

2 **Genetic-morphological characterization and diversity**
3 **analysis of Linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.)**
4 **germplasm**

5
6 **ABSTRACT**

7 **Aims:** To study morphological and genetic diversity among 100 Linseed (*linseed usitassimum* L.)
8 germplasm accessions.

9 **Study design:** Augmented RBD Design in five blocks with four checks.

10 **Place and duration of Study:** College of Agriculture, IGKV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh. During *rabi* 2020-2021
11 and *rabi* 2021-2022.

12 **Methodology:** A total of 100 linseed germplasm accessions and four standard checks were studied by
13 examining 10 quantitative traits which contribute to yield and its attributing traits. And observations
14 recorded.

15 **Results:** Outcome of the study revealed that analysis of variance for 10 quantitative characters showed
16 differences for various characters. Results from genetic variability analysis state that the highest
17 GCV/PCV was recorded for the traits 50% flowering, secondary branches plant⁻¹, no. of capsules plant⁻¹,
18 and seed yield plant⁻¹. Highest heritability was observed for all the traits under study. Highest heritability
19 coupled with the highest genetic advance as percent was reported for 50% flowering, followed by
20 secondary branches plant⁻¹, no. of capsules plant⁻¹, 1000 seed weight (g), and seed yield plant⁻¹. Seven
21 accessions such as LCK-9406, NL-126, Sumerpur Local, SJKO-8, L-103, EC-397752, R.S.-6 were
22 recorded as having the highest oil content (%) greater than >42%. The highest seed yield plant⁻¹ along
23 with the highest oil content(%) was recorded in four accessions such as Sumerpur Local, EC-397752,
24 LCK-9406, SJKO-8.

25 **Conclusion:** The linseed accessions used in the current study showed significant variability for most of
26 the characters. Observed accessions having the highest seed yield (g) and oil content (%) can be further
27 utilized in the breeding programs based on breeder requirement.

28 **Keywords:** Augmented RBD, Coefficient of variation, ANOVA, Tukey's method, linseed germplasm,
29 genetic diversity.

30 **INTRODUCTION**

31 Linseed is also called Flax which is grown for fiber purposes. Botanically linseed is called *Linum*
32 *usitatissimum* L. with the somatic chromosome complement, 2n=30, and belongs to the genus *Linum* in
33 the family Linaceae. Linseed is believed to be originated from the Middle East and subsequently
34 introduced to the other parts of the world. Linseed is a dual-purpose crop and today it is grown for oil
35 and Flax fiber extraction. Huge diversity of present flax was obtained by domestication by our
36 forefathers for centuries through disruptive selection which led present flax into oil seeded and fibrous
37 plants Falx types are tall in stature with less number of primary and secondary branches whereas

38 linseed types are cultivated for seed and oil purposes. Linseed contains the highest oil content among
39 the crop plants grown with 36-40% which is also the richest source of PUFA (Poly Unsaturated Fatty
40 Acids). Oil extracted is mainly used for industrial purposes for the manufacturing of paints, varnishes,
41 soaps etc., as it is having drying and hardening properties from the high linolenic acids (45-60%)
42 (Wakjira 2007; Biradar *et al.*, 2016) whereas low linolenic acid is preferable for human consumption. The
43 fiber is known for its good quality having high strength and durability, therefore, used in the
44 manufacturing of cloth, water-resistant pipes, paper and strawboard. The fiber is known for its good
45 quality having high strength and durability, therefore, used in the textile industry, liquid proof pipes,
46 paper and strawboard.

47 Although the area, production, and productivity of linseed in India during 2020-2021 is the
48 productivity of 637 kg/ha with an area of 174.87 thousand tons per hectare and in Chhattisgarh the
49 productivity of 384 kg/ha with an area of 12.82 thousand tons per hectare (Indiastat, 2021). With the
50 availability and presence of low genetic variability, there is a need for characterization and evaluation of
51 germplasm accessions through the introduction of new germplasm, collection of local landraces, and by
52 the adoption of interspecific hybridization. Germplasm serves as the most valuable reservoir in providing
53 needed attributes for developing superior varieties. Characterization involves estimating existing
54 variability across the population of individuals (Ranjana *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, morphological diversity
55 characterization studies have a relevant role in the management of crop diversity. So, it is required to
56 conserve the diverse genotypes and also to explore the diversity studies of linseed for further breeding
57 purposes.

58 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

59 The present study was conducted at the research cum Instructional farm, Indira Gandhi Krishi
60 Vishwavidyalaya, (IGKV), Raipur, India. A total of 100 linseed genotypes were used in the present
61 study. The experiment was conducted during the 2020-2021 *Rabi* and 2021-2022 *Rabi* in an
62 Augmented RBD design and observations were recorded for various quantitative characters and data
63 analysis for various agro-morphological traits was done as per the standard statistical procedures. By
64 using the RStudio, an Analysis of variance was done for pooled data in Augmented RBD design as per
65 Federer (1956), variability parameters were worked out as suggested by Burton and De Vane (1953);
66 Johnson *et al.* (1955), Four standard checks namely Neelum, Kiran, RLC-148(Varsha als) and RLC-
67 153 were used in this study. Climatological data on temperature, rainfall, rainy days, relative humidity
68 (RH) and sunshine hours were recorded at the Meteorological Observatory Unit, Department of Agro-
69 meteorology, IGKV, Raipur during the cropping period. Favorable weather conditions were noticed
70 during the crop growth and investigation of the current study.

71 The experimental field was well prepared with a recommended package of practices. Proper
72 irrigation was given to the crop at the required time intervals and weeding is done to control the weeds

73 and to keep the crop free from weeds. Visual examination of the crop is done and observations were
74 recorded randomly for five plants in each plot. Data were recorded on five randomly selected plants for
75 all the agronomic traits viz., days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, Plant height (cm), number of
76 primary branches plant⁻¹, number of secondary branches plant⁻¹, number of capsules plant⁻¹, number of
77 seeds capsule⁻¹, oil content (%) and seed yield plant⁻¹. Oil content (%) was recorded for 100 lines by
78 using Soxhlet apparatus (Ozioko 2012) at department of genetics and plant breeding, college of
79 agriculture, IGKV, Raipur

80 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

81 The present study was done to utilize the maximum diversity exhibited by the germplasm. It is not
82 a solitary vital for utilizing the appropriate attribute-based donors in breeding programs, but also important
83 in the present era of conserving the linseed variability. Outcomes from this study conformation on
84 conclusions by the study of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for pooled data showed that there is a
85 significant difference among the genotypes for all the characters studied (Table 1), which indicated that
86 there is a considerable variation existed among the germplasm and significant mean squares due to seed
87 yield and attributing traits revealed the existence of considerable variability in the material studied for the
88 improvements of various traits. The blocking effect (unadjusted) was significant for all the traits except for
89 the days to maturity and primary branches plant⁻¹, and treatment (adjusted as well as unadjusted) was
90 significant for all the traits except for fays to maturity and primary branches plant¹. However, the adjusted
91 block effects were significant for all the traits except No. of capsules plant⁻¹, 50% flowering, days to
92 maturity and primary branches plant⁻¹, secondary branches plant⁻¹, and 1000 seed weight(g) indicating
93 homogeneity evaluation of blocks. Similarly, effects due to checks were nonsignificant for all the traits
94 except 50% flowering, days to maturity, and primary branches plant⁻¹, secondary branches plant⁻¹, and
95 1000 seed weight(g). likewise, the mean squares due to checks v/s varieties were significant for all the
96 traits except no. of capsules plant⁻¹, 50% flowering, days to maturity and primary branches plant⁻¹,
97 secondary branches plant⁻¹ and 1000 seed weight(g) indicating thereby that the test entries were
98 significantly different from each other. The critical difference and standard errors of difference were
99 computed (table 2 and table 3) for all the traits for comparison of adjusted means of test entries in the
100 same block, test entries in a different block, checks, test entries, and checks. The present study results
101 are in agreement with the findings of Adugna and Labuschagne (2004) for characteristics like days to
102 50% flowering, number of primary branches plant⁻¹, no. of seeds per capsule, Tyagi *et al.* (2014) for
103 number of primary branches per plant, seed yield per plant⁻¹, Bindra and Paul (2016) for characters like
104 technical length, days to 50% flowering, no. of primary branches, seeds per capsule, seed yield per plant,
105 Kumar *et al.* (2019) for characters like number of primary branches and number of seeds per capsule.

Table 1 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Augmented Block Design for pooled data *rabi* 2020-2021 and *rabi* 2021-2022

Source	Df	No. of capsules plant ⁻¹	Days to maturity	50% flowering	Oil content(%)	Primary branches plant ⁻¹	Plant height(cm)	Secondary branches plant ⁻¹	No. of seeds capsule ⁻¹	1000 seed weight(g)	Seed yield plant ⁻¹
Block unadjusted	103	128.49 **	28.26 ns	780229.5 **	9.83 **	1.04 ns	25.36 **	9.34 **	0.69 **	0.82 **	6.13 **
treatment unadjusted	4	244.65 **	194.61 ns	1357059.01 **	11.07 *	3.95 ns	26.75 *	11.06 **	0.27 *	3.48 **	8.18 **
Block adjusted	4	16.78 ns	683.94 ns	6.26 ns	18.45 **	18.46 ns	45.04 **	0.08 ns	0.76 **	0.17 ns	0.88 **
Treatment (adjusted)	103	119.64 **	47.26 ns	727528.42 **	10.12 **	1.6 ns	26.07 **	8.91 **	0.7 **	0.69 **	5.85 **
Control	3	58.13 **	382.53 ns	16.13 ns	29.7 **	21.56 ns	75.38 **	0.54 ns	0.65 **	0.22 ns	0.41 *
Augmented	99	131.65 **	17.36 ns	808957.95 **	8.68 *	0.28 ns	23.41 **	9.7 **	0.66 **	0.85 **	5.98 **
test vs Augmented	1	26.93 ns	44.18 ns	276753.48 **	64.56 **	14.03 ns	68.68 **	0.41 ns	3.55 **	0.0035 ns	38.7 **
Test+Test vs Augmented	100	121.48 **	37.2 ns	749353.79 **	9.53 *	1 ns	24.59 **	9.17 **	0.71 **	0.71 **	6.01 **
Residuals	12	8.68	548.43	9.41	2.77	23.27	6.34	0.21	0.08	0.12	0.08

*= Significant at 5% = $P=0.05$, **= Significant at 1% = $P=0.01$

Table 2 Comparison of critical difference for all traits of linseed germplasm

Comparison	No. of capsules plant ⁻¹	Days to maturity	50% flowering	Oil content(%)	Primary branches plant ⁻¹	Plant height(cm)	Secondary branches plant ⁻¹	No. of seeds capsule ⁻¹	1000 seed weight(g)	Seed yield plant ⁻¹
A Test Treatment and a Control Treatment	7.86	62.49	8.19	4.44	12.87	6.72	1.21	0.74	0.94	0.78
Control Treatment Means	4.06	32.27	4.23	2.29	6.65	3.47	0.62	0.38	0.49	0.4
Two Test Treatments (Different Blocks)	10.15	80.68	10.57	5.73	16.62	8.68	1.56	0.96	1.22	1
Two Test Treatments (Same Block)	9.08	72.16	9.45	5.12	14.87	7.76	1.4	0.86	1.09	0.9

Table 3 Comparison of standard errors for all traits of linseed germplasm

Comparison	No. of capsules plant ⁻¹	Days to maturity	50% flowering	Oil content(%)	Primary branches plant ⁻¹	Plant height(cm)	Secondary branches plant ⁻¹	No. of seeds capsule ⁻¹	1000 seed weight(g)	Seed yield plant ⁻¹
A Test Treatment and a Control Treatment	3.61	28.68	3.76	2.04	5.91	3.08	0.56	0.34	0.43	0.36
Control Treatment Means	1.86	14.81	1.94	1.05	3.05	1.59	0.29	0.18	0.22	0.18
Two Test Treatments (Different Blocks)	4.66	37.03	4.85	2.63	7.63	3.98	0.72	0.44	0.56	0.46
Two Test Treatments (Same Block)	4.17	33.12	4.34	2.35	6.82	3.56	0.64	0.39	0.5	0.41

Table 4 Genetic variability analysis for different characters of linseed germplasm

Trait	Mean	GCV	PCV	hBS	GAM
50% flowering	189.47	74.70	74.70	94.35	97.30
Days to maturity	111.59	3.12	3.73	81.74	82.46
Plant height(cm)	37.73	10.95	12.82	72.90	19.28
primary branches plant⁻¹	2.25	22.12	23.73	83.74	9.24
secondary branches plant⁻¹	6.16	50.01	50.55	97.88	102.07
No. of capsules plant⁻¹	22.44	49.41	51.13	93.41	98.53
No. of seeds capsule⁻¹	7.55	10.09	10.75	88.23	19.56
1000 seed weight(g)	6.21	13.71	14.84	85.35	26.13
Seed yield plant⁻¹	5.27	46.10	46.43	98.58	94.42
Oil content(%)	36.54	6.65	8.06	68.13	11.33

Table 5 HSD Tukey's method applies for all population mean comparisons for seed yield plant⁻¹(g)

Treatment	Adjusted Means	Group
EC-718840	0.762	1
CANADA	0.989	12
SJKO-6	1.066	123
LCK-9319	1.066	123
CI-1413	1.106	123
LCK-9325	1.106	123
S-203	1.366	1234
NL-126	1.458	12345
S-91-43	1.613	123456
BAU-9906	1.622	1234567
NDL-8809	1.752	12345678
BAU-08-07	1.780	12345678
GS-128	1.812	12345678
LC-2057	1.927	123456789
EC-4752	1.963	123456789
RJK-32	2.136	1234567890
LCK-9119	2.257	1234567890AB
LCK-9324	2.257	1234567890AB
RR-464	2.383	1234567890A
Raisa	2.389	1234567890AB
RLC-49	2.441	1234567890A C
A-236	2.599	1234567890ABCD
OL-98-13-9	2.855	1234567890ABCDEF
BAU-06-8	2.894	1234567890ABCDEF
Fzox Natural	2.894	1234567890ABCDE G
EX-304-1	2.948	1234567890ABCDEFGH
A-97	3.059	1234567890ABCDEFGHI
RLC-25	3.118	1234567890ABCDEFGHI
A-93	3.312	1234567890ABCDEFGHIJ

RL-910	3.419	234567890ABCDEFGHIJK
Punjab T-4	3.606	34567890ABCDEFGHIJKLM
EI-47-21-42	3.621	34567890ABCDEFGHIJKL N
BS-18	3.660	4567890ABCDEFGHIJKLMNO
OR-8-38	3.778	567890ABCDEFGHIJKLMNO
NPRR-449	3.879	567890ABCDEFGHIJKLMNO
RJK-34	3.888	4567890ABCDEFGHIJKLMNO
NPRR-272	3.925	567890ABCDEFGHIJKLMNO
NPRR-68R	4.061	7890ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOP
NPRR-61	4.127	7890ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ
LC-2014	4.146	67890ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQ
NP-138	4.312	890ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQR
A-9-2-1	4.445	0ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRS
NP-103	4.485	90ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRS
NP-65	4.617	0ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRST
EC-99056	4.638	0ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRS
BR-26	4.736	ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTU
KL-1	4.816	ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUV
NP-39	4.836	B DEFHGIJKLMNQPQRSTUVWXYZVW
BAULK	5.027	CDEFGHIJKLMNQPQRSTUVWXYZVWX
A-58	5.234	F HIJKLMNQPQRSTUVWXYZab
NP-30K	5.329	EFGHIJKLMNQPQRSTUVWXYZ cd
CI-1375	5.465	GHIJKLMNQPQRSTUVWXYZ a c e
B-81-81	5.578	IJKLMNQPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefg
EC-41595	5.753	KLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdef h
JLT-90	5.814	JKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghi
EC-41495	5.894	KLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghi
No.10	5.975	NOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghi
EC-22529	6.033	M OPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghij
RKY-17	6.041	LMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghij
RLC-153(C4)	6.366	STUVWXYZ abcdefghi
EC-1456	6.557	PQRSTUVWXYZ abcdefghijklm

L-470-Eng	6.579	PQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnop
KANPUR-40/2	6.676	QRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnop
EC-1453	6.677	QRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnop
RLC-148(varsha als) (C3)	6.726	VWXYZabcdefghijklmnop
Kiran(C2)	6.770	WXYZabcdefghijklmnop
Dingoahi	6.774	RSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnop
EC-1391	6.783	RSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnop
NL-97	6.852	RSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnop
L-106	6.868	RSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnop
CP-43	6.874	RSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnop
LCK-8605	6.891	RSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnop
Neelum (C1)	7.064	YZabcdefghijklmnop
L.S.-1	7.084	TUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnop
L-103	7.317	UVWXYZabcdefghijklmnop
NP-HYB-8	7.473	XYZabcdefghijklmnop
A-389B	7.473	XYZabcdefghijklmnop
LCK-9303	7.662	YZabcdefghijklmnop
A-195	7.729	ab efghijklm
65/12	7.734	YZabcdefghijklmnop
L-88-LHCK-7	7.742	YZabcdefghijklmnop
KFS-11	7.775	YZabcdefghijklmnop
R.S.-6	7.798	Z b d fghijklm
A-469B	7.822	YZabcdefghijklmnop
CI-1971	7.846	cdefghijklm
AYOGI	7.892	cdefghijklm
A-301	7.937	efghijklm
KL-230	7.948	hijklm
PKDL-55	7.948	hijklm
ILS-169	8.105	g ijklm
IC-AR-6	8.126	g ijklm
A-153	8.208	hijklm

EC-1393-1	8.248	hijklm
SJKO-8	8.566	jklm
LCK-9406	8.692	klm
SJKO-13	8.706	klm
EC-41770	8.738	lm
KLS-A-3	8.751	lm
RLC-40	8.855	lm
KLS-B-2	8.976	lm
EC-397752	8.981	lm
R.S.-2	9.000	lm
Sumerpur Local	9.003	m
RSJ-31	9.045	m

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Results from the ANOVA p-value were less than or equal to the significance level in some traits like seed yield plant⁻¹(g), hence we can reject the null hypothesis and conclude that not all of the population means are equal. We use the post hoc test of the seed yield (plant⁻¹g) trait to determine whether the differences are practically significant. Tukey's multiple comparison test is a method of post hoc test that can be applied to describe which means among a set of means vary from the rest (table 5). In these results, every possible pair of means is compared to identify pair of treatments that are significantly different. The table shows that all the germplasms are presented using alphanumeric annotations that are assigned by "1" to "9" with "0" and "A" to "Z" capital letters with "a" to "z" small letters. Differences between means that share a letter are not statistically significant. The highest mean contenting germplasm RSJ-31 (9.045) with a group "m" letter and lowest mean contenting germplasm EC-718840 (0.762) with a group "1" alphabet, which indicates that the germplasm RSJ-31 has a significantly higher mean than germplasm EC-718840 and so on. Means of germplasm followed by the same letter in the table do not differ significantly. Similarly, check genotypes for seed yield plant⁻¹(g) all are not significantly different from each other. Similar analysis was also done by Pandey *et al.* (2021).

Genetic variability for the traits of interest is primary for crop improvement. The success of the breeding program depends on the quantum of genetic variability present in the population. Results from genetic variability analysis state that the highest GCV/PCV was recorded for the traits 50% flowering, secondary branches plant⁻¹, no. of capsules plant⁻¹, and seed yield plant⁻¹ indicating the existence of a broad range of variability for those traits among the genotypes. Medium GCV with Medium PCV was noticed for the traits Plant height(cm), Primary branches plant⁻¹, no. of seeds capsule⁻¹, and 1000 seed weight(g) suggesting that some portion of variability was governed by environmental factors. Heritability values are categorized according to Robinson (1966) as low (50%), medium (50-70%), and high (>70%). Characters with high heritability are much less affected by the environment and hence higher probability of being transferred from parents to progeny (table 4). These results confirm the findings of S Paul *et al.* (2017), (Tadesse 2010) and Mahto and Mahto (1998).

High heritability was demonstrated by all the quantitative characters taken under study, however, maximum heritability was reported from 50% flowering, followed by days to maturity, primary branches plant⁻¹, secondary branches plant⁻¹, no. of capsules plant⁻¹, no. of seeds capsules⁻¹, 1000 seed weight(g), seed yield plant⁻¹ and oil content (%). Highest heritability coupled with highest genetic advance as percent was reported for 50% flowering, followed by secondary branches plant⁻¹, no. of capsules plant⁻¹, 1000 seed weight (g), and seed yield plant⁻¹ indicating that these traits are less influenced by environment and they are governed by additive gene action where simple selection is effective. High heritability coupled with medium genetic advance as percent was reported for plant height(cm), no. of seeds capsule⁻¹, and oil content (%) indicating that these traits are less influenced by environment and are governed by additive and non-additive gene action where selection is effective and heterosis breeding. High heritability coupled with low genetic advance as percent was reported for primary branches plant⁻¹ indicating that these traits are highly influenced by the environment and are governed by non-additive gene action where selection is ineffective. These results confirm with the findings of

Singh *et al.* (2001) for seed yield/plant, Awasthi and Rao (2005) for seed yield/plant, Kanwar *et al.* (2014) for seed yield/plant, no. of primary branches, Choudhary *et al.* (2016) for no. of primary branches, seed yield/plant.

Conclusion

The above-mentioned characters show a high estimate of genetic advance as the percent of the mean is governed by additive genes and selection for them will be rewarded. The linseed accessions used in this study revealed significant variability for most of the traits under study. Amongst, the genotypes studied high coefficients of variation were observed for most of the characters studied indicating the existence of sufficient variability. Out of 100 genotypes studied for various quantitative traits, seven genotypes such as, LCK-9406, NL-126, Sumerpur Local, SJKO-8, L-103, EC-397752, R.S.-6 were found to be having the highest oil content (>42%). The highest seed yield plant⁻¹ and also oil content (%) was noticed for four accessions such as Sumerpur Local, EC-397752, LCK-9406, and SJKO-8.

References:

- Adugna, W. and Labuschagne, M. T. 2004. Diversity analyses in Ethiopia and some exotic collections of linseed. *South African J. Plant and Soil*, 21(1): 53-58.
- Anonymous (2021)
- Awasthi, S. K. and Rao, S. S. 2005. Selection parameters for yield and its components in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Indian J. Genet.*, 65 (4):323-324.
- Bindra, S., Paul, S., 2016. Genetic variability and association studies in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *The Bioscan*.11 (3):1855–1859.
- Biradar, S. A., K. Ajithkumar, B. Rajanna, A. S. Savitha, G. V. Shubha, I. Shankergoud, B. M. Chittapur, and P. K. Singh. "Prospects and challenges in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum*L.) production: A review." *Journal of Oilseeds Research* 33, no. 1 (2016): 1-13.
- Burton, G.W .and Devane, E. H. 1953. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Fe arundinaceae*) from replicated clonal material. *Agronomy Journal*, 45 481.
- Choudhary M, Rahul VP, Singh V and Chauhan MP. 2016. Studies on genetic divergence in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) germplasm based on morphological and quality traits. *The Bioscan* 11(2): 953-957.
- FEDERER, W. T., 1956, Augmented designs. *Hawaii. Plant Res.*, 2:191-208.
- Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E. 1955. Estimates of genetic and environmental

variations in Soybean. *Agron. J.*, 47: 314-318.

Kanwar, R.R., Saxena, R.R., and Ekka, R.E. 2014. Variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and some yield related traits in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Agric. Sci. Digest.*, 34 (2): 154 - 156.

Kumar, S., Ram, S., Chakraborty, M., Ahmad, E., Verma, N., Lal, H.C., Prasad, Y., Kumar, K., Bhusan, S. and Choudhary, A.K. 2019. Role of genetic variability for seed yield and its attributes in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) improvement. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry SP2*: 266-268.

Mahto C, Mahto C (1998) Genetic variability of some quantitative characters in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) *J. Research* 10(2), 161-165.

Ozioko, Francis Uchenna. "Extraction and characterization of soybean oil based bio-lubricant." *AU Journal of Technology* 15, no. 4 (2012).

Pandey, V. K., Singh, M. K., Meshram, P., Gupta, V. K., Singh, N., & Banjare, A. K. (2021). Phenotypic and Genetic Study on Native Pigeonpea Germplasm of Chhattisgarh for Yield Attributing Traits. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 33(16), 201-212.

Paul, Satish, Nimit Kumar, and Ashok Kumar. "Characterization and Genetic Variation Study among Linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) Genotypes for Seed yield and related traits in mid-hills of north-west Himalayas." *Plant Archives* 17, no. 1 (2017): 407-411.

Rajanna P, Paul SA, Sharma DE, Sood VK, Kumar NI. Morphological characterization and genetic diversity of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Journal of Oilseeds Research*. 2019;36(1):8-16.

Singh, D.N. 2010. Heritability and genetic advance in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(2): 761-765.

Tadesse, T., A. Parven, H. Singh, and B. Weyessa. "Estimates of variability and heritability in linseed germplasm." *Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod* 5, no. 3 (2010): 8-16.

Tyagi AK, Sharma MK, Mishra, SK, Kerkhi SA and Chand, P. 2014. Estimates of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) germplasm. *Prog. Agric.*, 14(1): 37-48.

Wakjira, Adugna. "Linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) In: van der Vossen HAM and Mikilamo GS (Eds.) *Plant Resources of Tropical Africa* 14. Vegetable oils. PROTA Foundation, Wageningen, Netherlands." (2007): 108-115.

UNDER PEER REVIEW