

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91444
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Phosphorous, Chloropyriphos and Rhizobium Inoculation on Production and Economics of Chickpea
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript provides important information about the effect of phosphorous, Chloropyriphos and Rhizobium Inoculation on production and economics of chickpea during rabi season of 2020-21 and 2021-22 at student's instructional farm, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture &amp; Technology, Kanpur. The results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields of agriculture. Some corrections need to be made, in order to publish the manuscript.</p> <p>Please, take into account the following recommendations to improve this manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the general presentation of the manuscript should be reviewed, the extra spaces between words or the lack of spaces between titles, subtitles and text according to the requirements of the journal;</li> <li>- I recommend a revision of the manuscript according to the requirements of the journal (English, technical editing, etc.);</li> <li>- I recommend correcting the word <b>students</b> with <b>student's</b> from Abstract, line 3;</li> <li>- I recommend inserting in the Introduction paragraph some more recent studies relevant to the topic;</li> <li>- The methodology used in this study is very old, I recommend referring to more recent studies (see paragraph <b>Edaphic Condition</b>);</li> <li>- The presentation of the Results and Discussions obtained from this study is very brief, the exact results are not presented in the literature references referred to and I recommend an analysis of this issue;</li> <li>- The presentation of the Conclusions is very short,</li> <li>- I recommend a critical approach to the literature that will enable you to analyses and interpret information, develop an objective point of view and set clear objectives.</li> </ul> <p>I recommend a carefully check of the full manuscript to correct any grammatical or syntax error. Based on the above mentioned, I recommend this paper for publication after performing the suggested.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniela Stoin
Department, University & Country	Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania