

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90857
Title of the Manuscript:	Monitoring of soil properties and crop performance (Rice) under different Rice-based cropping systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Introduction:</b> It is lacking with problem identified and objectives. <b>MATERIALS AND METHODS:</b> Incomplete, nothing is mentioned about fertilizer applied, INM package. But it is mentioned in conclusions. It is controversial. Textural class should be corrected. Sand, silt and clay per cent must revise. Name of method of analysis require to revise. Most of references are missing. <b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:</b> Titles are too long. Units are not in uniform manner. Computation of at par treatment is found wrong at many places. Findings are not presented in proper language. As objectives are not mentioned properly, results may be vague. Organic carbon and Organic matter are same. Most of references are missing. <b>Conclusion:</b> Are not match with title and observations. Need to revise.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Overall paper is presented in poor language. Most of the references are missing. References are not in scientific way.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Complete revision needed. Follow the comments given in paper.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dnyaneshwar S Kankal
Department, University & Country	Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, India