2017
; 5(5): 1604-1606