

## **EFFECT OF PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS ON GROWTH AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES OF CHILLI (*Capsicum annum L.*)**

### **Abstract**

The current work took place at an agricultural area in Peddakurapadu, Guntur district, Andhra Pradesh, in October 2021. The experiment was set up in a randomized design with three replications and ten different growth regulator treatments. The results showed that foliar treatment had an effect on plant vigor and yield contributing features in chilli plants when compared to controls. The growth regulators Tricentanol and Gibberellic acid were foliar sprayed at flowering and bud stage and were found to be effective in increasing plant height, plant spread, number of branches, and number of fruits per plant when compared to control in terms of yield contributing characters such as days to 25% flowering, fruit set, fruit length, and fruit diameter.

**Keywords:** Growth regulators, Flowering, Plant height, Plant spread.

### **Introduction**

Chilli (*Capsicum annum L.*) belongs to the Solanaceae family and is India's most important commercial spice and vegetable crop. India is the world's leading producer and exporter of chilli. In India, Guntur, in the state of Andhra Pradesh, produces 30% of all chilli. Chilli is a tropical and subtropical crop that needs a warm, humid atmosphere. Capsasin, a phenolic acid component, is responsible for chilli's pungency. During the ripening stage, capsanthin is responsible for the brilliant red hue. Chillies are grown in all seasons in one or more parts of the country; thus, the fruits are available throughout the year. Chilli is also used as a folk remedy for toothaches, sore throats, coughs, wound healing, and parasite infections. It's also used as an antioxidant, antimicrobial, and immunological modulator, among other things.

Chilli production is determined by the cultivars' innate genetic yield potential, which is impacted substantially by environmental conditions and cultivation practices. The abrupt rise in air temperature causes hormonal imbalance. One of the most significant issues in chilli production is temperature. Poor fruit set is one of the key bottlenecks in chilli production, with severe weather conditions such as temperature and rainfall having a direct impact on productivity. Chilli yield is sometimes boosted by reducing flower drop, and this problem is often managed by selecting breeding lines that preserve a high proportion of blooms or by using

physiological manipulation such as spraying plant growth regulators. Chattopadhyay and Sen (1974), Minraj and Shanmugavelu (1987), Balraj *et al.*, (2002), and Joshi *et al.*, (2003) all report on the different responses of chilli to plant growth regulators (1999). Plant growth regulators are known to strengthen the source-sink interaction, increase photo assimilate translocation, and aid in the retention of flowers and fruits. Plant growth regulators cause plants to develop more quickly. Plant growth regulators are the next generation of agrochemicals after fertilizer's, insecticides, and herbicides. Stem elongation, germination, dormancy, flowering, fruit development, cell division, and root expansion are all aided by plant growth regulators. Fruit and blossom drop, which is produced by physiological and hormonal imbalance in plants in unfavorable situations such as excessively low or high temperatures, reduces chilli production (Rylski, 1973; Rylski and Halevy, 1975; and Markhart, 2001).

### **Materials and methods**

The current study was carried out in the month of October 2021 on agricultural acreage in Pedhakurapadu, Guntur district, Andhra Pradesh, using the popular chilli cultivar 'Teja.' The randomized block design was used to lay out the experimental plot, which included 10 treatments and three replications. A total of 65 plants were planted in each plot at a spacing of 65× 25 cm. At the time of field preparation, a base dose of 60 kg N, 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, and 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O per hectare was sprayed. DAP was sprayed at a rate of 110 kg per acre after seven days of transplanting. Intercultural activities and plant protection measures were carried out according to crop recommendations. Untreated check, Paclobutrazol, Chlormequat chloride, Mepiquat chloride, Triaccontanol(2 treatments), Ethephon(2 treatments), Aminoacid, Gibberilic acid were among the ten treatments used in our research. The goal of the study was to find the optimum growth promoter for improving chilli growth characteristics.

### **Results and discussion**

The following are the findings of the study to determine the optimum growth promoter for improving chilli growth character: In Table no.1 shows the influence of several plant growth regulators on plant height, spread, number of branches, and days to 25% flowering.

The height of the plant grows with the age of the seedlings. There is a big increase. The application of Tricontanol0.05 percent EC@1000ml/ha (71.77cm) in T<sub>5</sub> results in a considerable increase in plant height, followed by Paclobutrazol(25 percent w/v) SC @1000ml/ha (62.47cm) in T<sub>2</sub>, and the least we found in T<sub>1</sub> (48.44cm) that is an untreated check. Houtz RL *et al.* year had

previously looked into the experimental findings (1985). Plant spread in the East-West and North-South directions was the second observation we made. The maximum plant spread in the East-West condition was achieved with Gibberellic acid 0.01 percent L@625ml/ha, T<sub>10</sub> (48.38cm), followed by T<sub>4</sub>, Mepiquatchloride 5 percent aqueous solution@625ml/ha (61.36cm), and the lowest in the untreated check (32.77 cm). In the North-South condition, the highest is achieved by applying Gibberellic acid 0.01 percent L@625ml/ha in T<sub>10</sub> (45.27 cm), the next highest is achieved in T<sub>2</sub> by applying Paclobutrazol(25 percent w/v) SC @1000ml/ha (43.33 cm), and the lowest is achieved by leaving T<sub>1</sub> untreated (38.33 cm). The experimental results were based on Georgia O *et al*earlier's findings (2010). Gibberellic 0.01 percent L@625ml/ha produced the maximum number of branches in T<sub>10</sub>. Observing in all replications at regular time intervals yielded 28.88 branches, and the administration of Mepiquat chloride 5 percent aqueous solution @625ml/ha yielded the second (26.66). Untreated check has the smallest number of branches (19.77). We also looked into the days leading up to 25% blossoming. T<sub>9</sub> is the highest we observed, which was achieved by applying aminoacid@1500ml/ha (65.00), followed by T<sub>3</sub> (65.66), which was achieved by applying Chlormequat chloride 50 percent SL@500ml/ha, and untreated check (71.66). The experimental results are consistent with Attoa GE *et al*earlier's findings (2002).

**Table no. 1: Effect of different plant growth regulators on growth attributes of chilli**

| S. No | Tr. No          | Treatment details                              | Plant height (cm) | Plant spread (cm) |             | No. of branches | Days to 25 percent flowering |
|-------|-----------------|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
|       |                 |  |                   | East-West         | North-South |                 |                              |
| 1     | T <sub>1</sub>  | Untreated Check                                | 48.44             | 32.77             | 38.33       | 19.77           | 71.66                        |
| 2     | T <sub>2</sub>  | Paclobutrazol(25% w/v) sc@ 1000ml/ha           | 62.47             | 36.94             | 43.33       | 25.22           | 68.33                        |
| 3     | T <sub>3</sub>  | Chlormequat chloride 50% SL@500ml/ha           | 53.50             | 43.09             | 42.29       | 25.22           | 65.66                        |
| 4     | T <sub>4</sub>  | Mepiquat chloride 5% Aqueous solution@625ml/ha | 61.36             | 44.99             | 40.44       | 26.66           | 67.33                        |
| 5     | T <sub>5</sub>  | Triaccontanol 0.05% EC@1000ml/ha               | 71.77             | 44.66             | 42.29       | 25.44           | 67.00                        |
| 6     | T <sub>6</sub>  | Triaccontanol 0.05% EC@500ml/ha                | 54.41             | 42.27             | 41.11       | 21.93           | 66.33                        |
| 7     | T <sub>7</sub>  | Ethephon 39 SL(39%W/W)@375ml/ha                | 51.58             | 44.16             | 42.21       | 27.44           | 66.33                        |
| 8     | T <sub>8</sub>  | Ethephon 39 SL(39%W/W)@200ml/ha                | 57.77             | 43.16             | 41.66       | 27.33           | 66.00                        |
| 9     | T <sub>9</sub>  | Amino acid @ 1500ml/ha                         | 50.27             | 38.11             | 39.44       | 21.88           | 65.00                        |
| 10    | T <sub>10</sub> | Gibberelic acid 0.01% L @625ml/ha              | 69.33             | 48.38             | 45.27       | 28.88           | 68.00                        |
|       |                 | S.E.m±   | 0.85              | 2.39              | 2.62        | 1.52            | 2.03                         |
|       |                 | C.D. at 5%                                     | 2.53              | 7.11              | N.S.        | 4.52            | 6.03                         |

The effect of plant growth regulators on fruit set %, number of fruits per plant, fruit length, and fruit diameter is indicated in (Table no. 2). T<sub>7</sub>, which uses Ethephon 39SL (39 percent w/w) @375ml/ha (85.37 percent), is followed by T<sub>9</sub>, which uses aminoacid@1500ml/ha (84.47 percent), and check plot has the lowest fruit set percentage (72.03 percent). The experimental results are consistent with Khurana D.S *et al*'s findings (2004). We observed the highest

number of fruits per plant (806.44) after applying Gibberellic acid 0.01 percent L @ 625ml/ha as T<sub>10</sub>, which is almost identical to the result seen in T<sub>5</sub> after applying Tricontanol 0.05 percent EC @1000ml/ha (789.33), and the least fruit count observed in the untreated check (480.00). In terms of fruit length and diameter, we receive similar results. The application of Mepiquat chloride 5 percent aqueous solution @ 625ml/ha resulted in the highest T<sub>4</sub> (8.18 and 4.09 cms, respectively) and was followed by aminoacid @ 1500ml/ha as T<sub>9</sub>. The experimental results are consistent with those of Balraj *et al.* and Gutamet *al* (2009). In this treatment, the fruit length is 8 cm and the fruit diameter is 4.07 cm. Untreated check has the shortest fruit length (6.25cm) and the smallest diameter (3.36cm).

**Table no 2: Effect of different growth regulators on yield attributing parameters**

| S. No | Tr. No         | Treatment details                                    | Fruit set (%)    | No. of fruits per plant | Fruit length (cm) | Fruit diameter (cm) |
|-------|----------------|--|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 1     | T <sub>1</sub> | Untreated Check                                      | 72.03<br>(58.21) | 480.00                  | 6.25              | 3.36                |
| 2     | T <sub>2</sub> | Paclobutrazol(25% w/v)<br>sc@ 1000ml/ha              | 74.22<br>(59.59) | 484.66                  | 6.72              | 3.90                |
| 3     | T <sub>3</sub> | Chlormequat chloride<br>50% SL@500ml/ha              | 79.12<br>(63.18) | 551.22                  | 6.84              | 4.04                |
| 4     | T <sub>4</sub> | Mepiquat chloride 5%<br>Aqueous<br>solution@625ml/ha | 80.69<br>(63.96) | 627.88                  | 8.18              | 4.09                |
| 5     | T <sub>5</sub> | Triacontanol 0.05%<br>EC@1000ml/ha                   | 75.14<br>(60.10) | 789.33                  | 7.27              | 3.98                |
| 6     | T <sub>6</sub> | Triacontanol 0.05%<br>EC@500ml/ha                    | 75.08<br>(60.21) | 746.44                  | 7.14              | 3.98                |
| 7     | T <sub>7</sub> | Ethephon 39  | 85.37            | 725.22                  | 7.10              | 3.94                |

|    |                 |  |                  |        |      |      |
|----|-----------------|--|------------------|--------|------|------|
|    |                 | <b>SL(39%W/W)@375ml/ha</b>               | (67.53)          |        |      |      |
| 8  | T <sub>8</sub>  | <b>Ethephon 39 SL(39%W/W)@200ml/ha</b>   | 77.97<br>(62.04) | 708.55 | 6.98 | 3.94 |
| 9  | T <sub>9</sub>  | <b>Amino acid @ 1500ml/ha</b>            | 84.47<br>(67.66) | 707.22 | 8.00 | 4.07 |
| 10 | T <sub>10</sub> | <b>Gibberelic acid 0.01% L @625ml/ha</b> | 74.31<br>(59.60) | 806.44 | 7.23 | 4.01 |
|    |                 | S.E.m±                                   | 2.22             | 15.47  | 0.06 | 0.11 |
|    |                 | C.D. at 5%                               | 4.66             | 45.98  | 0.18 | 0.32 |

## Conclusion

The optimal treatments for enhanced plant growth and yield were proposed based on the above observations acquired from our investigation. T<sub>4</sub>(Mepiquat chloride 5% Aqueous solution@625ml/ha)had the best outcomes among the treatments in terms of fruit length and diameter, therefore it's a goodfor higher yields. The T<sub>5</sub>(Triaccontanol 0.05% EC@1000ml/ha) treatment performs best in terms of plant height, which aids in the production of massive branching. T<sub>7</sub>(Ethephon 39 SL(39% W/W)@375ml/ha)showed the best results in terms of fruit set, which will be beneficial to farmers in terms of high yields. The T<sub>9</sub>(Amino acid @ 1500ml/ha)treatment produces the most flowering, which is another factor that will help farmers achieve the optimum harvests. Finally, the T<sub>10</sub>(Gibberelic acid 0.01% L @625ml/ha)treatment is demonstrated to be the most effective in terms of plant spread, producing the most branches and fruits per plant. These treatments can be suggested for improved crop development and maximum yields.

## References:

- Attoa G.E, Wahba H.E and Farahat A.A (2002). Effect of some amino acids and sulphur fertilisation on growth and chemical composition of *Iberis amara* L. plants. *Egypt. J. Hortic.* 29:17-37.
- Balraj R,Kurdikeri M.B and Revanappa(2002a). Effect of growth regulators on growth and yield of chilli(*Capsicum annum* L.) at different pickings. *Indian J. Hort.* 59 (1): 84-88.

- Balraj R, Kurdikeri, M.B and Revanappa (2002b). Effect of growth regulators on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annuum L.*) at different pickings. *Indian J. Hort.* 59(1): 84-88.
- Chattopadhyay T.K and Sen S.K(1974). Studies on the effect of different growth regulators on reproductive physiology and morphology of chilli (*Capsicum annuum L.*). *Veg. Sci.* 1: 42-45.
- Erickson A.N and Markhart A.H(2001). Flower production, fruit set and physiology of bell pepper during elevated temperature and vapor pressure deficit. *J. American Soc. Hort. Sci.* 126 (6): 697-702.
- Georgia O, Ilias I, Anastasia P.G and Papadopoulou (2010). Comparative study on the effects of various plant growth regulators on growth, quality and physiology of *Capsicum annuum L.* *Pak. J. Bot.*, 42: 805-14.
- Gutam, Sridhar, Koti R.V, Chetti M.B and Hiremath S.M (2009). Effect of naphthalene acetic acid and mepiquat chloride on physiological components of yield in bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum L.*). *J. Agri. Res.*, 47(1): 53-62.
- Houtz R.L, Ries S.K and Tolbert N.E (1985). Effect of triacontanol on chlamydomonas. Stimulation of growth and photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation. *Plant Physiol* 79: 357-364.
- Joshi N.C, Singh D.K and Jain S.K(1999). Response of plant bioregulators on growth and yield of chilli during summer season. *Adv. Hort. & Fores.* 7: 95-99.
- Khurana D.S, Manchanda D and Singh K (2004). Influence of naphthalene acetic acid on growth and fruit yield of chilli. *Haryana J Hort. Sci* 33(3/4):274-275.
- Minraj N and Shanmugavelu K.G(1987). Studies on the effect of triacontanol on growth, flowering, yield, quality and nutrient uptake in chillies (*Capsicum annuum L.*). *S. Indian Hort.* 35 (4): 362-366.
- Rylski I(1973). Effect of night temperature on shape and size of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum L.*). *J. American Soc. Hort. Sci.* 98 (2): 149-152.

Rylski I. and Halevy A.H(1975). Optimal environment for set and development of sweet pepper fruit. *ActaHort.* 42: 55-62.

UNDER PEER REVIEW