

## EFFECT OF PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS ON GROWTH AND FLOWER YIELD OF LILUM UNDER POLYHOUSE IN PRAYAGRAJ AGRO CLIMATIC CONDITION

**ABSTRACT:** The present Research was conducted To evaluate the response of liliium by GA<sub>3</sub>, IAA and Ethrel to analyse the growth and yield under polyhouse in Prayagraj agro climatic condition. The experiment was layout in Randomized block design (RBD) with 13 treatments and each treatment replicated thrice. The experiment was carried out during November, 2021 to February, 2022 in Research Field, Department of Horticulture, SHUATS, Prayagraj. The treatments consist of different concentrations of plant growth regulators (IAA, Ethrel and GA<sub>3</sub>). From the experimental findings, it was found that the treatment T<sup>10</sup> (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm) was found the superior compared to other treatment combination, which recorded highest plant height (71.36 cm), Number of leaves (72.36), plant spread (15.44 cm<sup>2</sup>), Earliness in first flower bud initiation (44.33 days), Earliness first flower initiation (50.07 days), flower stalk diameter (6.55 mm), number of buds per inflorescence (4.66), Bud length (11.59 cm), Bud diameter (17.07 mm), Flower diameter (16.26 mm), Vase life (10.55 days), Number of spike yield per plant (2.23), number of spike yield per hectare (77142.59). The present research shows that the treatment of (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm) resulted best among remaining Treatment concentrations under the Prayagraj agro climatic condition.

*Keywords:* Liliium, IAA, Ethrel, and GA<sub>3</sub> plant growth regulators.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

There are over 100 species and over 9,400 cultivars in the genus Liliium, which is part of the Liliaceae family. These cultivars are classified into seven categories (Comber, 1949). Northern hemisphere, mainly Asia, North America and Europe, especially China, Nepal, Korea and Japan, constitute the gene centres of this genus around the world. Liliium sp. is cultivated worldwide and is one of the most important generator cut flower and pot plant. It is a genus of great economic significance for production and commercialization of its cut flower in the international cut flower market (Jimenez *et al.*, 2012). In the language of flowers, lily symbolizes purity and innocence.

It is currently one among the most important flowers farmed for the cut flower market. Due to its size, beauty and longevity, liliium is among the top ten cut flowers in the world (Thakur *et al.*, 2005). As a cut flower, lily is the fourth most important crop in the Netherlands. Species of genus liliium, originated from Asia, Europe, and North America, are mostly vegetatively propagated monocot perennials and are one of the economically most important flower bulbs. Lilies produce big attractive flowers with a wide range of colours and shapes, therefore, they make excellent cut flowers, wonderful flowering potted plants and have a great ornamental value for landscape purposes.

Worldwide commercially important lily cultivars, viz. Asiatic hybrids, Oriental hybrids and cultivars of *Lilium longiflorum*, originated from only three of the seven sections. Asiatic hybrids were obtained after complex interspecific hybridization between at least 12 species of the Sinomartagon section. Hybridisation between five species of the section Archelirion resulted in the oriental hybrids, whereas *Lilium longiflorum* belongs to the section Leucolirion. Crosses between *L. longiflorum* and 'Asiatic' lilies lead to development of 'LA' hybrids while crosses between *L. longiflorum* and 'Oriental' produced the 'LO' hybrids, specially the difficult hybridisation between Asiatic hybrids and Oriental hybrids ('OA' hybrids), a combination of the two most commercially important lily clusters, are a break-through in lily breeding and hybridisation (Van Tuyl *et al.*, 1991). Lily is the common English name for flowering plants of the Asiatic lily genus and they are extensively being grown in polyhouse as cut flower in global flower trade because there is a greater variety of growth seasons, a vast range of colours, and enduring quality.

In recent years, it was found that growth regulators play an important role in regulating the flower production in gladiolus to catch the early and late demands in the cut flower market (Bhattacharjee *et al.*, 2006)<sup>1</sup>. The major areas where plant growth promoters are used in floriculture are plant propagation, plant height control, regulation of flowering, and prolonging the life of cut blooms, besides some other minor uses. GA3 enhance the vase life of flowers also there are widespread applications of GA3 for the promotion of growth in a variety of horticultural crops. Research work on the use of traditional plant growth promoters like gibberellins, improving bulb and corm multiplication rate as well as bulb and corm enlargement was carried out in different parts of the country (Kumar *et al.*, 2002)<sup>2</sup>. Gibberellins can play a great role in regulation of bulb production and breaking dormancy of bulb. But artificial application of plant growth regulators can also enhance, hasten, or delay the flowering time in some plant species. Other publications claim that gibberellins cause early flowering and extend floral life. Growth and yield was enhanced by application of GA3 (Umrao *et al.*, 2007)<sup>3</sup>. This Research conducted to evaluate the response of *Lilium* to GA3, IAA and Ethrel to analyse the growth and flowering yield, to Study the vase life of the flower under different treatment concentrations.

## **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **2.1 Experimental location, environmental conditions (climate and weather)**

A field experiment was carried out under the present investigation entitled "Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Growth and Flower Yield of *Lilium longiflorum* L.) cv. *Eremo* Under Polyhouse in Prayagraj Agro Climatic Condition.", which needed different materials and methodologies for various operating studies to be made in the field and the laboratory.

The present investigation was carried out in the form of field experiment in Horticultural Research Field, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India during 2021-2022. The area comes under sub-

tropical zone of Indo-Gangetic plains. Geographically, Prayagraj is situated at 25° 24' 42" N latitude, 81° 50' 56" E longitude and 98 m altitude above the mean sea level. Climate in this sub-tropical, south-eastern part of Uttar Pradesh prevails with both the extremes of temperature. In winter, during December and January temperatures sometime fall very low up to 3°C, while the weather becomes very hot in summer with the temperatures sometimes soaring to a high of over 47°C in May and June. In winter, cold wave and during the summer, hot desiccating winds are also not uncommon in the region.

## **2.2 Biologic material**

Bulb of Liliium Hybrid for the experiment were procured from Bhola nursery, Lucknow.

## **2.3 The experimental design and parameters determined**

### **2.3.1 Experimental design**

The trail was laid out in Randomized block design (RBD) with 13 treatments which have been replicated 3 times. 13Treatments were used.

### **2.3.2 Growth Parameters**

In order to understand the growth & development of the crop. Growth parameters measured in term plant height(cm), plant spread(cm<sup>2</sup>), number of leaves per plant at 20,40 and 60 days after transplanting.

### **2.3.3 Flowering parameters**

Days to flower bud initiation,number of days to first flower,Flower stalk diameter, number of flower budsinflorescence,Flower bud length, Bud diameter, Flower diameter, vase life.

### **2.3.4 Yield parameters**

Number of flower spike per plant, Number of flower spike per hectare.

## **2.4 Statistical analysis**

The results and data were subjected to statistical analysis separately by using analysis of variance technique (ANOVA). The difference among treatments means was compared by using least significant difference test at 5% probability levels.

## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **3.1 Growth Parameter**

Growth parameters data are shown in Table 1.Significant difference was observed due to different plant growth regulators for plant height, at 60 DAT. The Maximum Plant height at 60 days (71.36 cm) was

recorded in the T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm), followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel@550ppm) with (68.41 cm) and the minimum Plant Height at 60 days (56.10 cm) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (Control@ water spray). Similar findings were found by **Pahare et al., (2020)<sup>4</sup>**.

Significant difference was observed due to different plant growth regulators for number of leaves per plant, at 60 DAT. The Maximum number of leaves per plant at 60 days (72.36) was recorded in the T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm), followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel@550ppm) with (70.41) and the minimum number of leaves per plant at 60 days (50.10) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (Control@ water spray). Similar results were obtained by **Dahal et al. (2014)<sup>5</sup>**.

Significant difference was observed due to different plant growth regulators for plant spread (cm<sup>2</sup>), at 60 DAT. The Maximum plant spread (cm<sup>2</sup>) at 60 days (15.44 cm<sup>2</sup>) was recorded in the T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm), followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel@550ppm) with (14.50 cm<sup>2</sup>) and the minimum plant spread (cm<sup>2</sup>) at 60 days (11.30 cm<sup>2</sup>) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (Control@ water spray). Similar results were reported by **Pahare et al., (2020)** found that foliar application thrice a week recorded extreme plant spread.

### 3.2 Flowering parameters

Significant difference was observed due to different plant growth regulators for days of first bud initiation. The minimum days of first bud initiation (44.33 days) was recorded in the T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm), followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel@550ppm) with (45.33 days) and the Maximum days of first bud initiation (53.66 days) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (Control@water spray) **Kumar Ret al., (2012)<sup>6</sup>**.

Significant difference was observed due to different plant growth regulators for days of first flower initiation. The minimum days of first bud initiation (50.07 days) was recorded in the T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm), followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel@550ppm) with (51.59 days) and the Maximum days of first flower initiation (62.47 days) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (Control@water spray).

Significant difference was observed due to different plant growth regulators for flower stalk diameter. The Maximum flower stalk diameter (6.55 mm) was recorded in the T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm), followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel@550ppm) with (6.32 mm) and the minimum flower stalk diameter (5.21 mm) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (Control@water spray) **Kuldeep S et al., (2018)<sup>7</sup>**.

Significant difference was observed due to different plant growth regulators for number of buds per inflorescence. The Maximum number of buds per inflorescence (4.66) was recorded in the T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm), followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel@550ppm) with (4.44) and the minimum number of buds per inflorescence (2.96) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (Control@ water spray).

Significant difference was observed due to different plant growth regulators for bud length. The Maximum number of buds per inflorescence (11.59 cm) was recorded in the T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm), followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel@550ppm) with (10.33 cm) and the bud length (7.18 cm) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (Control@ water spray).

Significant difference was observed due to different plant growth regulators for bud diameter. The Maximum bud diameter (17.07 mm) was recorded in the T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm), followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel@550ppm) with (15.91 mm) and the bud diameter (11.30 mm) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (Control@ water spray). **Kumaret al., (2011)<sup>8</sup>**.

Significant difference was observed due to different plant growth regulators for flower diameter. The Maximum flower diameter (16.26 cm) was recorded in the T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm), followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel@550ppm) with (15.70 cm) and the flower diameter (9.67 cm) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (Control@ water spray)**Nowak et al., (1985)<sup>9</sup>**.

Significant difference was observed due to different plant growth regulators for vase life (days). The Maximum vase life(10.55 days) was recorded in the T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm), followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel@550ppm) with (9.77 days) and the vase life (6.55 days) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (Control@ water spray)**Sableet al. (2015)<sup>10</sup>**.

Significant difference was observed due to different plant growth regulators for number of spike yield per plant. The Maximum number of spike yield per plant (2.23) was recorded in the T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm), followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel@550ppm) with (2.08) and the number of spike yield per plant (1.12) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (Control@ water spray).

Significant difference was observed due to different plant growth regulators for number of spike yield per hectare. The Maximum number of spike yield per hectare (77142.59) was recorded in the T<sub>10</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm), followed by T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel@550ppm) with (76883.23) and the Minimum number of spike yield per hectare (73883.93) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (Control@ water spray).

**Table 1. Effect of growth regulators on on plant height and no. of leaves and plant spread (cm<sup>2</sup>)**

Treatment symbol	Treatment	Plant height			No. Of Leaves			Plant spread		
		20DAP	40DAP	60DAP	20DAP	40DAP	60DAP	20DAP	40DAP	60DAP
T <sub>0</sub>	Control@water spray	22.13	35.89	56.10	26.13	41.89	50.10	6.22	8.74	11.30
T <sub>1</sub>	IAA@25ppm	30.77	46.04	60.10	29.47	56.27	63.50	7.81	10.37	14.19
T <sub>2</sub>	IAA@50ppm	31.13	45.96	60.25	27.77	52.42	59.65	7.73	10.71	14.11
T <sub>3</sub>	IAA@75ppm	30.63	45.66	61.62	32.67	56.33	68.57	8.52	10.56	13.66
T <sub>4</sub>	IAA@100ppm	27.73	42.31	59.65	31.27	44.73	51.97	7.41	9.81	14.18
T <sub>5</sub>	Ethrel@150ppm	31.10	41.66	60.56	30.67	48.20	55.43	6.99	10.88	13.81
T <sub>6</sub>	Ethrel@300ppm	31.23	49.86	61.80	29.33	57.73	64.97	8.77	10.37	14.52
T <sub>7</sub>	Ethrel@450ppm	31.20	40.95	58.10	32.47	54.39	61.62	7.59	10.96	13.67
T <sub>8</sub>	Ethrel@550ppm	32.33	50.07	68.41	33.33	60.07	70.41	9.10	11.70	14.50
T <sub>9</sub>	GA3@100ppm	31.10	47.01	58.31	31.10	47.01	58.31	7.56	10.85	14.11
T <sub>10</sub>	GA3@200ppm	33.43	55.55	71.36	38.43	64.55	72.36	9.46	12.44	15.44
T <sub>11</sub>	GA3@300ppm	29.27	46.48	59.03	29.27	46.48	59.03	8.44	10.74	14.13
T <sub>12</sub>	GA3@500ppm	30.70	47.53	58.23	30.70	47.53	58.23	8.27	10.70	13.30
	F-Test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
	SED(≠)	1.31	2.60	1.88	1.34	2.12	1.56	0.43	0.48	0.64
	CD@5%	2.66	5.27	3.80	2.66	5.27	3.80	0.87	0.97	1.29
	CV	5.34	6.97	3.77	5.34	6.97	3.77	6.60	5.54	5.64

**Table. 2 Flowering parameters performance of different treatments in Lilium**

<b>Treatment symbol</b>	<b>Treatment</b>	<b>Bud initiation (days)</b>	<b>Flower initiation (days)</b>	<b>Flower stalk diameter (cm)</b>	<b>Number of buds per inflorescence</b>
T <sub>0</sub>	Control@water spray	53.66	62.47	5.21	2.96
T <sub>1</sub>	IAA@25ppm	49	53.32	6.07	3.96
T <sub>2</sub>	IAA@50ppm	48.33	56.4	5.8	3.7
T <sub>3</sub>	IAA@75ppm	48.67	58.33	6.13	3.83
T <sub>4</sub>	IAA@100ppm	50	58.02	5.81	4.21
T <sub>5</sub>	Ethrel@150ppm	46	56.44	5.76	4.07
T <sub>6</sub>	Ethrel@300ppm	48	59.14	6.04	3.4
T <sub>7</sub>	Ethrel@450ppm	46.66	60.26	5.76	3.84
T <sub>8</sub>	Ethrel@550ppm	45.33	51.59	6.32	4.44
T <sub>9</sub>	GA3@100ppm	47.33	56.5	5.84	3.58
T <sub>10</sub>	GA3@200ppm	44.33	50.07	6.55	4.66
T <sub>11</sub>	GA3@300ppm	47.66	54.29	6.14	4.1
T <sub>12</sub>	GA3@500ppm	46.66	54.14	5.88	4.21
F-TEST		S	S	S	S
SEd		1.65	1.54	0.3	0.35
CD (5%)		3.35	3.11	0.61	0.72
CV%		4.24	3.35	6.29	11.18

**Table: 3 Effect of growth regulatorson flower yield attributes**

<b>Treatment symbol</b>	<b>Treatment</b>	<b>Bud length (cm)</b>	<b>Bud diameter (mm)</b>	<b>Flower diameter (cm)</b>	<b>Vase life (Days)</b>
T <sub>0</sub>	Control@water spray	7.18	11.3	9.67	6.55
T <sub>1</sub>	IAA@25ppm	8.45	14.44	14.7	8
T <sub>2</sub>	IAA@50ppm	8.24	15.06	14.29	7.66
T <sub>3</sub>	IAA@75ppm	8.03	15.7	13.85	8.22
T <sub>4</sub>	IAA@100ppm	9.11	13.89	13.96	8.66
T <sub>5</sub>	Ethrel@150ppm	9.03	14.54	14.74	8.55
T <sub>6</sub>	Ethrel@300ppm	8.44	14.73	12.55	8.22
T <sub>7</sub>	Ethrel@450ppm	9.07	14.18	11.88	8.44
T <sub>8</sub>	Ethrel@550ppm	10.33	15.91	15.7	9.77
T <sub>9</sub>	GA3@100ppm	9.67	14.29	13.88	8.66
T <sub>10</sub>	GA3@200ppm	11.59	17.07	16.26	10.55
T <sub>11</sub>	GA3@300ppm	9.56	15.44	14.52	9.03
T <sub>12</sub>	GA3@500ppm	10.07	15.63	15.26	9.13
F-TEST		S	S	S	S
SEd		0.16	0.65	0.6	0.37
CD (5%)		0.33	1.31	1.22	0.75
CV%		2.24	5.39	5.33	5.32

**Table: 4 flower Yield parameters on different treatments in Lilium**

<b>Treatment symbol</b>	<b>Treatment</b>	<b>Number of flower spike per plant</b>	<b>Number of flower spike per hectare</b>
T <sub>0</sub>	Control@water spray	1.12	73883.93
T <sub>1</sub>	IAA@25ppm	1.71	76176.34
T <sub>2</sub>	IAA@50ppm	2.05	75634.23
T <sub>3</sub>	IAA@75ppm	2.04	73735.77
T <sub>4</sub>	IAA@100ppm	1.73	75513.3
T <sub>5</sub>	Ethrel@150ppm	1.96	75255.23
T <sub>6</sub>	Ethrel@300ppm	1.36	76764.74
T <sub>7</sub>	Ethrel@450ppm	1.41	75585.67
T <sub>8</sub>	Ethrel@550ppm	2.08	76883.23
T <sub>9</sub>	GA3@100ppm	1.25	74022.22
T <sub>10</sub>	GA3@200ppm	2.23	77142.59
T <sub>11</sub>	GA3@300ppm	1.92	75810.74
T <sub>12</sub>	GA3@500ppm	1.71	75985.95
F-TEST		S	S
SEd		0.29	669.6
CD (5%)		0.6	1354.38

CV%	21.09	1.08
-----	-------	------

#### 4. Conclusion

In the present investigation it is concluded that the treatment (T<sub>10</sub>) (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm) was found to be the best in terms of plant height (71.36 cm), where as the number of leaves per plant(72.36), plant spread (15.44 cm<sup>2</sup>), days of first bud initiation(50.07 days), flower stalk diameter(6.55 mm), bud length (11.59 cm), flower diameter (16.26 cm), number of buds per inflorescence(4.66), bud diameter(17.07 mm), vase life for this treatment shows (10.55 days) which is best among other treatments, spike yield per plant (2.23) and spike yield per hectare (77142.59). The present research shows that the treatment of (GA<sub>3</sub>@200ppm) resulted best among remaining Treatment concentrations under the prayagraj agro climatic condition.

#### REFERENCES

1. Bhattacharjee SK ( 2006 ) Bulbous Ornamentals and Aquatic Plants. *Pointer Publishers, India*, 81.
2. Kumar V, Umrao V, Singh M.(2002) Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and IAA on growth and flowering of carnation. *HortFlora Research Spectrum*. 1(1):69-72.
3. Umrao VK, Sharma V, Kumar B. (2007) Influence of gibberellic acid spraying on gladiolus cv. Rose Delight. *Progressive Agriculture*.7(1-2):187-188.
4. Pooja Pahare, J.N. Das (2020). Effect of Alpha-Naphthalene Acetic Acid [NAA] on Growth, Flowering and Yield of *Vinca rosea* cv. *Catharanthus caramel*. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* ISSN: 2319-7706 Volume 9.
5. Dalal SR, Somavanshi AV, Karale GD. (2009) Effect of gibberellic acid on growth, flowering, yield and quality of gerbera under polyhouse conditions. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 5(2):355-356.
6. Kumar R, Ram, M, Gaur GS.(2012) Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and etrel on growth and flowering of African marigold cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 2010; 67:362-366.

7. Kuldeep Singh Khuriwal (2018). The effect of GA3 on growth and flowering quality in dahlia. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. SPI: 603-604.
8. Kumar A, Gautam DK.(2011) Effect of plant growth regulators on spike yield and bulb production of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* Linn.) cv. "Hyderabad Double". *Progressive Horticulture*. 43(2):234-236.
9. Nowak J, Mynett K.(1985) The effect of growth regulators on post-harvest characteristics of cut *Lilium* 'Prima' inflorescences. *Acta Horticulturae*.167:109-116.
10. Sable PB, Ransingh UR, Waskar DP. (2015) Effect of foliar application of plant growth regulators on growth and flower quality of gladiolus cv. 'HB Pitt'. *Journal of Horticulture*, 2015 1-3.