

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91310
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic analysis of micropropagation of dragon fruit ( <i>Hylocereus undatus</i> (Haw.) Britton and Rose)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>The article is well written but there are lots of revision needed in language</b> <b>Check journal formatting</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Add these references  Mállap-Detquizán G, Vilca-Valqui NC, Meléndez-Mori JB, Huaman-Huaman E, Oliva M. In vitro multiplication of yellow dragon fruit ( <i>Hylocereus megalanthus</i> ) from seedlings obtained in vitro. <i>Agronomía Mesoamericana</i> . 2022 Apr;33(1). Rahad MK, Islam MA, Rahim MA, Monira S. Effects of rooting media and varieties on rooting performance of dragon fruit cuttings ( <i>Hylocereus undatus</i> Haw.). <i>Research in Agriculture Livestock and Fisheries</i> . 2016 May 26;3(1):67-77.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This paper discusses the Economic analysis of micropropagation of dragon fruit ( <i>Hylocereus undatus</i> (Haw.) Britton and Rose). After reviewing the present manuscript I can say that the study is scientifically valid and technically sound in methodology and analysis. The research paper is well documented and the conclusions are based on the results presented in the paper. All costs were well presented by the authors.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Apahidean Alexandru
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Science and Veterinary Medicine, Romania