

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_91112
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Crop Phenology, Growth Indices and Yield of Wheat as Influenced by Different Establishment and Moisture Conservation Practices under Limited Irrigated Conditions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>In the <b>abstract</b>, the goal of your research must be seen. You cannot just wake up and start making field tests; you must have observed something frequently that you would like to understand.</p> <p>Concerning the section dealing with the <b>methodology</b>, I suggest to the Author to characterize the landscape where the study was done. For this purpose, he must insist on the pedological (the physics characteristics of the targeted soils), human activities, agricultural practises, vegetation, and climatic aspects.</p> <p><b>In the section dealing with Results and Discussions, the Author needs to improve the structuring of the sentences, favouring at the same time short sentences. This will surely make easier the understanding of his manuscript. I don't think that the name of the authors cited must appear in the text; the use of numbers can be done for that purpose. Then in the references, those numbers can be respectively associated with the author indexed. This will have the advantage to lighten the manuscript.</b></p> <p><b>NB: *In the results and discussion part, one may gainfully start by presenting a given result with reference to figures or/and tables if possible. After this, its analysis must be done in relation to itself first, then in relation to the other results obtained in the same field by other researchers to be cited. At the end, an interpretation is done in order to justify the result obtained. While doing this, links can be created when possible between one's results; for instance: the concentration of nitrogen in soils is consistent with the plant firmness .....</b></p> <p><b>*Furthermore, The results and discussion part of a manuscript represents the contribution of the author in the improvement of the scientific knowledge in a given field. You have pertinent results. However, the way they are presented is really questionable. I suggest you to reorganize them, properly discuss and interpret them.</b></p> <p><b>The conclusion needs to be reorganized and rephrased.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The Author must clearly indicate why he decided to carry the study and, at the end, he must clearly list his findings in the conclusion.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fopoussi Tuebue Jean Christophe</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jesus and Mary Secondary High School, Cameroon</b>