

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91527
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Blended NPSB Fertilizer Rates on Growth, Yield and Yield Components of Bread Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) Varieties on Jimma Arjo District, Western Ethiopia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This article could have more actual references such as for 2020, 2021, and others such as in topic Introduction, and needs the correction in the grammar too. The Result and Discussion is extremely important if compared with other actual works that literature had because it is necessary to show the real impact that this work has, and how this article could show the differences studied with other literature's work This article needs some changes, for showing the real impact in fertilizer research, and the most effective result that this study had when compared with actual (2020 at 2022) others, when it was compared with literature, all comments will be for increase the paper.	
Minor REVISION comments	The introduction could talk more detail about the discussion of results of this study	
Optional/General comments	The article showed new studies about fertilizer, but this article could be more integrated with the study of literature for maximizing its potential. For this could cite new works that used similar fertilizers for research in this area.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carina Aline Prado
Department, University & Country	USP, Brasil