

**“EFFECT OF ORGANIC MANURES AND BIOFERTILIZERS ON GROWTH,  
FLOWERING AND YIELD OF GLADIOLUS(*Gladiolus hybridus* Hort)cv. Pusa Srijana”**

**ABSTRACT**

The experiment was conducted on “Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth, flowering and yield of gladiolus (*Gladiolus hybridus* Hort) cv. Pusa Srijana”. The observations were recorded on various growth, flowering and yield contributing characters. Based on the results obtained from the present investigation, it is concluded that the T<sub>7</sub>(RDF + Vermicompost + Azotobacter @ 5Kg/ha+ PSB @ 5Kg/ha) was found superior followed by T<sub>6</sub> (RDF + Vermicompost + Azotobacter @ 4.5Kg/ha+ PSB @ 4.5Kg/ha) and T<sub>5</sub>(RDF + Vermicompost + Azotobacter @ 4Kg/ha+ PSB @ 4Kg/ha) in growth and flowering with higher yield factors. In this investigation the T<sub>7</sub> was found most suitable for cultivation for better yield per hectare of corms, cormels and spike and best returns in terms of economics of the crop with high net returns and Benefit cost ratio.

**Keywords:** Gladiolus, Vermicompost, Azotobacter, PSB, yield, corms, cormels, spike, economics, BC ratio.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The total world area under bulbous crops is around 50,000 ha out of which over 3500 ha is in India (Raj, 2005). Gladiolus stands 4th in the international cut flower trade after rose, carnation and chrysanthemum. Gladiolus can be cultivated on all types of soil having good structure and drainage. In soil by adding organic manures and microbial agents make easy uptake of nutrients when crop required comparing to chemical fertilizers (Vanilarasu and Balakrishnamurthy, 2014). Azotobacter is one of the most important non symbiotic nitrogen fixing micro-organism. So many experiments were conducted have shown a positive response to Azotobacter application on a wide range of crops. It is observed that, the 1t of Azotobacter is equivalent to 40 tonnes of nitrogen. Considering minimum fixation of 20 kg N/ha from application of Azotobacter culture 0.5 kg/ha, the bio-inoculants can save 25 to 35% of the requirement of inorganic nitrogen per hectare (Vyas et al., 1998). A review indicated that biofertilizers (Azotobacter, Azospirillum, Phosphorous Solubilizing Bacteria and Arbuscular Mycorrhizae fungi) not only help in improving the nutrient uptake by the plants, releasing of growth hormones and antibiotics but also improves the quality of produce along with reduced cost of production (Choudhary, 2010). Phosphorus Solubilizing Microorganisms (PSMS) have been documented to produce metal chelating agents i.e., siderophores, which have a great impact on plant growth promotion, iron nutrition and phytopathogen suppression (Chincholkar et al., 2000)

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### **Experimental site**

The present investigation was conducted during October, 2021-March, 2022 at Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom, University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (Uttar Pradesh). All the facilities necessary for cultivation, including labour were made available in the department. Prayagraj is situated at an elevation of 78 meters above sea level at 25.87° North latitude and 81.15° E longitudes. This region has a sub-tropical climate prevailing in the South-East part of U.P. with both the extremes in temperature, i.e., the winter and the summer. In cold winters, the temperature sometimes is as low as 0°C in December – January and very hot summer with temperature reaching up to 46°C in the months of May and June. During winter, frosts and during summer, hot scorching winds are also not uncommon. The average rainfall is around 1013.4 (cm) with maximum concentration during July to September months with occasional showers in winters. The meteorological data for the experimental period collected from Meteorological Observatory at College of Forestry and Environment, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj.

### **Chart 1 : Treatment details**

Treatment	Treatment details
T <sub>0</sub>	RDF only
T <sub>1</sub>	Vermicompost + azotobacter @2kg/ha + PSB @2kg/ha
T <sub>2</sub>	Vermicompost + azotobacter @2.5kg/ha + PSB @2.5kg/ha
T <sub>3</sub>	Vermicompost + azotobacter @3kg/ha + PSB @3kg/ha
T <sub>4</sub>	Vermicompost + azotobacter @3.5kg/ha + PSB @3.5kg/ha
T <sub>5</sub>	Vermicompost + azotobacter @4kg/ha + PSB @4kg/ha
T <sub>6</sub>	Vermicompost+ azotobacter @4.5kg/ha + PSB @4.5kg/ha
T <sub>7</sub>	Vermicompost +azotobacter @5kg/ha + PSB @5kg/ha

\* RDF(150kg : 120kg : 120kg per ha) is common in all the treatments with Vermicompost (8t/ha)

**Table 1 Growth parameters:**

Treatments	Sprouting (no of days)	Sprouting %	Days to 7 leaf stage	Plant height 30 days (cm)	Plant height 45 days (cm)	Plant height 75 days (cm)
T <sub>0</sub>	14.22	85	63.53	33.56	52.53	76.06
T <sub>1</sub>	14.17	88.39	58.16	38.63	59.96	80.36
T <sub>2</sub>	13.87	88.72	57.33	43.63	66.33	83.36
T <sub>3</sub>	13.07	90.13	56.65	41.7	67.16	85.86
T <sub>4</sub>	11.59	91.84	56.26	45.13	67.53	85.86
T <sub>5</sub>	11.26	93.98	51.14	46.13	70.16	94.83
T <sub>6</sub>	9.2	96.14	48.4	46.63	72.2	96.33
T <sub>7</sub>	7	97.14	44.76	52.86	78.3	103.23
SEM±	0.31	0.43	1.02	2.61	2.96	2.66
CD (5%)	0.92	1.26	3.00	7.65	8.65	7.77
CV	4.60	0.82	3.25	10.4	7.67	5.21

**Table2 Floral parameters:**

Treatments	50% flowering	No. of florets/spike	Diameter of 1 <sup>st</sup> flower(cm)	Diameter of 2 <sup>nd</sup> flower(cm)	Spike length (cm)	Spike weight (gm)	Shelf life in days
T <sub>0</sub>	121.62	7.58	8.07	7.43	64.4	60.16	5.66
T <sub>1</sub>	121	10.1	8.17	7.56	66.76	62.4	6.44
T <sub>2</sub>	120.66	13.95	8.37	8	75.76	63.13	7.28
T <sub>3</sub>	116	10.89	9.43	8.53	77.86	69.23	9.32
T <sub>4</sub>	114.66	11.69	10.2	8.66	76.96	64.36	10.14
T <sub>5</sub>	113.36	12.52	10.53	9.13	81.46	75.8	12.83
T <sub>6</sub>	112.33	13.79	10.77	9.4	84.26	83.73	14.29
T <sub>7</sub>	108	15.39	11.13	9.7	84.96	84.16	14.79
SEM±	0.51	0.28	0.53	0.39	3.44	4.51	0.20
CD (5%)	1.48	0.82	1.56	1.13	10.06	13.20	0.59
CV	0.75	4.06	9.66	7.80	7.78	11.11	3.47

**Table 3 Yield attributing characters:**

Treatments	No. corms/plant	Weight of corms/plant (gm)	No. of cormels/plant	Weight of cormels/plant (gm)
T <sub>0</sub>	1.33	30.4	19.69	12.65
T <sub>1</sub>	1.77	31.71	21.08	12.71
T <sub>2</sub>	2.2	33.48	21.85	15.00
T <sub>3</sub>	2.23	44.05	22.77	16.05
T <sub>4</sub>	2.42	46.00	24.12	17.25
T <sub>5</sub>	2.46	46.95	25.19	18.75
T <sub>6</sub>	2.79	49.32	26.21	19.80
T <sub>7</sub>	3.00	52.00	26.45	21.32
SEM±	0.13	0.39	0.19	0.23
CD (5%)	0.38	1.15	0.57	0.66
CV	9.92	1.68	1.44	2.35

**Table 4 Yield parameters:**

Treatment	No. of corms/ha	Yield of cormels (q/ha)	No. of spikes/ha
T <sub>0</sub>	126112.5	11.94	94444.35
T <sub>1</sub>	174601	12.48	98211.01
T <sub>2</sub>	217138.1	14.79	98585.09
T <sub>3</sub>	223784	16.08	100151.8
T <sub>4</sub>	247689.4	17.61	102048
T <sub>5</sub>	257816.7	19.59	104422.1
T <sub>6</sub>	298072.8	21.15	106822.1
T <sub>7</sub>	324293.2	23.02	107940.6
SEM±	13009.75	0.25	479.62
CD (5%)	38060.32	0.73	1403.14
CV	9.86	2.52	0.82

**Results and discussion:****Growth parameters:**

In terms of number of days to sprouting, the maximum days to sprouting (14.22) was recorded in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> and minimum days to sprouting (7.0) was found for the treatment T<sub>7</sub> similar result was reported by Chaudhary (2013). In terms of sprouting % the maximum sprouting% (97.14) was recorded in the treatment T<sub>7</sub> and minimum sprouting% (85) was found for the treatment T<sub>0</sub> similar results were observed by Mogal *et al.* (2006). In terms of 7 leaf stage the maximum days taken to 7 leaf stage (63.53) was recorded in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> and minimum days taken to 7 leaf stage (44.76) was found for the treatment T<sub>7</sub> according to Srivastava and Govil (2007). In terms of plant height recorded (30DAP) highest was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> (52.86cm) whereas lowest was recorded in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (33.56 cm) similar results were reported by Mukesh *et al.* (2019) and Mogal *et al.* (2006).

Plant height (45 DAP) was recorded highest in T7 (78.3cm) whereas lowest in T0 (52.53 cm) similar results were reported by Mukesh *et al.* (2019) and Mogal *et al.* (2006). Plant height (75DAP) highest was recorded in T7 (103.23cm) whereas lowest in T0 (76.06cm) respectively similar results were reported by Mukesh *et al.* (2019) and Mogal *et al.* (2006).

#### **Floral parameters:**

In terms of days to 50 % flowering the maximum days to 50% flowering (121.62) was recorded in the treatment T0 and minimum days (108) was found for the treatment T7 similar results were reported by Mogal *et al.* (2006). In terms of number of florets/spike the maximum number of florets/spike (15.39) was recorded in the treatment T7 and minimum florets (7.58) was found for the treatment T0 similar with Godse *et al.* (2006). In terms of diameter of 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> flower the maximum diameter (11.13cm & 9.7cm) was recorded in the treatment T7 and minimum diameter of 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> flower (8.07cm & 7.43 cm) was found for the treatment T0 similar results were reported by Mukesh *et al.* (2019). In terms of spike length the maximum (84.96cm) was recorded in the treatment T7 and minimum length (64.4cm) was found for the treatment T0 similar results were reported by Mukesh *et al.* (2019). In terms of spike weight the maximum (84.16gm) was recorded in the treatment T7 and minimum weight (60.16gm) was found for the treatment T0 respectively similar results were reported by Dalve *et al.* (2006).

#### **Vase life:**

In terms of vase life maximum vase life (14.79 days) was recorded in the treatment T7 and minimum vase life (5.66 days) was found for the treatment T0 respectively similar results were reported by Mukesh *et al.* (2019) and Mogal *et al.* (2006).

#### **Yield attributing characters:**

In terms of number of corms/plant maximum (3) was recorded in the treatment T7 and minimum number of corms/plant (1.33) was found for the treatment T0 similar results were reported by Dalve *et al.* (2006). In terms of weight of corms/plant maximum weight of corms (52.0 gm) was recorded in the treatment T7 and minimum weight of corms/plant (30.4 gm) was found for the treatment T0 similar results were reported by Dalve *et al.* (2006). In terms of number of cormels/plant maximum (26.45) was recorded in the treatment T7 and minimum number of

cormels/plant(19.69) was found for the treatment T0 similar results were reported by Dalve et al.(2006).

In terms of weight of cormels/plant maximum (21.32 gm) was recorded in the treatment T7 and minimum weight of cormels/plant(12.65 gm) was found for the treatment T0 respectively reported similar results were reported by Dalve et al.(2006).

#### **Yield parameters:**

In terms of number of corms/hectare maximum (324293.2) was recorded in the treatment T7 and minimum number of corms/hectare (126112.5) was found for the treatment T0 reported similar results were reported by Dalve et al.(2006). In terms of yield of cormels (q/ha) maximum (23.02 q/ha) was recorded in the treatment T7 and minimum yield of cormels (q/ha)(11.94 q/ha) was found for the treatment T0 reported similar results were reported by Dalve et al.(2006). In terms of number of spikes/hectare (107940.6) was recorded in the treatment T7 and minimum number of spikes/hectare (94444.35) was found for the treatment T0 respectively reported similar results were reported by Dalve et al.(2006).

#### **Economics:**

Economically best treatment was T7, gave the highest net return up to 2026917.00 Rs/ha as well as B:C ratio 4.37, and T0 lowest net return was at 864101.00 Rs/ha as well as B:C ratio 2.43.

#### **Conclusion:**

In this study, T7 was found superior combination in days to sprouting, 50% sprouting, days taken to 7 leaf stage, maximum plant height (30,45,75 DAP), 50% flowering, florets/spike, flower diameter, length & weight of spike, vase life, number of corms, weight of corms, number of cormels, weight of cormels with high net return and B:C ratio. From this it can be concluded that the T7, was the most promising treatment amongst all the other treatments.

## **REFERENCES:**

- Mukesh Dawar, Anuj Kumar, M Vidhya Sankar, Roshan Gallani (2019).** Effect of biofertilizers on growth and flowering of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa L.*) under Malwa Plateau of Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture* 22 (1and2), 21-27, 2019
- E. Sathyanarayana, Sudha Patil, M. Bahubali and S. L. Chawla (2016).** Effect of INM on gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus L.*) cv. American Beauty under Navsari and Tansa Conditions, *International journal of pure and applied bioscience* ISSN: 2320 – 70516 (4): 48-55 (2018)
- P. B. Pansuriya, R. V. Chauhan, J. V. Varasani and S. R. Aghera (2016).** Effect of INM on flowering, corm and cormel yield of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus L.*) cv. Psittacinus hybrid, *An international quarterly journal of life sciences*. 11(4): 2687-2689, 2016
- Ali, A., Mehmood, T., Hussain, R., Bashir, A., Raja, S., Najam-ud-Din and Ahmad, A.(2014).** Investigation of biofertilizers influence on vegetative growth, flower quality, bulb yield and nutrient uptake in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus L.*) *International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences* 4(1): 94-99.
- Baskaran, V., Misra, R.L. Singh, S.K. and Abirami (2014).** Response of biofertilizers and commercial formulations on growth, yield and comm production of gladiolus. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 71(2): 237-241.p
- Rahul Singh, Mukesh Kumar, Sameeksha Raj and Sanjay Kumar(2014).** Flowering and corm production in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus l.*) cv. “White prosperity” as influenced by integrated nutrient management (INM). *Annals of Horticulture* 7(1) : 36-42 (2014).
- Sharma Uday Beer, Khare R. K (2014).** To study the effect of bio fertilizer and foliar spray of zinc under different NP levels on floral characteristics and economics of Gladiolus. *Bhartiya Krishi Anusandhan Patrika* Year : 2014, Volume : 29, Issue : 2 first page 78 last page 81 Print ISSN : 0303-3821. Online ISSN : 0976-4631.

**Hadwani, M. K. ; Varu, D. K. ; Niketa Panjjar ; Babariya, V. J.(2013).**Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of ratoon tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Double. *Asian Journal of Horticulture* Vol.8 No.2 pp.448-451 ref.14

**Dhami Vandana, Rao V.K, Sachan Sanjay, Kumar Santosh (2013).** To study the influence of biofertilizers, organic manures and chemical fertilizers on growth, flowering and yield of African marigold African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture* Volume: 16

**Chaudhuri, S.R., Patil, A.B. and Patel, N.K. (2013).** Effect of organics, inorganics and biofertilizers on growth and yield of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) cv. AmericanBeauty. *Bioinfolet*, 10(4 B): 1214-1217.

**Dubey R.K., Misra R.L., Singh S.K., Manisha (2010).** Efficacy of bio-and chemical fertilizers on certain floral qualities of gladiolus. *Indian Journal of Horticulture* Year : 2010, Volume : 67 first page 382 last page 385.

**Uday Sharma ; Chaudhary, S. V. S. ; Rajesh Thakur (2008).**Response of gladiolus to integrated plant nutrient management. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences* 2008 Vol.37 No.3/4 pp.285-286 ref.4.

**R. Srivastava, M. Govil (2007).** Influence of biofertilizers on growth and flowering in gladiolus cv. American beauty. *International Society for Horticultural Sciences* 2007.

**Rakesh Kumar, Ramesh Kumar and Prabhat Kumar (2007).** Effect of integrated use of chemical fertilizers, biofertilizers and biostimulants in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) cv. Sancerre. *Progressive Horticulture* Vol. 43(1): 149-152, 2011

**Dongardive, S. B. ; Golliwar, V. J. ; Bhongle, S. A. (2007).**Effect of organic manure and biofertilizers on growth and flowering in *Gladiolus* cv. White prosperity. *Plant Archives* 2007 Vol.7 No.2 pp.657-658 ref.5

**Gotmare, P. T. ; Damke, M. M. ; Gonge, V. S. ; Snehal Deshmukh (2007).**Influence of integrated nutrient management on vegetative growth parameters of marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *Asian Journal of Horticulture* 2007 Vol.2 No.2 pp.33-36 ref.9

**Godse, S. B. ; Golliwar, V. J. ; Neha Chopde ; Bramhankar, K. S. ; Kore, M. S.(2006).**Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers with reduced doses of inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of gladiolus. *Journal of Soils and Crops* 2006 Vol.16.No.2 pp.445-449 ref.8

**Mogal, S. A. ; Khiratkar, S. D. ; Chopde, N. K. ; Dalve, A. M. ; Kuchanwar, O. D. ; Khobragade, Y. R., (2006).**Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers with reduced doses of nitrogen on growth, yield and quality of China aster.*Journal of Soils and Crops* 2006 Vol.16 No.1 pp.180-185 ref.5

**Mukesh kumar, Sultan Singh, S.K. Sharma, D.S. Dahiya, L.S. Beniwal (2006).** Effect of biofertilizers on growth and flowering of marigold cv. Pusa Narangi. *Haryana Journal Horticulture science* 36 (1&2).

UNDER PEE