

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91261
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF RECOMMEDED DOSE OF FERTILIZERS IN COMBINATION WITH BIOFERTILIZERS ON BIOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL UNDER MAIZE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The structure of the article and the title of the obligatory sections are not observed. This is a gross mistake.</p> <p>The abstract does not give a complete presentation of the results of the study. It is necessary to clearly indicate the method of conducting the study, the years, the design of the experiment with an indication of all elements and the results obtained.</p> <p>The "MATERIAL AND METHODS" section does not indicate weather conditions and their impact on microbiological activity over the years of research. There is no agricultural technique for conducting research. No description of the corn hybrid or cultivar used. There are no data on the use of chemicals and chemical fertilizers. The scheme of experience is not disclosed (it is necessary to indicate what RDF; VAM; PSB means). There is no clear understanding of when the materials were introduced and in what form.</p> <p>In article no the section "CONCLUSIONS".</p> <p>The section should be called "REFERENTS", not "LITERATURE CITED".</p> <p>The text contains "Selvi et al. (2003)", but there is no such author in "LITERATURE CITED". There is Selvi et al. (2004)"</p> <p>The text has "Panwar et al., 2011", but "LITERATURE CITED" has no such author.</p> <p>There are no references to the author in the text of the article - Panhwar, Q.A., Radziah, O., Rahman, Z.A., Sariah, M., Razi, I.M and Naher, U.A. 2011. Contribution of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria in phosphorus bioavailability and growth enhancement of aerobic rice. <i>Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research</i>. 9(3): 810-820.</p> <p>There are no citations to the author in the text of the article - Ramakrishnaiah, G and Vijaya, T. 2013. Influence of VAM fungi, Azotobacter sp. and PSB on soil phosphatase activity and nutrients (N, P, K, Cu, Zn, Fe and Mn) status in the rhizosphere of <i>Stevia rebaudiana</i> plants. <i>American Journal of Plant Sciences</i>. 4: 1443-1447.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sergiy Lavrenko
Department, University & Country	Kherson State Agrarian and Economic University, Ukraine