

Original Research Article

Monitoring of soil properties and crop performance (Rice) under different Rice-based cropping systems

Comment [DDK1]: Title needs location specific.

ABSTRACT

An experiment on rice-based cropping systems to monitor the soil properties and crop performance (Rice) was conducted at Agronomy Research Farm in Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj (Ayodhya, U.P.) during 2020-21 in Randomized Complete Block Design with seven treatments and three replications. Seven cropping patterns viz; rice-wheat-fallow, rice-wheat-green gram, rice-frenchbean-green gram, rice-berseem-sudanchari, rice-cauliflower-okra, rice-potato-cowpea, rice-mustard-green gram were compared with rice-rice cropping pattern. The cropping systems were evaluated for their productivity & to assess their effect on the soil properties like soil pH, EC, organic carbon contents, soil organic matter, and available soil NPK. The results revealed that the green manuring and leguminous cropping patterns gave higher paddy yield as compared to the commonly practiced rice-rice cropping pattern. The maximum yield of rice (43.15q/ha) was obtained from a rice-mustard-green gram cropping pattern which was comparatively higher than those of a rice-rice cropping pattern. As regards the cost-benefit ratio, the highest ratio was received in the case of the rice-mustard-green gram (1:1.77) cropping system followed by the rice-frenchbean-green gram (1:1.65) and rice-wheat-green gram (1:1.52) as against the existing cropping system rice-rice. The results further indicated that the introduction of green manuring or leguminous crops in the existing rice-wheat system increased grain yields and improved the physio-chemical properties, organic matter contents, and nutrient availability in the soil. Soil pH lowered from 8.3 to 7.97 and organic carbon increased from 0.38 % to 0.39 %.

Keywords: Rice, Cropping pattern, Green manuring, Leguminous, Productivity, Yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), cultivation started nearly 11500 years ago (Gananamanickam, 2009) and more than 60 % of the total world's population consumed rice as like staple food (Ma *et al.*, 2007). If we want to ensure food security for the expanding population, rice production should be increased by 50 % in rice-consuming countries (Jinni and Joseph, 2017). In Uttar Pradesh, rice production is 15.66 million Tonnes and shares 12.81 % of production in India. The cumulative percent share of production is 26.43 (Economic Survey, 2020-21). India ranks first in the area and second in production after China. West Bengal is the top producing state and other dominant states in production are Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, and Chhattisgarh. In India, it is grown over an area of 43.78 million ha. having production of 118.43 million tonnes and average productivity of 2705 kg/ha (Annual Report, 2020-21). The continuous growing of rice has led to a deterioration in soil quality, resulting in some serious threats to agricultural sustainability in the high rainfall zones in India. Therefore, crop diversification with a wider choice in the production of crop varieties is being promoted to restore of quality of the soil. (Jat *et al.*, 2010). Rice-based cropping sequence can be described as a mix of farming

Comment [DDK2]: 1) Problem identified is not clear in introduction
2) Objectives of study are not mentioned.

Comment [DDK3]: This should be Anonymous, 2021

practices that comprises rice as the major crop followed by subsequent cultivation of other crops. Various rice-based cropping sequences have been reported from different parts of India ranging from rice-rice-rice to rice followed by different cereals, pulses, oilseeds, vegetables, and fibre crops. The prominent rice-based cropping sequences in India are rice-rice, rice-wheat, rice-pulse, and rice-potato. The predominance of the rice-wheat system in the whole Indo-Gangetic plains zone is particularly due to the compatibility of the two crops mainly during sowing times (Singh *et al.*, 2002).

In rice-pulse based cropping sequence legume crops in the rotation with cereals not only fix atmospheric Nitrogen through biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) but also enrich soil fertility, nutrient recycling from deeper soil layers, minimize the soil compaction, increase the organic matter, reduces pest and disease incidence, promote mycorrhizal colonization and sustain the productivity of cereal-based cropping patterns (Jensen *et al.*, 2010). The use of legume crops has enhanced their physical and chemical properties. The legume residues contain about 20–80 kg N ha⁻¹ (about 70% of it is derived from BNF) depending upon the type and maturity of the crop and the full Nitrogen benefits will be realized if all of the residues of the crop are incorporated after harvesting the seed yield (Wani *et al.*, 1995). In the cropping sequences including pulses, the preceding pulse may add about 20-70 kg N ha⁻¹ to the soil, and thereby a considerable quantity of N supply to the succeeding crop could be reduced (Ali and Mishra, 2000). The rice-rice system is followed in irrigated cropping while the rice-pulse system is adopted in rainfed lowlands leaving land fallow during the pre-Kharif/summer season. Cropping sequences, including crop diversification, crop rotation and intercropping, and related agronomic practices used in agriculture impact soil health and quality from various spatial and temporal aspects (Vukicevich *et al.*, 2016). Cropping sequences were initially designed to maximize the yield by the agro-systems, but modern agriculture has become increasingly concerned about the environmental sustainability of cropping sequences (Fargione *et al.*, 2018). The present study was designed for monitoring Soil properties and crop performance (Rice) under different Rice-based cropping sequences.

Comment [DDK4]: Reference missing

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was laid out during 2020-21 at the agronomy research farm of the Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, (UP). The experimental site is situated on the main campus of the university, about 42 km away from Ayodhya district headquarters on Ayodhya-Raebareilly Road at 26°04' N latitude and 82°12' E longitudes and an altitude of 113 meters above mean sea level. The soil of the experimental field was "Silty Loam" in textural, low in organic carbon and available nitrogen while the medium in phosphorus and rich in potassium. Seven cropping systems viz; rice-wheat-fallow, rice-wheat-green gram, rice-frenchbean-green gram, rice-berseem-sudanchari, rice-cauliflower-okra, rice-potato-cowpea, rice-mustard-green gram were studied in the experiment. Rice variety NDR-2065 was transplanted using a randomized block design with seven treatments and three replications with a net plot size of 5.5 m x 4.5m =

Comment [DDK5]: and

Comment [DDK6]: texture

Comment [DDK7]: proper rating category require

24.75m² and a gross plot size of 6m x 5m = 30m². Recommended fertilizer to the crop was applied at the appropriate time. The data recorded during the investigation were subjected to statistical analysis using the analysis of variance technique (ANOVA) for LSD as prescribed by Cochran and Cox (1963).

Each crop's production costs were computed, and crop yields were estimated based on current market values. The cost-benefit ratio of each cropping pattern was also calculated. Before and after crop harvest, the soil was analyzed to establish its nutrient status.

Comment [DDK8]:

Comment [DDK9]: Reference missing

Table 1: Initial Physico-Chemical Proportion of the soil of investigational field

S.NO	Soil properties	Value obtained	Methods employed
A	Physical properties		
I	Sand (%)	27.11	Hydrometer method (Bouyoucos, 1936)
II	Silt (%)	55.78	
III	Clay (%)	17.62	
IV	Textural classes	Silty loam	Triangular method (Lyon <i>et al.</i> , 1952)
B	Chemical properties		
I	Soil pH & Soil water ratio (1:2.5)	8.3	Glass electrode pH meter (Jackson, 1973)
II	EC (dS m ⁻¹) & soil water ratio (1:2.5)	0.25	Electrical conductivity bridge method (Jackson, 1973)
III	Organic carbon (%)	0.38	Walkley and Black's rapid titration method (Walkley and Black, 1934)
IV	Available N (kg ha ⁻¹)	166.00	Alkaline permanganate method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956)
V	Available P (kg ha ⁻¹)	17.05	Olsen's method (Olsen <i>et al.</i> 1954)
VI	Available K (kg ha ⁻¹)	279.80	Flame photometer method (Jackson, 1973)

Comment [DDK10]: Reference missing

Comment [DDK11]:

Comment [DDK12]: by Hydrometer method total of sand, silt and clay must be 100. Here it is 100.51. Needs to revise.

Comment [DDK14]: Reference missing

Comment [DDK15]:

Comment [DDK13]: It is 'Silt loam'; not 'silty loam'

Comment [DDK16]: of

Comment [DDK17]: of

Comment [DDK18]: No reference for term 'rapid titration'; instead it is 'Wet Oxidation Method'.

Comment [DDK19]: Reference missing

Comment [DDK20]:

Comment [DDK21]: Reference missing

Comment [DDK22]: Confirm, whether it is P or P₂O₅ as the value seems to be of P₂O₅

Comment [DDK23]: Confirm, whether it is K or K₂O as the value seems to be of K₂O

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect on Plant Height (cm) and Dry matter accumulation at different growth stages based upon soil properties and crop performance under different Rice-based cropping systems:

During the experiment 2020-21, the growth attributes of rice (viz; dry matter accumulation, and crop growth rate) at different growth stages were influenced significantly by crop diversification in various rice-based cropping systems (Table 2). The data presented in (Table 2) revealed that the maximum plant height at 30DAS (11.66cm), 60 DAS(59.44cm), 90 DAS(93.06cm), and at the harvest stage(91.27cm) under treatment T7. The plant height recorded under treatments T1 and T2 were found statistically at par with T7 at 30, 60, 90 DAS and harvest stage. The lower value of plant height was recorded under treatment T4. In this experiment, data shows the height of the plant increases after 60 DAS. This might be attributed to the fact that the higher dose of the nutrients resulted in increasing the plant activities and reactions which increased the plant height. Similar observations have also been reported by Kumar *et al.* (2000). Dry matter accumulation found a maximum of under 30 DAS (251.03) in T6, 60 DAS (273.80), 90 DAS (344.99), and at harvest stage (476.08) under treatment T7 and statistically at par with T₂(456.78) and T₁ (443.92). The lowest dry matter was recorded at 30 DAS(231.44), 60 DAS(244.20), 90 DAS(278.69), and at the harvest stage (384.60) under the treatments T1, T5, T4, and T4 respectively. This shows that the dry matter of the crop is affected by the nutrient doses applied during the experiment because it enhanced elongation, chlorophyll, as well as various metabolic activities which increases the dry matter of the crop. The results are in agreement with those of Kumar *et al.* (2000).

Comment [DDK24]: Too long heading. Give para to main heading.

Comment [DDK25]: T1 is not at par, T2 also is not at par in all cases.

Comment [DDK26]: Must revise at par values.

Table 2: Effect on Plant Height (cm) and Dry matter accumulation at different growth stages

Treatment	Plant height (cm)				Dry matter accumulation (g/plant)			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	At harvest
T1: Rice-Wheat-Fallow	10.85	55.43	86.77	85.61	231.44	255.30	321.68	443.92
T2: Rice-Wheat-Green Gram	11.16	57.03	89.29	87.80	237.68	262.70	331.00	456.78
T3: Rice-Frenchbean- Green Gram	11.40	58.24	91.18	89.92	250.43	268.25	338.00	466.43
T4: Rice-Berseem-Sudan Chari	9.40	48.02	75.02	73.64	249.69	261.19	278.69	384.60
T5: Rice-Cauliflower- Okra	10.38	53.02	83.00	81.63	231.50	244.20	307.69	424.61
T6: Rice-Potato-Cowpea	10.61	54.22	84.89	83.15	251.03	269.12	314.69	434.27
T7: Rice-Mustard – Green Gram	11.66	59.44	93.06	91.27	242.64	273.80	344.99	476.08
SEM ±	0.18	0.73	1.32	1.37	3.48	4.70	3.51	5.02
CD(P=0.05)	0.58	2.23	4.13	4.27	10.89	14.65	10.95	15.66

3.2 Effect on pH, EC(dsm⁻¹), CEC(meq/100gm), organic carbon, soil organic matter, Available Nitrogen (kg/ha), Available Phosphorus (kg/ha), and Available Potash (kg/ha) based upon soil properties and crop performance under different Rice-based cropping systems:

In this experiment, we observed the data about pH presented in (Table-3) revealed that the maximum pH in rice was observed in T₂ (8.12) (Rice-Wheat-Green Gram) which was

Comment [DDK27]: Too long title. Add para after main title.

significantly superior over T₆ (8.05) and statistically at par with T₅ (8.03) and T₁ (8.01). The least pH was observed under T₃ (7.97) during the investigation. The declines in the pH might be the production of acids due to the decomposition of crop residues. Similar findings have also been observed by Jackson *et al.* (1973). EC recorded (Table 3) a maximum at T₂ (0.39) (Rice-Wheat-Green Gram) which was significantly superior over T₆ (0.38) and statistically at par with T₃ (0.37) and T₅ (0.36). The least EC was observed under T₄ (0.34) during the investigation. Similar findings were reported by Jackson *et al.* (1973) and Kumar *et al.* (2008). CEC presented in (Table-3) revealed that the maximum CEC in rice was observed in T₇ (13.69) (Rice-Mustard-Green Gram) which was significantly superior over T₃ (13.01) and statistically at par with T₂ (12.47) and T₁ (11.97). Least CEC was observed under T₄ (9.83) during the investigation. Data shows that the cation exchange capacity might be influenced by the crop residues such as legume crop residues which helps the soil in increasing the cation exchange capacity. Similar observations have also been reported by Skidmore *et al.* (1986) and Bhagat *et al.* (1991). The analyzed data are shown in Table 3 for soil organic matter found to be highest at T₇ (0.67) (Rice-Mustard-Green Gram) which was significantly superior over T₃ (0.66) and statistically at par with T₂ (0.64) and T₁ (0.63). The least Soil Organic Matter was observed under T₄ (0.54) during the investigation. Data shows that the soil organic matter increases with an increase in organic carbon content in the soil. Similar findings were observed by Walkley *et al.* (1934). The soil's initial availability of nitrogen was 166 kg ha⁻¹ (Table-1). With the addition of leguminous crops to the rotation, the status of available N gradually increased. Among the cropping systems tested T₃ (183.30) rice-frenchbean-green gram cropping system significantly improved the soil's available nitrogen status and the maximum status of nitrogen was recorded under this cropping system which was significantly superior over T₂ (175.03) and statistically at par with T₄ (173.07) and T₆ (171.04). Lowest Available N was observed under T₁ (160.02) during the investigation. This is due to the availability of the nutrients based on the cropping systems, if in the cropping system in which treatment with legumes increases the content of nitrogen in the soil. Similar findings have also been observed by Subbiah *et al.* (1956). The maximum Phosphorus and Potassium status were recorded under T₃ (16.17) (Rice-Frenchbean-Green Gram) and T₆ (256.70) (Rice-Potato-Cowpea) respectively.

Comment [DDK28]: Reference missing

Comment [DDK29]: Reference missing

Comment [DDK30]: Reference missing

Comment [DDK31]: Reference missing

Table 3: Effect on pH, EC (dsm-1), CEC (meq/100gm), organic carbon, soil organic matter, Available Nitrogen (kg/ha), Available Phosphorus (kg/ha), and Available Potash (kg/ha)

Comment [DDK32]: ??,

Comment [DDK33]: Uniformity in unit style lacking

Comment [DDK35]: P or P₂O₅; K or K₂O

Comment [DDK34]: These are alternative terms. SOM is derived term. Ultimate aim of interpretation of both is same, so why these two terms?

Treatment	pH	EC (dsm ⁻¹)	CEC	Organic carbon (%)	Soil organic matter (%)	Available N (kg/ha)	Available P (kg/ha)	Available K (kg/ha)
T1: Rice-Wheat-Fallow	8.01	0.35	11.97	0.36	0.63	160.02	13.43	237.10
T2: Rice-Wheat-Green Gram	8.12	0.39	12.47	0.37	0.64	175.03	15.43	247.80
T3: Rice-Frenchbean- Green Gram	7.97	0.37	13.01	0.38	0.66	183.3	16.17	243.70
T4: Rice-Berseem-Sudan Chari	7.98	0.34	09.83	0.31	0.54	173.07	13.73	237.10
T5: Rice-Cauliflower- Okra	8.03	0.36	10.39	0.35	0.60	168.72	14.69	245.10
T6: Rice-Potato-Cowpea	8.05	0.38	11.14	0.35	0.61	171.04	13.65	256.70
T7: Rice-Mustard – Green Gram	7.98	0.35	13.69	0.39	0.67	164.02	14.19	251.08
SEM ±	0.29	0.005	0.17	0.006	0.01	1.93	0.19	2.98
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	0.53	0.02	0.03	6.02	0.61	9.30

3.3 Effect on yield attributes and yield based upon soil properties and crop performance under different rice-based cropping systems.

A significant response was found from crop diversification in the rice-based cropping system on yield components of rice. Among different rice-based cropping systems the highest number of tillers and filled grain panicles was found under T7 (23.12 and 89.27 respectively) (Rice-Mustard – Green Gram) and statistically at par with T₂ (86.23) and T₁ (85.14). The highest grain yield and straw yield were recorded under treatment T7 (Rice-Mustard – Green Gram) at 43.15q/ha and 53.80q/ha respectively followed by T1 and T6 on pooled data basis (Table 4). These treatments were at par with each other. When combined with non-legume crops in rice-based cropping systems, the inclusion of legume crops improved rice yield components, resulting in higher yield. The harvest index of rice was influenced significantly due to crop diversification in different rice-based cropping systems where rice in rice-frenchbean-green gram showed the highest harvest index (43.98%) followed by rice-wheat-

green gram(42.65%) and rice-wheat-fallow (42.64%).The inclusion of green gram in the cropping system might have increased the productivity and profitability of rice in the rice–potato–green gram system which conformed with the findings of Gangwar and Ram (2005) and Singh et al. (2007).

Comment [DDK36]: Reference missing

Comment [DDK37]: Reference missing

Table 4:Effect on yield attributes and yield based upon soil properties and crop performance under different rice-based cropping systems

Sr.No	Treatments	Tillers	Grain per panicles	Grain Yield	Straw Yield	Harvest Index(%)
T1	Rice-Wheat-Fallow	20.73	85.14	41.45	55.75	42.64
T2	Rice-Wheat-Green Gram	21.36	86.23	42.20	56.73	42.65
T3	Rice-Frenchbean- Green Gram	22.07	87.65	42.40	54.00	43.98
T4	Rice-Berseem-Sudan Chari	18.83	79.10	39.20	52.90	42.56
T5	Rice-Cauliflower- Okra	19.24	81.98	40.50	54.80	42.49
T6	Rice-Potato-Cowpea (Veg.)	20.01	83.03	40.80	55.65	42.30
T7	Rice-Mustard – Green Gram	23.12	89.27	43.15	58.80	42.32
	SEM ±	0.29	1.38	0.81	0.54	0.73
	CD(P=0.05)	0.92	4.32	2.52	1.68	NS

3.4Effect on Economics based upon soil properties and crop performance under different Rice-based cropping systems:

Data about Cost of Cultivation during experimentation and Gross Return, Net Return and Benefit Cost Ratio presented in (Table-5) revealed that the maximum cost of cultivation of rice (Rs. 37564) was incurred in the treatment T₄ (rice-berseem-sudanchari), while the least cost of cultivation of rice (Rs. 32738) was associated with T₃ (rice-frenchbean-green gram). The maximum gross return was noted as (Rs.93650) under treatment T₇and the lowest (Rs. 79437) in T₄. The maximum net return was observed with (Rs. 59912) T₇ while the minimum (Rs. 41873) was found in T₄. The maximum benefit-cost ratio (1.77) was observed with T₇during the investigation and the minimum (1.11) was found in T₄. Chaudhary *et al.* (2007) reported that the intensification of the rice-wheat cropping system by rice proved to be highly remunerative and sustainable by generating income, employment, and protecting the environment in Bihar. Similar findings have also been observed by Mishra *et al.* (2007).

Comment [DDK38]: Reference missing, et al?

Table 5:Effect on Economics based upon soil properties and crop performance under

Sr.No.	Treatments	Cost of Cultivation	Gross Return	Net Return	B:C
T1	Rice-Wheat-Fallow	35849	86314	50465	1.40
T2	Rice-Wheat-Green Gram	35134	88732	53598	1.52
T3	Rice-Frenchbean-GreenGram	32738	86967	54229	1.65
T4	Rice-Berseem-Sudan Chari	37564	79437	41873	1.11
T5	Rice-Cauliflower- Okra	36848	82434	45586	1.23
T6	Rice-Potato-Cowpea	36207	83036	46829	1.29
T7	Rice-Mustard – Green Gram	33738	93650	59912	1.77

different Rice-based cropping systems

4: Conclusion:Based on results presented and discussed in the preceding chapters concluded that maximum yield and productivity and build-up in fertility might be achieved with the application of recommended doses of fertilizer and the performance of the crop enhanced by the use of an integrated form of the organic and inorganic fertilizers along with different rice-based cropping systems. Hence it may be recommended for enhancing the productivity and sustainability of Rice and other related crops under the Rice-based cropping system. The effect of green manure is shown in the availability of N and P hence it is proven by the experiment on rice-based cropping systems that the use of green manure crops under rice-based cropping increases the availability of the nutrients in the soil which play a vital role in increasing the productivity of the soil and the crop production.

References:Ali, M. and Mishra, J.P. 2000. Nutrient management in pulses and pulse-based cropping systems. *Fertilizer News* 45(4): 57-69.

Gananamanickam, S.S. 2009. Rice and its importance in human life. *Progress in Biological Control*, 8, 1-11.

Jinni,D., Joseph, B. 2017. Physiological mechanism of salicylic acid for alleviation of salt stress in rice. *Rice Science* 24 (2), 97-108.

Economic Survey, 2021-22. Statistical Appendix, Production of Important Crops in Three Largest Producing States in 2020-21.

Comment [DDK39]: Why chapters?

Comment [DDK40]: It is mentioned nowhere, what is rdf and what INM package was applied in different treatments?

Comment [DDK41]: Add para, check font. Add required references and omit irrelevant.

Comment [DDK42]: Anonymous, not found in text

Annual Report 2020-21. Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers' Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi.

Comment [DDK43]: anonymous,

Jat, R.A., Dungrani, R.A., Arvadia, M.K., and Sahrawat, K.L. 2010. Diversification of rice (*Oryzasativa* L.) based cropping systems for higher productivity, resource-use efficiency, and economic returns in South Gujarat of India. [Online]. Available: <http://oar.icrisat.Org/143/2/DiversificationOfRice.pdf>. [05 Nov. 2012].

Singh, V.K., Sharma, B.B. and Dwivedi, B. 2002. The impact of diversification of a rice-wheat cropping system on productivity and soil fertility. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* **139**:405-412.

Jensen, E.S., Peoples, M.B. and Nielsen, H.H. 2010. Fababean in cropping systems, Chapter-7. *Field Crops Research* **115**:203-216.

Wani, S.P., Rego, T.J., Rajeswari, S. and Lee, K.K. 1995. Effect of legume-based cropping system on mineralization potential of a vertisol.

Vukicevich *et al.*, Yadav, S.K., Yogeshwar, S., Yadav, M.K., Subhash, B., and Kalyan, S. 2013. Effect of organic nitrogen sources on yield, nutrient uptake, and soil health under rice (*Oryza sativa*) based cropping sequence. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* **83**(2): 170-175.

Comment [DDK44]: Why?

Kumar, R.; Singh, G. and Wallia, S.S. 2000. Long term effect of manures and fertilizers on rice yield and soil fertility status in (*Oryzasativa* L.)-wheat (*Triticumaestivum* L.) system. *Environmental and Eco.* **18** (3):546-549.

Jackson, M.L. (1973). *Soil chemical analysis* Prentice Hall of India, Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi.

Skidmore, E.L., Layton, J.B., Armbrust, D.V., and Hooker, M.L. 1986. Soil's physical properties as affected by cropping and residue management. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **50**:415-419.

Chaudhary, N.K. and Singh, Yogeshwar. 2007. Effect of diversification and intensification of rice-wheat cropping system by pulses and vegetables on sustainability. *Sustainable Agriculture Production (In:) Extended Summaries 3rd National Symposium on Integrated Farming System, 26-28 October.*

Mishra, M.M., Nanda, S.S., Mohanty, M., Pradhan, K.C., Mishra, S.S. 2007. Crop diversification under rice-

Comment [DDK45]: Last name not added with 'and'

basedcroppingsysteminwesternOrissa.In:ExtendedSummariesofthe3rdNationalSymposiumonIntegratedFarmingSystems;2007Oct26–28;Durgapura, India.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

