

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_89297
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of bio-regulators on seed germination of Santalum album L. under laboratory conditions.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: The abstract need to really be rewritten. The problem to be solved by the research is not clearly stated as well as the objective of the research. The authors should precise the objective of the research is for what (for examples recommendations, to producers, policy makers, agricultural productivity, ecetera). The authors should present these informations in the first paragraph. The parameters measured and the tools used are missing in the abstract. The authors should describe the parameters measured as well as the tools used to briefly explain the material and method used. A conclusion is missing in this section. The authors should explain what could be the application of the research results or findings. The key words are missing at the end of the abstract</p> <p>Introduction: the introduction section is well witten with recent reference. The problem is well sated. But it is missing background studies on the research subject mainly related to the issues of germination. The authors could improve the introduction by adding some research findings of authors on the issue of seed germination of this specie to justify why this research is relevant.</p> <p>Materials and methods; this section is well written and very clear. Find in the manuscript my comments to improve this section.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: I suggest to separate the results from the discussion for more clarity. The authors should respect the journal requirements in terms of citation of references in the text and at the references section.</p> <p>Conclusion: this section is missing and it is very important that authors write this section to show the application of the research results or findings.</p> <p>References: please strictly respect the journal requirements related to references</p>	
Optional/General comments	Put the competing interest section and acknowledgment section.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Coulibaly Yacouba Noël
Department, University & Country	Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et Technologique (CNRST), Burkina Faso