

## Original Research Article

Effect of plant growth ~~retardents~~ retardants on growth and flowering of dahlia  
(*Dahlia variabilis* L.) cv. Edinburgh

### ABSTRACT

The present investigation “~~Effect of plant growth retardents on growth and flowering of dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.) cv. Edinburgh~~” was conducted in the Research Field, Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, ~~during from~~ November, 2021 to March, 2022 to study the effect of plant growth retardants on the growth and flowering of dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.) cv. Edinburgh.

Formatted: Font: Italic

The seedlings were planted at a spacing of 50cm x 50cm from row to row and plant to plant to accommodate nine plants per ~~3-3-~~ meter square area. The experiment was laid out with thirteen treatments replicated thrice in Randomized Block Design. The plants were watered immediately after planting and at weekly intervals during the growing period. Based on the present investigation ~~it is concluded that,~~ the treatment T<sub>12</sub> maleic hydrazide@1200ppm was found best in terms of plant growth parameters, flower, and yield of Dahlia. In terms of economics, the maximum Cost Benefit ratio (1: 2.62) was also found in treatment T<sub>12</sub> maleic hydrazide@1200ppm, whereas the minimum Cost benefit ratio (1:1.33) was recorded in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control).

**Keywords:** ~~retardents~~ retardants, growth, flowering, and Dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.) cv. Edinburgh

## INTRODUCTION

Dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L) is one of the most popular bulbous flowers grown in many parts of the world for its beautiful ornamental blooms of varying shades of colours for the beautification of gardens and cut flowers. It ~~is belonging to the family Asteraceae having its origin in Mexico and received its name by Cavanilles in the year~~ belongs to the family Asteraceae having its origin in Mexico and received its name by Cavanilles in 1791. Dahlia (genus Dahlia), a genus of about 40 species of flowering plants in the aster family (Asteraceae). About six ~~of the~~ species in the Dahlia genus have been bred for cultivation as ornamental flowers and are popular in the floral industry and ~~in~~ gardens. The thousands of dahlia cultivars are classed into a variety of various types, ~~including single, double, pompon, cactus, waterlily, peony~~: single, double, pompon, cactus, waterlily, peony-flowered, and dinner plate dahlias. Dahlias are tuberous perennials, ~~and most have simple leaves that are segmented and toothed; most have simple leaves that are segmented, toothed,~~ or cut. The compound flowers may be white, yellow, red, or purple ~~in colour~~.

Dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L) is a ~~very beauti~~ beautiful flower ~~which that, by virtue of its~~ extra—ordinary quality, has attained the attention of many people ~~all over the world~~ worldwide. It is a perennial, ~~half-half~~-hardy, herbaceous plant with a tuberous root system and erects growing habit (Marina, 2015). In India, it is ~~mostly-mainly~~ grown as a winter flower because of severe climatic conditions during summer. As a member of the Asteraceae, the flower head is ~~actually~~ a composite (hence the older name Compositae) with both central disc florets and surrounding ray florets. Each floret is a flower in its own right, but is often incorrectly described as a petal, particularly by horticulturists. ~~In the language of flowers, Dahlias represent dignity and instability~~ Dahlias represent dignity and instability ~~in the language of flowers, as well as~~ meaning my gratitude exceeds your care (Connolly, 2004). Dahlia offers a most extensive colour range with two colours in the same flower, because of the accumulation of anthocyanin and other flavonoids in their ray florets.

Dahlias are ~~with-an~~ advantage for making bouquets and wreaths or vase decorations.

The long clean and stiff stocks are very suitable for ~~both handling and decoration purposes~~ ~~Developments after the discovery of growth regulators and their application in agriculture~~ ~~and more handling and decoration. Developments after the discovery of growth regulators and their application in agriculture and~~ especially in floriculture are significant. Regulations of plant growth and development using natural plant hormones for greater production have received the ~~at~~ most attention. Growth and flowering responses of ornamental plant ~~to these chemical substances have been intensively studied with a view~~ to these chemical substances have been intensively studied to have compact plants with ~~a greater more significant~~ number of flowers and also to hasten or delay flowering according to the ~~needs of the grower~~ grower's needs.

Plant growth regulators (PGRs) consist of organic molecules produced synthetically and used to alter the growth of plants or plant parts. Although, photosynthesis supplies ~~the~~ carbon and respiration ~~supplies the~~ energy for plant growth, a group of chemicals produced by plants known as plant growth regulators ~~control~~ the growth and development of plants. These chemicals act on plant processes at very low concentrations. They ~~have ability to can~~ accelerate or retard ~~the~~ plant growth. PGRs ~~are~~ sometimes confused with plant hormones, but there are ~~certain specific~~ differences among them as the ~~term PGRs is used by agrochemical industry~~ agrochemical industry uses the term PGRs to indicate synthetic plant growth regulators, ~~while. In contrast,~~ plant hormones are a group of naturally occurring, organic substances ~~which influence~~ influencing physiological processes at low concentrations (Davies, 2010).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment entitled ~~“Effect of plant growth retardants on growth and flowering of dahlia (Dahlia variabilis L.) cv. Edinburgh”~~ was carried out in the Department of Horticulture, Naini, Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences during 2021-2022. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with thirteen treatments and three replications. The experiment included the application of different concentrations of plant growth regulators Ethephon, Alar, and Maleichydrazibe (MH). Treatments were given with concentrations of Ethephon @ 300, 600, 900, and 1200 ppm, Alar @ 300, 600, 900, and 1200 ppm, and MH @ 300, 600, 900, and 1200 ppm, whereas water was sprayed on control plants. All the packages of practices

were followed as per recommendation to raise a quality crop. ~~three~~ Three plants were selected randomly from each treatment per replication, and the observations were recorded on various growth, flowering, quality, and yield parameters on these plants.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

The result of the experiment has been presented under the following heading.

### A. Vegetative growth parameters:

Due to different concentrations of PGR, plant height varies significantly, and the maximum plant height (104.45 cm) was recorded in control, followed by treatment T1-ethephon @ 300ppm (104.22 cm). ~~T-and~~ the shortest is found in the treatment T12-MH @ 1200ppm (90.11 cm). The difference in plant height may be due to the inhibition of gibberellin biosynthesis, which results in cell elongation and ~~also by~~ the suppression of apical dominance by inhibiting cell division. These results are in accordance with (Saiyadet al., 2010 and Ahmad, et al., 2019) in dahlia.

Due to different concentrations of PGR, the no. of leaves varies significantly, and the maximum no. of leaves (138.00) was recorded in T12-MH @ 1200ppm, followed by treatment T11- ethephon @ 900ppm (132.56) and minimum no. of leaves (105.55) is found in the treatment T2-ethephon @ 600ppm. The difference in no. of leaves may be due to the increase in the number of branches. These results are in accordance with (Nagina et al., (2015) in chrysanthemum.

Due to different concentrations of PGR, the no. of branches varies significantly, and the maximum no. of branches (8.22) was recorded in T12-MH @ 1200ppm, followed by treatment T11- ethephon @ 900ppm (7.33) and minimum no. of branches (5.78) is found in ~~the~~ control. The difference in no. of branches may be due to ~~the~~ suppression of apical dominance by the growth regulators, thereby diverting the polar transport of auxins towards the basal buds leading to an increase in the number of laterals. These results are in accordance with (Malik et al., 2017) in dahlia.

Due to different concentrations of PGR, stem diameter varies significantly, and the maximum stem diameter (2.05 cm) was recorded in T12-MH @ 1200ppm, followed by treatment T11- ethephon @ 900ppm (2.02 cm) and minimum stem diameter (1.67 cm) is found in T2-ethephon @ 600ppm. The difference in stem diameter may be due to ~~decrease in the plant height by the growth regulators~~ the growth regulators'

decrease in the plant height, which increases the partition distribution of nutrients towards the lower parts. These results are in accordance with (Ahmad, *et al.*, 2019 and Malik *et al.*, 2017) in dahlia.

Due to different concentrations of PGR, the average length of branches varies significantly, and the maximum branch length (78.89 cm) was recorded in T2-ethephon @ 600ppm, followed by treatment T5- alar-Alar @ 300ppm (78.11 cm) and minimum branch length (63.00 cm) is found in control. The decrease in branch length with the higher concentrations of growth regulators is due to inhibition of gibberellins biosynthesis, which ~~results in decrease induces~~ cell elongation ~~while~~. In comparison, these growth regulators at lower concentrations act as growth promoters, thus ~~leading to the increase in increasing~~ the length of the branches. These results are in accordance with (Pinto, A.C.R. 2005) in *Zinnia elegans*.

#### **B. Flowering parameters:**

Among the treatments, the minimum significant days to 1<sup>st</sup> flower bud initiation (67.87 days) was recorded in control, followed by T6 alar-Alar @ 600ppm (68.17 days), and maximum days to 1<sup>st</sup> flower bud initiation (79.36 days) was observed in T12MH @ 1200ppm. This delay in flower bud appearance might be attributed to the suppression of apical dominance and increased vegetative growth in the form of branches by the growth retardants. Delay of flowering is often observed following the application of growth retardants, especially at very higher concentrations (Khan *et al.*, 2003). Similar results were recorded by (Malik *et al.*, 2017) in dahlia.

Among the treatments, the maximum significant no. of flowers per plant (7.32) was recorded in treatment T12MH @ 1200ppm, followed by T9 MH @ 300ppm (6.88), and the minimum no. of flowers per plant (4.58) was observed in treatment T0Control. The probable reason for an increased ~~in number of flowers per plant in the best treatment is due to production of more number of~~ number of flowers per plant in the best treatment is the production of more branches under the influence of growth retardants. (Pal *et al.*, 2019) and (Masood *et al.*, 2019) in dahlia.

Among the treatments, the maximum significant days to complete opening of flower (12.67) was recorded in control, followed by T10 MH @ 600ppm (12.54), and minimum days to complete opening of flower (9.33) was observed in treatment T12 MH @ 1200ppm. The probable reason for the decrease in flowering duration might be ~~attributed to the~~ prolonged vegetative phase resulting in delayed flower bud appearance. (Arshid, A.L. 2009) also

reported a reduction in flowering duration in chrysanthemum following treatment with chemical retardants.

Among the treatments, the maximum significant flower diameter (15.89 cm) was recorded in T12 MH @ 1200ppm, followed by T5 ~~alar-Alar~~ @ 300ppm (15.22 cm), and minimum flower diameter (12.18 cm) was observed in control. The probable reason for the increase in flower diameter. The increase in flower size is due to MH and might be due to the availability of more carbohydrates during the development of buds. Similar results were obtained by (Abbas *et al.*, 2007) in Rosa damascene.

Among the treatments, the maximum significant peduncle length (20.45 cm) was recorded in control, followed by T10 MH @ 600ppm (20.00 cm), and minimum peduncle length (13.67 cm) was observed in control. The probable reason for the decrease in peduncle length may be due to the inhibition of cell elongation by the growth retardants due to their inhibitory effect on gibberellin-gibberellin biosynthesis. Similar results were obtained by (Malik *et al.*, 2017) in dahlia.

### C. Yield parameters:

Among the treatments, the maximum significant no. of flower yield per plant (6.16) was recorded in T12 MH @ 1200ppm, followed by T8 ~~alar-Alar~~ @ 1200ppm (5.78), and minimum no. of flower yield per plant (3.78) was observed in control. The probable reason for the increase in no. of flower yield per plant may be due to the increase-rise in the number of branches. Similar results were obtained by (Ghadage *et al.*, 2010) in gaillardia.

Among the treatments, the maximum significant no. of flower yield per hectare (184800) was recorded in T12 MH @ 1200ppm, followed by T8 ~~alar-Alar~~ @ 1200ppm (173400), and the minimum no. of flower yield per hectare (113400) was observed in control. The probable reason for the increase in no. of flower yield per plant may be due to the increase-rise in the number of branches. Similar results were obtained by (Kumar *et al.*, 2014) in marigold and (Kuldeep *et al.*, 2018) in dahlia.

### CONCLUSION

~~From the present investigation it is concluded that the plant growth regulator treatments rendered significant effect on almost all the growth, flowering and yield characters as well as~~ The present investigation concluded that the plant growth regulator treatments significantly affected almost all the growth, flowering, yield characteristics, and quality of dahlia. Treatment T12, i.e., application of maleic hydrazide @ 1200 ppm, was found superior in terms of number of leaves (138.00), the highest number of branches (8.22), stem diameter

(2.05cm), flower diameter (15.89cm), number of flower per plant (7.32), no. of flower yield/plant (6.16), no. of flower yield/hectare (184800), highest gross return (369600), net profit/ha (267772) and ~~cost-cost~~-benefit ratio (2.62) was obtained under the use of MH @ 1200 ppm(T12).

**Table 1: Effect of plant growth ~~retardants-retardants~~ on vegetative parameters of**

**Dahlia (*Dahliavariabilis*L.) cv. Edinburgh**

Treatments	Plantheight	No.of leaves	No.of branches	Branch length	Stem diameter
Control	104.45	108.44	5.78	63.00	1.69
Ethephon @300ppm	104.22	116.22	7.33	69.44	1.83
Ethephon@600ppm	102.11	105.55	6.67	78.89	1.67
Ethephon@900ppm	93.67	118.89	7.00	68.78	1.79
Ethephon@1200ppm	92.56	120.56	7.22	69.67	1.78
Alar @300ppm	103.89	117.00	7.00	78.11	1.73
Alar @600ppm	99.45	120.44	6.89	73.56	1.70
Alar @900ppm	95.22	124.33	7.11	73.22	1.70
Alar @1200ppm	91.44	125.78	6.00	64.67	1.90
MH @300ppm	95.56	120.00	7.11	71.89	1.67
MH @600ppm	93.44	125.22	7.11	76.67	1.72
MH @900ppm	90.67	132.56	7.33	76.00	2.02
MH @1200ppm	90.11	138.00	8.22	69.45	2.05
F- Test	S	S	S	S	S

S.Ed	4.46	7.76	0.34	4.07	0.10
CD@ 5%	9.2	16.01	0.71	8.4	0.21

**Table 2: Effect of plant growth ~~retardants~~ ~~retardants~~ on floral parameters of Dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.) cv. Edinburgh**

Treatments	Days taken for first flower bud initiation	Complete opening of flower (days)	No. of flowers per plant	Flower diameter (cm)	Peduncle length (cm)
Control	67.87	12.67	4.58	12.18	20.45
Ethephon @ 300ppm	68.83	10.67	5.87	13.42	19.34
Ethephon @ 600ppm	68.33	12.33	6.72	13.79	13.67
Ethephon @ 900ppm	70.53	11.33	6.61	12.42	18.83
Ethephon @ 1200ppm	77.30	10.36	6.87	14.22	17.78
Alar @ 300ppm	68.31	10.33	5.91	15.22	16.22
Alar @ 600ppm	68.17	9.00	6.76	13.42	16.55
Alar @ 900ppm	71.26	8.67	6.51	13.78	17.00
Alar @ 1200ppm	69.99	12.47	6.82	14.78	19.56
MH @ 300ppm	71.94	9.00	6.88	13.50	17.50
MH @ 600ppm	72.15	12.54	6.71	12.22	20.00
MH @ 900ppm	70.90	12.00	6.33	13.79	19.00

MH @1200ppm	79.36	9.33	7.32	15.89	18.56
F- Test	S	S	S	S	S
S.Ed	2.17	0.69	0.28	0.9	1.03
CD@ 5%	4.44	1.41	0.58	1.86	2.13

Table 3: Effect of plant growth ~~retardants~~retardants on yield parameters and economics of Dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.)

Treatments	YIELDPARAMETERS		ECONOMICS		
	No. of FlowersperPlant	No. Flowersper hectare	Gross Return per hectare	Net Return per hectare	<del>Cost-Cost-</del> benefitratio
Control	3.78	113400	226800	129584	1.33
Ethephon @300ppm	4.56	136800	273600	176051	1.80
Ethephon@600ppm	5.56	166800	333600	235718	2.40
Ethephon@900ppm	5.44	163200	326400	228185	2.32
Ethephon@1200ppm	5.00	150000	300000	201452	2.04
Alar @300ppm	4.89	146700	293400	191684	1.88
Alar @600ppm	5.11	153300	306600	200384	1.88
Alar @900ppm	5.00	150000	300000	189284	1.70
Alar @1200ppm	5.78	173400	346800	231584	2.00
MH @300ppm	5.44	163200	326400	228031	2.31
MH @600ppm	5.33	159900	319800	220278	2.21
MH @900ppm	5.67	170100	340200	239525	2.37
MH @1200ppm	6.16	184800	369600	267772	2.62
F- Test	S	S			
S.Ed	15.58	6296.11			
CD@5%	31.84	12864.8			

#### REFERENCES

**Akbar, P. I., Mohan, B. and Kumar, V. (2011).** Effect of chlormequat spraying on vegetative growth and flowering of dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.), *Asian J. Hort.*,6 (1) : 247-248.

**Abbas, M.M., Ahmad, S. and Anwar, R.(2017).** Effect of growth retardants to break apical dominance in *Rosa damascena*. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 44: 524-528.

- Bharti, S., Verma, L.S. and Deepti, S. (2021).** Flowering response of mutants of dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.) cultivars to different concentration of IBA and NAA. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. **10**(9): 1992-1996.
- Bhattacharjee, S.K. (1984).** Effect of growth regulating chemicals on growth and tuberous root formation of *Dahlia variabilis*. *Punjab Horticultural Journal*. 24:138- 144.
- Cathay H.M. and Stuart, N.W. (1961).** Comparative plant growth retarding activity of AMO-1618, phosphon and CCC. *Botanical Gazette*. 123:51-57.
- Gowda, N.J.V. and Jayanthi, R. (1991).** Effect of cycocel and maleic hydrazide on growth and flowering of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *Progressive Horticulture*. **23**(1-4):114-118.
- Grzesik, M. and Rudnicki, R.M. (1985).** The use of growth regulators in nursery production of woody ornamental plants. *Acta Horticulturae*.166:401-414.
- Haqu, S., Farooqi, A.H.A., Gupta, M.M. and Khan, A.H. (2007).** Effect of ethrel, chloromequat chloride and paclobutrazol on growth and pyrethrin accumulation in (*Chrysanthemum cinerariaefolium*). *Plant Growth Regulation*. 51:263-269.
- Jitendra, K. S., Samir, K. T., Rajendra, L. and Tirkey, T. (2021).** Effect of Planting Geometry and Plant Growth Regulators on Growth and Flowering of *Chrysanthemum Agriways*9(1) : 17-20.
- Kumar, R., Ahmed, N., Singh, D.B., Sharma, O.C., Shiv, Lal, Salmani, M.M. (2013).** Enhancing blooming period and propagation coefficient of tulip (*Tulipa gesneriana*L.) using growth regulators. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. **2**(2):168-174.
- Kuldeep, S.K., Madhur, K., Satish K.P., Saurabh, K. and Vivek K.S. (2018).** Effect of plant growth regulators on plant growth, flower yield and quality of dahlia. *Journal of pharmacognosy and phytochemistry*. SP1 : 603-604.
- Karaz, S. and Karaguzel, O. (2010).** Influence of growth regulators on the growth and flowering. *European Journal of Scientific Research*. **45**(3):498-507.

- Khan, F.U. and Tewari, G.N. (2003).** Effect of growth regulators on growth and flowering of dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.). *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. **60**(2):192-194.
- Kher, M.A. (1973).** Effect of B-nine and ethrel on the growth and flowering in chrysanthemums. *Progressive Horticulture*. **5**(2):77-84.
- Kazemi, S.S., Hashemabadi, D., Torkashvand, A. M. and Kaviani, B. (2014).** Effect of Cycocel and Daminozide on Vegetative Growth, Flowering and the Content of Essence of Pot Marigold (*Calendula officinalis*). *Journal of Ornamental Plants*, Vol **4** (2): 107-114.
- Leopold, A.C. and Klein, W.H. (1952).** Maleic hydrazide as an anti auxin. *Physiologia Plantarum*. **5**:91-99.
- Malik, R. (1968).** Export possibilities of roses. *Indian Hort.* **12**:65-67.
- Marcinek, B., Parzymies, M., Poniewozik, M., Kozak, D. and Durlak, W. (2019).** The influence of growth regulators on dahlia propagation in tissue culture and acclimatization of plants in ex vitro conditions. *Acta Sci. Pol. Hortorum Cultus*. **18**(5), 49–61.
- Masood, A., Ayaz, S., Jadoon, S.A., Alam, M., Abdur, R., Khalil, I.H., Ayub, G. and Hassan, A. (2019).** Application of maleic hydrazide controlled plant height and ameliorate flower production in local dahlia. *Bioscience Research*, volume **16**(2):1882-1890.
- Marcinek, B., Parzymies, M., Poniewozik, M., Kozak, D., Durlak, W. (2019).** The influence of growth regulators on dahlia propagation in tissue culture and acclimatization of plants in ex vitro conditions. *Acta Sci. Pol. Hortorum Cultus*, **18**(5), 49–61.
- Mona, H. M., Naheb, G., Aziz, A. E. and Azza, M. A. (2011).** Response of *dahlia pinnata* L. plant to foliar spray with putrescine and thiamine on growth, flowering and photosynthetic pigments. *American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci.*, **10**(5): 769-775, 2011.

- Pushkar, N.C. and Singh, A.K. (2012).** Effect of pinching and growth retardants on flowering and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta*L.) var. PusaNarangiGainda. *International Journal of Horticulture*. **2**(1):1-4.
- Pobudkiewicz, A. (2008).** The influence of growth retardants and cytokinins on flowering of ornamental plants. *Acta Agrobotanica*. **61**(1):137–141.
- Pobudkiewicz, A. and Treder, J. (2006).** Effect of flurprimidol and daminozide on growth and flowering of oriental lily ‘Mona Lisa’. *Scientia Horticulturae*. **110**(4):328- 333.
- Pinto, A.C.R. (2005).** Growth retardants on development and ornamental quality of potted ‘Lilliput’ Zinnia elegans. *Scientia Agricola*. **62**(4).
- Phetpradap, S., Hampton, J. G. and Hill, M. J. (2010).** Effect of hand pinching and plant growth regulators on seed production of field grown hybrid dahlia, *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science*, **22**:3, 313-320,
- Pal, S.L. (2019).** Role of plant growth regulators in floriculture: an overview. *Journal of pharmacognosy and phytochemistry*.**8**(3): 789-796.
- Ragini, M. and Singh, S.P. (2018).** Responses of plant growth regulators on China aster (*Callistephus chinensis*). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. **7** (2): 19-21.
- Sathappan, C.T. (2018).** Effect of plant growth regulators and pinching on growth and flower yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *J. Hortl. Sci.* Vol. **13**(1) : 42-47.
- Sajid, A.M.,Rather, Z.A., Muneeb A.W., Ambreena, D. and Nazk, I.T. (2017).** Effect of Growth Regulators on Plant Growth and Flowering in Dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis*) cv. Charmit. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International*. **15**(3):1-7.
- Sharifuzzaman, S.M., Ara, K.A., Rahman, M.H., Kabir, K. and Talukdar, M.B. (2011).** Effect of GA3, CCC and MH on vegetative growth, flower yield and quality of chrysanthemum. *International Journal of Experimental Agriculture*. **2**(1):17-20.