

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90164
Title of the Manuscript:	“Effect of Micro-nutrient on plant growth and flower yield of Calendula (Calendula officinalis L.) Under Prayagraj Agro-climatic condition.”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is related to the nutrient application in order to evaluate the response of calendula plants. Although the experiment could be ok, the paper is showing several aspects to solve.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is not main objective in the abstract 2. No results are mentioned in the abstract 3. Key words need to be in alphabetical order 4. There are typographic mistakes in all the document 5. In materials need to explain seeds origin, a description of the main experiment, localization of the experiments 6. A table with the treatments will help to understand the experiments 7. In results, the Tables and Figures are cited in the text before to be shown in the document 8. Define the best presentation of the results: Tables or Figures, if the choice are Figures, is necessary to write the description of the Figure 9. Check the citation of Journals and book chapters 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The experimental of the work is adequate, however the paper writing need to be improve substantially	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Andres Cruz-Hernandez
Department, University & Country	Universidad De La Salle Bajio, Mexico