

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91219
Title of the Manuscript:	GENETIC VARIABILITY AND CHARACTER ASSOCIATION STUDIES FOR YIELD AND YIELD ATTRIBUTING TRAITS IN FRENCH MARIGOLD (TAGETES PATULA L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please, see the balloons attached to the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments	See the material and methods. I recommend listening the following source https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RSUfNkJFWeU and it related contents	
Optional/General comments	The Tables have a multitude of intricate data which do not allow the readers to follow the central and concise idea. Many readers will do it in the computer screen. Thus, let's make them easy as possible. First, the current manuscript has data to be accepted for publication in the current journal. However the text is wordy, requires readability, and a consulting from grammar expert in formal English writing. Material and methods is the key for my recommendation. Most of the readers may not have full access to key articles reported in MM. Please, the journal has also an educational purpose for scholars and students. Thus, every text must have clear message to readers around the world. My current decision will give to the authors more time to rewrite and add information necessary for better readability. Finally, the reproducibility is tremendous difficult under this current format. Please, make the text easy to readers.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	José Walter Pedroza Carneiro
Department, University & Country	State University of Maringá, Brazil