

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91448
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of NAA & IAA on Growth, Yield and Quality of Muskmelon (Cucumis melo.L)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title page</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spell out NAA and IAA, please. <p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The abstract must be revised according to the Journal. • The results or the effects on muskmelon was not shown here. It must include here. • Other suggestions and comments are written in the manuscript. Needs to rephrase and improve. <p>Keywords</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arrange them based on alphabetical order <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It needs improvement • Other suggestions and comments are written in the manuscript. <p>Materials and methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It needs improvement. • The application and frequency of application and other related details about NAA and IAA must be included here. • The frequency of irrigation, and amount and frequency of fertilizer application. • All other parameters shown in the results should be discussed in the "Materials and Methods" section on how it was gathered for future reference by other researchers. • Other suggestions and comments are written in the manuscript. Needs to rephrase and improve. <p>Results and discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is no discussion in each section. • It is strongly recommended to put a discussion. • The wordings in the results must be improved. • Citing related studies is highly encouraged. • Unit of measurements for TSS and vitamin C are highly needed. • Other suggestions and comments are written in the manuscript. Needs to rephrase and improve. <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This section must be improved according to the objective of the study. <p>References</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It should be "References". • The format of listing the references is different from one to another. Thus, it needs to be consistent in writing the references and/or should be based on the journal's guidelines. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raymund Julius G. Rosales
Department, University & Country	Mariano Marcos State University, Philippines