

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90256
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF PLANT GROWTH REGULATORS ON GROWTH AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES OF CHILLI(Capsicum annum L.)
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) The main problems that are expected to be overcome by the application of hormones need to be clarified in the Introduction.</li><li>2) Treatment using 2 plant growth regulators, Triacantanol and Gibberellin, has not been described in detail in materials and method. The treatment of PGR is still unclear. Growth parameters are also not explained in detail</li><li>3) The information in the conclusion is different from what is written in the abstract. Conclusions need to be more solid unlike explaining the results</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Some typos. Some of the libraries in the text do not match the bibliography and vice versa	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The common thread between the introduction to the conclusion needs to be clarified. The introduction needs to be synchronized with the methods, results, and conclusions. The method described is not sufficient to explain the research being conducted.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Retno Mastuti
Department, University & Country	Brawijaya University, Indonesia